

Page 1

BEFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS SUPPLEMENTAL AND \* UD-16-02 AMENDING APPLICATION \* OF ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC. FOR \* APPROVAL TO \* CONSTRUCT NEW \* ORLEANS POWER \* STATION AND REQUEST \* FOR COST RECOVERY \* AND TIMELY RELIEF \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* PUBLIC Continuation of the evidentiary hearing

in the above-entitled matter before Honorable Jeffrey S. Gulin, held at 601 Poydras Street, 11th Floor, Bayou Rooms 1 and 2, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130, commencing at 8:30 A.M., on Tuesday, the 19th day of December, 2017.

# APPEARANCES:

ENTERGY SERVICES, INC. (By: Brian F. Guillot, Esquire - and -Tim Cragin, Esquire - and -Harry M. Barton, Esquire - and -Alyssa Maurice-Anderson, Esquire) 639 Loyola Avenue Suite 2600 New Orleans, Louisiana 70113

– and –

```
1
     APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
        STANLEY, REUTER, ROSS, THORNTON
 3
        & ALFORD, L.L.C.
        (By: W. Raley Alford, III, Esquire)
4
        909 Poydras Street
        Suite 2500
5
        New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
б
                - and -
 7
        DUGGINS WREN MANN & ROMERO, LLP
        (By: Scott Olsen, Esquire)
8
        600 Congress
        Suite 1900
9
        Austin, Texas 78701
          (Attorneys for Entergy New Orleans)
10
             Bguill1@entergy.com
             Wra@stanleyreuter.com
11
             Solsen@dwmrlaw.com
12
        DENTONS, US LLP
13
        (By: Clint Vince, Esquire
                    - and -
14
              Emma Hand, Esquire
                    - and -
15
              Presley Reed, Esquire
                    - and -
16
              Jay Beatmann, Esquire)
        650 Poydras Street
17
        Suite 2850
        New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-6132
18
                - and -
19
        WILKERSON AND ASSOCIATES, PLC
20
        (By: Walter J. Wilkerson, Esquire)
        650 Poydras Street
21
        Suite 1913
        New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
22
          (Attorneys for City Council Of
           New Orleans)
23
             Emma.hand@dentons.com
            wwilkerson@wilkersonplc.com
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
        EARTHJUSTICE
 3
              Susan Stevens Miller, Esquire
        (By:
                         - and -
              Chinyere A. Osuala, Esquire)
 4
        1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
 5
        Suite 702
        Washington, DC
                         20036
          (Attorneys for Alliance for
 6
           Affordable Energy and 350.org
7
             smiller@earthjustice.org
        SIERRA CLUB
 8
        (By: Joshua Smith, Esquire)
 9
        2101 Webster Street
        Suite 1300
10
        Oakland, California 94612
11
             - and -
12
        WALTZER WIYGUL GARSIDE
        (By: Michael Brown, Esquire
13
                 - and -
              Robert Wiygul, Esquire)
14
        1000 Behrman Highway
        Gretna, Louisiana 70056
15
          (Attorneys for Sierra Club)
            michael@wwglaw.com
16
            joshua.smith@sierraclub.org
17
        DEEP SOUTH CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
18
        JUSTICE, INC.
        (By: Monique Harden, Esquire)
19
        3157 Gentilly Boulevard
        Suite 145
20
        New Orleans, Louisiana
                                 70122
          (Attorney for Deep South Center
21
           for Environmental Justice, Inc.)
            MoniqueCovHarden@gmail.com
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
        THE LAW OFFICES OF ERNEST L. EDWARDS,
 3
        JR., APLC
        (By: Ernest L. Edwards, Jr., Esquire)
        300 Lake Marina Avenue
 4
        Unit 5BE
        New Orleans, Louisiana 70124
 5
          (Attorney for Air Products and
           Chemicals, Inc.)
 6
            ledwards0526@qmail.com
 7
 8
        ROEDEL, PARSONS, KOCH, BLACHE,
        BALHOFF & McCOLLISTER
 9
        (By: Luke F. Piontek, Esquire)
        8440 Jefferson Highway
        Suite 301
10
        Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809
          (Attorneys for New Orleans Cold Storage
11
           & Warehouse Co., Ltd.)
