Follow-up Materials to the 2015 IRP Stakeholder Meeting

The following information is provided as a supplement to the information provided during the August 7th Stakeholder Meeting in response to stakeholder questions and feedback from that meeting.

Any additional requests for information may be sent to EAI at EAIIRP@entergy.com.
### Lifecycle Resource Cost for 2015 Resources

**Levelized $2015/MWh; based on 2015 installation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G Frame CT</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>$153</td>
<td>$195</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>$201</td>
<td>$143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Aeroderivative CT</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$97</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$82</td>
<td>$103</td>
<td>$142</td>
<td>$87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Combustion</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>$104</td>
<td>$141</td>
<td>$90</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$146</td>
<td>$95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x1 G Frame CCGT</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>$66</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x1 G Frame CCGT</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>$61</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC With CCS</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$219</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$153</td>
<td>$222</td>
<td>$101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$167</td>
<td>$316</td>
<td>$133</td>
<td>$167</td>
<td>$316</td>
<td>$133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>$134</td>
<td>$146</td>
<td>$134</td>
<td>$134</td>
<td>$146</td>
<td>$134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind (No Subsidy)</td>
<td>48%³</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar PV (30% ITC)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes capacity Levelized Nominal Lifecycle Cost of Resources Deployed in 2015, $/MWh. Lifecycle cost is based on assumed capacity factors for screening purposes. Projected capacity factors calculated by the Aurora production cost model may result in different lifecycle resource costs.
2. CO₂ emissions cost based on IRP reference case; begins in 2020 at $1.39/U.S. ton nominal $, reaches $32.10/ton in 2035.
3. Capacity factor representative of mid-west geographical region.
Sales & Load Forecasts

• What was the growth from 2004-2014?
  – EAI’s weather adjusted retail sales compound annual growth rate from 2004-2014 was 0.4%.

• What is the long term growth rate without the step increases in the load?
  – The 10 year CAGR for load from 2018-2028 for each of the scenarios is around 0.5%, with a slightly lower growth rate of around 0.45% for the low scenario. There are no industrial step increases in the load beyond 2018.
The following three slides show EAI’s load plus reserves compared to capacity resources for each of the three IRP Futures. No values have changed from the August 7 presentation; however, the capacity value from EAI’s demand side resources has been identified separately for clarification purposes.

The effective capacity is shown, which is 25% for solar resources, 14.7% for wind resources, based on the assumed capacity credit value from MISO, and 100% for CT, CCGT and demand-side capacity resources.
Future 2 – Load & Capability Position

Graph showing MW Load & Capacity Position from 2017 to 2036 with categories for Future 2 Supply Additions, Demand-side Capacity (LMR), Planned Generation Capacity, Existing Generation Capacity, and Load + Reserve Target.
Future 3 – Load & Capability Position

- Future 3 Supply Additions
- Demand-side Capacity (LMR)
- Planned Generation Capacity
- Existing Generation Capacity
- Load + Reserve Target
Based on modeled net generation, emissions rates (lb./MWh) have been calculated for each future. The rates are calculated as total emissions from EAI’s existing and incremental resources divided by EAI’s total existing and incremental generation.

Included in this calculation are all supply side resources including nuclear resources as well as EAI’s resources located outside of Arkansas. No adjustment has been made for assumed demand side (EE) resources.
Response to Written Questions

- Are the technology capacity factors a net or gross capacity factor?
  - The capacity factors (shown on slide 3) are net capacity factors.
Next Steps in IRP Development

- Engage with stakeholders, as requested, through early October
- Develop 2015 IRP Action Plan
- Receive and review Stakeholder Report
- File IRP Report no later than October 31