

December 6, 2024

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL**

Clerk of Council  
1300 Perdido Street  
Suite 1E09  
New Orleans, LA 70112

RE: UD-23-01 – Triennial Integrated Resource Plan of Entergy New Orleans, LLC

Dear Clerk of Council,

Attached you will find a proposed updated score card metric for the 2024 Integrated Resource Planning Process. The evaluation metric provided in the attachment allows for scoring based on alignment with the City's Climate Action Plan goals of achieving 100% clean grid electricity by 2035.

Should you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please contact either Greg Nichols ([grnichols@nola.gov](mailto:grnichols@nola.gov)) or Sophia Winston ([Sophia.winston@nola.gov](mailto:Sophia.winston@nola.gov)).

In Service,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SW', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Sophia Winston  
Energy Policy & Program Manager  
Office of Resilience & Sustainability  
Suite 7E05  
New Orleans, LA 70112

## ENO 2024 IRP Scorecard Parameters & Descriptions

<b>Utility Cost (Portfolio optimization in AURORA)</b>	
Expected Value	The average total relevant supply cost of portfolios across scenarios and relative to other optimized portfolios (all scenarios are weighted equally)
<b>Utility Costs Impacted on ENO's Revenue Requirements</b>	
Net present Value of Revenue Requirements	The total relevant supply cost of the portfolio in the Scenario in which is was optimized
Nominal Portfolio Value (residential/other customer classes)	A sum of the initial 5 years of the planning period
<b>Risk/Uncertainty</b>	
Distribution of Potential Utility Costs	The standard deviation of total relevant supply cost across scenarios divided by the expected value to get to a coefficient variant
Range of Potential Utility Costs	The sum of the total relevant supply cost upside and downside risk of Portfolios
Probability of high CO2 intensity	Probability of high CO2 intensity in the initial 5 years of the planning period
Probability of high groundwater usage	Probability of high groundwater usage in the initial 5 years of the planning period
<b>Reliability</b>	
Relative Loss of Load Expectation	The relative amount of “perfect capacity” added or subtracted to obtain the 0.1 Loss of Load Expectation target in the final year of planning period
Flexible Resources	The total MW of ramp available in the final year of the planning period
Quick Start Resources	The total MW of quick start available in the final year of the planning period (includes supply and demand side dispatchable resources)
<b>Environmental Impact</b>	
CO2 Intensity	The cumulative tons of CO2/GWh over the planning period
Groundwater Usage	The cumulative percentage of energy generated by resources that use ground water
Land Usage	The cumulative acreage necessary for supply plan resources over the planning period
<b>Consistency with City Policies/Goals</b>	
Renewable and Clean Portfolio Standard (RCPS)	The average annual percent of a portfolio's clean energy targeted to align with Schedule 3.A of the RCPS

Alignment with City of New Orleans' Climate Action Plan Goals (100% Renewable by 2035)	The average annual percent of a Portfolio's clean energy targeted to align with Climate Action Plan goals for 100% clean energy generation by 2035
<b>Macroeconomic Impact with ENO</b>	
Macroeconomic Factor (jobs, local economy impacts)	DSM spending represents only quantifiable macroeconomic impact at this time. Future ability to evaluate/model DERs could provide additional basis for comparison

## Score Card Metrics

Utility Cost (Portfolio optimization in AURORA)	Measure	A	B	C	D
Expected Value	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
<b>Utility Costs Impacted on ENO's Revenue Requirements</b>					
Net present Value of Revenue Requirements	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Nominal Portfolio Value (residential/other customer classes)	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
<b>Risk/Uncertainty</b>					
Distribution of Potential Utility Costs	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Range of Potential Utility Costs	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Probability of high CO2 intensity	1-100% Grading Scale	<33%	>33%	>66%	=100%
Probability of high groundwater usage	1-100% Grading Scale	<33%	>33%	>66%	=100%
<b>Reliability</b>					
Relative Loss of Load Expectation	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Flexible Resources	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Quick Start Resources	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
<b>Environmental Impact</b>					
CO2 Intensity	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
Groundwater Usage	1-100% Grading Scale	<33%	>33%	>66%	=100%
Land Usage	1-10 Grading Scale	>7.5	7.5-5.01	5-2.51	≤2.5
<b>Consistency with City Policies/Goals</b>					
Renewable and Clean Portfolio Standard (RCPS)	1-(-15%) Grading Scale	100% Low Carbon	>66% Low Carbon	>33% Low Carbon	<33% Low Carbon
Alignment with the City of New Orleans Climate Action Plan Goals (100% Clean by 2035)	100%	100% Clean Energy	>66% Clean Energy	>33% Clean Energy	<33% Clean Energy
<b>Macroeconomic Impact with ENO</b>					
Macroeconomic Factor (jobs, local economy impacts)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*In accordance with the RCPS stance on Carbon Capture, Carbon Capture would not align with Climate Action Plan scoring metrics and clean energy can be defined as resources including but not limited to, Solar, Wind, Hydroelectric, Battery Storage, Geothermal, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response, and Nuclear.