12
            lpiontek@roedelparsons.com
13
14
     REPORTED BY:
15
16
        KATHY SHAW-GALLAGHER, CCR, RPR
        Certified Court Reporter
17
        (No. 049519)
        Curren Court Reporters
18
        749 Aurora Avenue
        Suite 4
19
        Metairie, Louisiana 70005
        (504) 833-3330 (800) 487-3376
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

Г

1	E X A M I N A T I O N I N D E X
1 2	
2	PAGE
3	
4	WITNESS:
1	ROBERT M. FAGAN
5	
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD14
7	EXAMINATION BY MS. HAND
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH43
9	WITNESS:
10	MTTTNI • COUTINT •
	BLISS HIGGINS
11	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER
	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN62
13	
14	WITNESS:
15	GEORGE LOSONSKY
16	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER
17	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN
18	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATMANN127
19	WITNESS:
20	
0.1	ORLANDO TODD
21	EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS128
22	
0.0	EXAMINATION BY MR. REED
23	RE-EXAMINATION
24	EXAMINATION BY MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON143
25	

	Page o
1	EXHIBIT INDEX
2 3	PAGE
4	EXHIBIT DSCEJ NO. 370
5	EXHIBIT DSCEJ NO. 4
б	
7	EXHIBIT DSCEJ NO. 5116
8	SEALED HSPM MATERIAL:
9	(None)
10 11	SEALED CEII MATERIAL:
12	(None)
13	* * * * *
14	KATHY SHAW-GALLAGHER, certified
15	Court Reporter, State of Louisiana,
16	officiated in administering the oath to
17 18	the witness.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
40	

Page 7

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE GULIN: 3 Good morning, everyone. EVERYONE: 4 5 Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE GULIN: 6 7 I did see last night some 8 correspondence -- or maybe it was early this morning -- concerning the running 9 exhibit list. 10 Has that all been resolved, 11 12 Mr. Edwards? 13 MR. EDWARDS: Sir? 14 15 JUDGE GULIN: 16 Hold on one second. Sorry about 17 that. I was asking if -- I saw this 18 morning some e-mail correspondence 19 20 regarding the exhibit list. Has that all been resolved? 21 22 MR. EDWARDS: 23 I haven't -- My only response to the e-mail was that it said that it included 24 25 the exhibits through yesterday, and at

least what I got on the e-mail did not. 1 2 So --3 JUDGE GULIN: It was AP 1, 2, and 3, Ms. Hand? 4 5 MR. EDWARDS: Yes. 6 7 MS. HAND: 8 Yes. Those are included in the list. The documents didn't have a title 9 on them, so I had to kind of improvise 10 11 the description of them. If Mr. Edwards would prefer a different description, I'm 12 13 more than happy to accommodate. 14 MR. EDWARDS: 15 Well, at least on my e-mail, it 16 didn't even have those on there. 17 They were on there? MS. HAND: 18 19 Yeah. 20 JUDGE GULIN: 21 I think Ms. Hand indicated they were 22 at the very bottom. So you have to read 23 the whole page. MR. EDWARDS: 24 25 After two glasses of wine last

1	night, maybe I just went blind. Those
2	are all from the Mr. Cureington's
3	testimony, Exhibits 10 and 11, the three
4	Air Products exhibits.
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	All right. Well, check it out
7	again, and obviously if there's an error,
8	it will be corrected.
9	And by the way, just for the record,
10	today is December 19th, our third day of
11	hearing. And we're going a little bit
12	out of order this morning. We're going
13	to be presenting Mr. Robert Fagan for
14	cross-examination on behalf of the joint
15	intervenors.
16	And is there any other preliminary
17	matter before we go to cross-examination
18	of Mr. Fagan?
19	MR. BROWN:
20	Excuse me, Your Honor. Michael
21	Brown on behalf of the Sierra Club. Just
22	one more point of clarification with the
23	exhibit list. I believe one of the
24	exhibits, SC-7, it was at least my intent
25	to admit it. I may not have said it

Page 10

adequately, but that one I was hoping to 1 2 admit for cross purposes, but if it's 3 showing it's not admitted --JUDGE GULIN: 4 5 Okay. Is there any objection, admitting it for cross purposes? It's 6 7 not substantive evidence. I think for 8 completion of the record, I would be inclined to grant that request. 9 So let's admit it for cross 10 11 purposes. 12 Was there another one that the 13 status was unclear, Ms. Hand? 14 MS. HAND: Yes. I had AAE/350 No. 2 as 15 16 pending. I believe they had moved it, 17 but we never got a ruling from you on it. 18 JUDGE GULIN: 19 Well, why didn't I render a ruling? 20 Did I say -- Was I waiting -- Can anyone 21 refresh my recollection on that, what I 22 was waiting for? 23 MS. HAND: 24 I have a note that it was --Yes. that there was no objection to moving it 25

1	as substantive evidence subject to
2	verification, that you were waiting on
3	verification from one of the parties if
4	they had no objection to it.
