MOTION

NO. M-18-542

CITY HALL: December 20, 2017

BY: COUNCILMEMBERS WILLIAMS, HEAD, GUIDRY, BROSSETT AND GRAY

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 3-130 of the Home Rule Charter of the City of New Orleans, the New Orleans City Council exercises powers of supervision, regulation and control over electric and gas utilities providing service in the City; and

WHEREAS, to address the complex legal and technical issues necessary to properly meet its responsibility, the Council has selected consulting firm advisors, in accordance with the competitive selection process required by the Home Rule Charter and established by Council Rule 45; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Motion M-15-251 and the terms of the Request for Qualifications issued on April 22, 2015, the City Council authorized the retention of the accounting firm Bruno and Tervalon, LLP to provide consulting services to the City Council in electric and gas utility regulatory matters, authorizing an initial contract amount of $220,000.00 for said services for a period of up to five years renewable on an annual basis; and

WHEREAS, the Council desires to authorize and appropriate contract amendment(s) for further extension of Bruno and Tervalon, LLP’s provision of accounting consulting services to the Council in electric and gas utility regulatory matters, to assist in meeting the Council’s regulatory responsibility to the City and its ratepayers in 2019, and to increase the maximum compensation by $200,000.00; NOW, THEREFORE

BE IT MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, That the President of the Council is hereby authorized to sign appropriate contract amendment(s) with the
accounting firm of Bruno and Tervalon, LLP, CPAs to provide electric and/or natural gas utility accounting consulting services to the City Council for a one year period beginning January 1, 2019, for a maximum compensation under such contract amendment, for said year, of $200,000.00.

BE IT FURTHER MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, That such contract amendment(s) shall be properly routed in accordance with normal process and the City Council Rules.

THE FOREGOING MOTION WAS READ IN FULL, THE ROLL WAS CALLED ON THE ADOPTION THEREOF AND RESULTED AS FOLLOWS:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

AND THE MOTION WAS ADOPTED.