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	Okay. Well, assuming there's no
7	objection at this point, it's admitted.
8	If something is brought to my attention
9	during the course of the hearing, we'll
10	revisit that.
11	MR. GUILLOT:
12	SEC-8?
13	MS. HAND:
14	AAE/350-2.
15	JUDGE GULIN:
16	All right. Who is crossing?
17	MR. ALFORD:
18	I am, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	Okay.
21	ROBERT M. FAGAN,
22	after having been duly sworn by the
23	above-mentioned Certified Court Reporter, was
24	examined and testified as follows:
25	MR. SMITH:

1	Your Honor, Josh Smith on behalf of
2	Sierra Club. Before we begin cross, I
3	did want to note I believe Mr. Fagan has
4	four minor corrections, line edits, to
5	his testimony if we could go through
б	those first.
7	JUDGE GULIN:
8	I think that's the way to proceed.
9	Mr. Fagan, if you would, please.
10	MR. FAGAN:
11	The first edit is on page 7 of my
12	testimony at line 13. Towards the end of
13	the line, there's a sentence that begins,
14	"Solar PV lowers summer peak load." And
15	it should say "Solar PV lowers summer
16	peak load on the transmission system."
17	The second is on page 13 at line 3.
18	Towards the second half of the line, it
19	says "would reduce peak loading." It
20	should say "would reduce peak loading on
21	the transmission system."
22	The third correction is on page 15,
23	line 16. The first word on that line
24	is currently is "cost effectiveness."
25	It should say "cost effective."

1	And the fourth location is on
2	page 39, line 1. It currently says
3	"lowering summer peak loads." It should
4	say "lowering summer peak loads on the
5	transmission system."
6	JUDGE GULIN:
7	Is that it?
8	MR. FAGAN:
9	Yes.
10	JUDGE GULIN:
11	Okay. Any objection to those
12	corrections?
13	MR. ALFORD:
14	No objection.
15	JUDGE GULIN:
16	All right. Mr. Smith or Mr. Brown
17	or whoever, do you by any chance have a
18	copy that you could allow me to use
19	during the course of cross, of his
20	testimonies?
21	If it's not convenient, don't worry
22	about it, but if you have an extra
23	copy
24	MR. SMITH:
25	I do. There are some other

Page 14

1	testimonies in it, in the same binder,
2	but this is it.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Thank you. I appreciate it.
5	Okay. Whenever you're ready.
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD:
7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Fagan.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. It's nice to see you again.
10	A. Same here.
11	Q. Am I correct that your trip here
12	today is your first time you've been in New
13	Orleans?
14	A. Yes, that's correct.
15	Q. You work for a consulting firm in
16	Massachusetts that is called Synapse; correct?
17	A. Yes. Synapse Energy Economics.
18	Q. And the office of Synapse where you
19	work is in Massachusetts; correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Synapse has clients who are in the
22	solar industry; correct?
23	A. We have some in the solar industry.
24	Most of our clients are consumer advocates,
25	regulators, or regulatory staff, environmental

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	advocates. We have a small number of private
2	clients.
3	Q. And most of Synapse's clients are
4	not direct market participants in MISO; is that
5	correct?
6	A. Yes, that's correct.
7	Q. You worked for an electric utility
8	for three years after you graduated from
9	college in 1981; correct?
10	A. Yes, that's correct.
11	Q. And that utility was in Rhode
12	Island?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And that was the only utility that
15	you've worked for as an employee; correct?
16	A. Yes, that's correct.
17	Q. You did not work in transmission
18	planning when you were with the utility;
19	correct?
20	A. No. I worked in transmission and
21	distribution, operations and maintenance.
22	Q. But not in planning?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you have any role in operations
25	or dispatch of electric generation for the

1	utility?
2	A. No. A little bit on the
3	transmission and distribution side, circuit
4	breakers, etc., components, but not generation.
5	Q. Did you have any role in generation
б	resource planning when you worked for the
7	utility?
8	A. No, I did not.
9	Q. And the work that you have done as a
10	consultant in resource planning has been mostly
11	outside of the southeast; correct?
12	A. Yes, that's generally correct.
13	Q. Have you ever participated in the
14	negotiations for the sale of energy or
15	capacity?
16	A. No, I don't believe I have.
17	Q. Have you ever participated in actual
18	bidding into the MISO market?
19	A. No, I have not.
20	Q. When you prepared your testimony in
21	this case, you did not review ENO's 2015
22	integrated resource plan or any of the
23	materials or transcripts that resulted from
24	that proceeding; correct?
25	A. No. I've since looked at it, but

1	not comprehensively at all.
2	Q. When you prepared, your testimony
3	you did not run an Aurora production cost
4	model, did you?
5	A. No. Time and resource constraints
6	did not allow us to do that.
7	Q. And when you prepared your
8	testimony, you also didn't run any capacity
9	expansion modeling packages; correct?
10	A. No, we did not run any capacity
11	expansion models.
12	Q. Did you run any power flow modeling?
13	A. No, we did not. We just reviewed
14	the results done by Entergy.
15	Q. Isn't it correct that there is no
16	economic analysis in your testimony that
17	demonstrates the cost impact to ENO's customers
18	from the different resource possibilities that
19	you mention?
20	A. The term "economic analysis" is a
21	very broad term. There's no specific rerun of
22	the Aurora production cost modeling, but
23	there's certainly analysis behind looking at
24	the alternatives and understanding
25	directionally where the overall economics would

Page 18

1 qo if you made a particular change. 2 For example, as part of Mr. Cureington's testimony, he includes 3 demand-side management costs and the savings 4 5 associated with those are in his analyses. It's fairly straightforward to understand that 6 7 if the demand-side management costs were not as 8 high as he indicated there and the savings were greater, it would change the direction of the 9 overall economic effect. So that type of 10 11 analysis is -- made up a significant part of 12 the testimony that I was charged to undertake. 13 MR. BROWN: 14 Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike the witness's response. It was 15 nonresponsive. He went way far afield 16 17 from the question. 18 JUDGE GULIN: 19 I think that was responsive. EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD: 20 21 Mr. Fagan, do you recall giving a 0. 22 deposition in this matter in November of this 23 year? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Do you have a copy of that Ο.

1	deposition in front of you?
2	A. No, I don't.
3	MR. ALFORD:
4	Your Honor, may I approach?
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	Please.
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD:
8	Q. Okay. Mr. Fagan, if I could direct
9	you to page 66 of that transcript.
10	MR. ALFORD:
11	And for the record, the deposition
12	date is November 15th, 2017.
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD:
14	Q. At the bottom of page 66, I asked
15	you, Isn't it correct that there is no economic
16	analysis here that demonstrates the impact, the
17	cost impact to ENO's customers from the
18	different possibilities that you mention? (As
19	read.)
20	And your answer at the top of
21	page 67 to my question was, Yes, that's
22	correct. (As read.)
23	A. Yes, that's true. And clearly from
24	the context on page 66, I had indicated that
25	there were no specific metrics that show that,

1	but as I indicated, I believe during deposition
2	and certainly just immediately prior to this,
3	that I didn't have specific metrics because we
4	were not able to rerun the Aurora to get at one
5	of the more important components of costs. It
6	doesn't mean that there wasn't, you know, a
7	sense of analysis behind the work that we did.
8	Q. Thank you, Mr. Fagan.
9	Would you agree that Entergy New
10	Orleans has an obligation to serve all customer
11	load?
12	A. Yes, I would.
13	Q. And you would agree that the
14	intervenors in this case don't have that same
15	obligation?
16	A. No. They're not utility companies.
17	Q. When you prepared your testimony,
18	you did not perform detailed integrated
19	resource planning that would be necessary to
20	determine whether ENO will be short or long
21	over a 20-year planning horizon, did you?
22	A. No, I did not.
23	Q. And your testimony does not set
24	forth a specific basket of resources that ENO
25	could rely on in later years of this planning

Page 21

1	horizon if NOPS is not constructed; correct?
2	A. Not a specific basket, but I did
3	reference clearly in a number of places in my
4	testimony the alternative resources that were
5	available that in combination, you know, could
б	make up a reasonable alternative portfolio in
7	place of the gas plant.
8	Q. Mr. Fagan, isn't it true, though,
9	that your testimony did not set forth specific
10	quantities of any resources that you considered
11	available?
12	A. Yes, that's true. Like I just said,
13	it didn't set forth specific quantities. But
14	it certainly made it clear that energy
15	efficiency and demand response resources and
16	solar PV and transmission reinforcement and
17	possibly additional batteries all could make up
18	a portfolio. And as my testimony was quite
19	clear on, it's my testimony that Entergy did
20	not do a full enough analysis of those
21	different alternative combinations.
22	Q. Now, Mr. Fagan, your testimony does
23	not address or dispute the methodology that
24	Entergy uses to forecast load; correct?
25	A. Yes, that's correct.

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	Q. And you don't set forth in your
2	testimony an in-depth analysis to assess the
3	achievability of any particular level of
4	planned DSM or energy efficiency deployment for
5	New Orleans; correct?
6	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that
7	question?
8	Q. Sure. You don't set forth in your
9	testimony an in-depth analysis to assess the
10	achievability of any particular level of
11	planned DSM or energy efficiency deployment for
12	New Orleans?
13	A. No. I do note very clearly that one
14	of the DSM scenarios listed in Navigant's
15	report, which was included as one of Entergy's
16	exhibits, was the achievable DSM scenario.
17	That was Scenario No. 1 from that report. And
18	in that report, that suggests a level of DSM
19	that was roughly twice as high as what Entergy
20	is currently doing. And then there were
21	additional scenarios in that report. So I
22	certainly drew a comparison to Navigant's
23	Scenario 1 as but one possible comparison point
24	to the level of demand-side management that
25	Entergy incorporated into its analyses in the

Page 23

1 reference case portfolios. 2 So you mentioned Navigant in your 0. testimony, but you did not prepare or set forth 3 in your testimony your own independent 4 5 analysis; correct? Α. No. I relied upon the existence of 6 7 Navigant's achievable scenario as a first 8 comparison point to the level of DSM that Entergy had included in its reference 9 portfolios. 10 11 When you prepared your testimony, Ο. 12 you didn't study the measures that ENO has deployed through its Energy Smart program, did 13 14 you? 15 No, I did not study the measures. Α. Isn't it correct that you have never 16 0. produced a load forecast for the purpose of 17 18 resource planning? 19 Α. Yeah, that's certainly true. 20 Ο. And in connection with your 21 testimony, you did not analyze ENO's existing 22 capacity resources; did you? 23 I'm sorry. Could you --Α. 24 Sure. In connection with your Ο. testimony, you did not analyze ENO's existing 25

Page 24

1 | capacity resources?

2 No, that's not true. I certainly Α. 3 looked at the material in Mr. Cureington's exhibits, in the confidential exhibits, I 4 5 believe, that listed all of the resources that make up its portfolio. And I certainly did 6 look at the balances, the capacity balances 7 under his scenarios and then under scenarios 8 9 where the demand-side management would be increased to have a general sense of the 10 11 sensitivity of capacity balance in Entergy's 12 portfolios to what it would look like if there 13 was more solar or more demand-side management. 14 And I believe I've got that stated in my testimony where I reference the fact that by a 15 number of years out, Entergy is basically not 16 17 short or not long under certain demand-side 18 management and solar resource scenarios. So I 19 certainly did look at their resources that they 20 had listed as part of their supply portfolio. 21 But, Mr. Fagan, in terms of looking 0.

22 at different capacity resources that Entergy 23 listed, you did not do an independent review of 24 any of those resources, did you?

25

A. No. There was no need to do -- I'm

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	not gure exactly what you mean by "an
	not sure exactly what you mean by "an
2	independent review." There are existing
3	resources. Mr. Cureington's table laid out
4	which of those resources and at what quantities
5	were available over the planning period. There
6	wasn't any particular need to review them.
7	Q. Do you agree that generating units
8	cannot operate in perpetuity?
9	A. Yes, in general. There are some
10	generating units that have been on since their
11	construction at the turn of the century, but,
12	generally, that's a true statement. The turn
13	of the It's the 20th Century I was referring
14	to. Very old hydro assets, for example.
15	Q. In connection with your testimony,
16	you did not analyze the amount of solar
17	capacity that can be located in New Orleans for
18	the DSG load pocket, did you?
19	A. Not in connection with my testimony.
20	Subsequent to my testimony, I did look at
21	additional documentation.
22	MR. ALFORD:
23	Move to strike, Your Honor.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Yeah. You don't need to go any

1	further than that, Mr. Fagan.
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD:
3	Q. In connection with your testimony,
4	Mr. Fagan, did you do an independent study to
5	forecast solar PV costs?
6	A. No. I relied on, as referenced in
7	my testimony, documentation that lays out the
8	cost trends across the nation for utility scale
9	and small scale solar.
10	Q. And when you prepared your
11	testimony, you did not perform an independent
12	analysis of the expected installation rate of
13	solar in New Orleans; correct?
14	A. That's correct. I did not do that.
15	Q. And when you prepared your
16	testimony, you did not do any analysis of
17	capacity resource types or ages in MISO South;
18	correct?
19	A. No. I don't think that's true.
20	There's reference in my testimony to looking at
21	the makeup of resources in MISO to have a sense
22	of whether or not increased solar penetration
23	in New Orleans would create problems, so to
24	speak, for dispatch. So I had certainly looked
25	at broadly the resource base in MISO South,

1	which is, you know predominantly gas and
2	coal-fired assets and nuclear assets.
3	Q. Can I get you to turn back to the
4	transcript of your November 15th, 2017,
5	deposition? At page 85, and I'm at around
6	line 10, and I'm reading from an answer that
7	you gave, and I'm quoting you, But I haven't
8	done any sort of, you know, real analysis of
9	capacity resource types or ages in MISO South,
10	end quote. (As read.) That was your
11	statement; correct?
12	A. Yes, that's my statement.
13	Q. All right. Do you agree, Mr. Fagan,
14	that the accelerated retirement of older
15	generation in MISO South will put pressure on
16	the capacity resource balance?
17	A. Only when looked at holistically
18	with potential new additions of capacity.
19	That's part of what goes on, units retire and
20	new units are added. So the balance, of
21	course, you have to look at both of those
22	things, along with what's going on on the load
23	side.
24	Q. Your testimony doesn't set forth an
25	opinion on when different units in MISO South

Page 28

1 might deactivate, does it? 2 No, it does not. Α. 3 And you agree that there are risks 0. associated with relying on MISO's capacity 4 5 market as a source of long-term capacity? Α. There are risks associated with 6 7 considering relying on a market or building a 8 resource in order to meet capacity needs. There's a risk of paying too much. 9 For 10 example, if you build a new plant, that's a lot 11 more expensive than the resources available in 12 the market. 13 You know, as is clear, Entergy 14 covers most of its obligations throughout most of the planning period with the resources that 15 it already has under obligation I would 16 presume. So we're talking about a relatively 17 small residual balance that a utility company 18 19 could rely on the market, or it could just go 20 ahead and build a new resource. Although 21 clearly in this case, if it does that, Entergy 22 will be long and then it will be exposed to the 23 price patterns associated with the MISO 24 capacity market because it will be relying on 25 payments through that MISO capacity market for

Page 29

any capacity that it might be long. 1 2 MR. ALFORD: Your Honor, objection. 3 JUDGE GULIN: 4 5 I overrule the objection. EXAMINATION BY MR. ALFORD: 6 7 Mr. Fagan, do you agree that annual 0. 8 capacity prices can be volatile? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Isn't it correct that you set forth 0. 11 no analysis in your testimony about the capacity that may be available to ENO outside 12 13 of the planning resource auction bilaterally or 14 through power purchase agreements? Yes, that's -- Well, yeah, to some 15 Α. extent. What I do reference in my testimony is 16 17 the existence of MISO's 2017 resource survey 18 results which sets out their expectations for 19 resource surplus or shortage over the 2018 to 20 2022 period. So my referencing that information is part of my overall sense of what 21 22 might be available in the market, be it for 23 bilateral purchase or be it for purchase on the 24 actual MISO spot capacity market. 25 Have you ever negotiated a power 0.

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	purchase agreement?
2	A. No, I have not.
3	Q. And do you agree that your testimony
4	does not contain your own analysis or
5	projection of specific future capacity prices
6	in MISO?
7	A. It doesn't contain my own
8	projection. It certainly incorporates my own
9	analysis in that looking at the reference that
10	I just referred to, the 2017 MISO resource
11	survey, I get a sense of the direction of
12	whether or not the MISO capacity market is
13	relatively long or relatively short, and
14	following the fundamentals of that market,
15	prices would fall from that.
16	Q. But you don't have your own
17	projections of MISO capacity prices for the
18	next five or ten years?
19	A. I do not, and I didn't need to. I
20	relied on Entergy's projections and the
21	alternative case projections to demonstrate
22	that generally from a resource adequacy
23	prospective, it's not economic to put in the
24	gas plants.
25	Q. Isn't it correct that in preparing

Page 31

1	your testimony, you did not review any
2	extensive detail of the 2016 state of the
3	market report prepared by the MISO independent
4	market monitor?
5	A. It's correct, I did not review that
6	report in detail. I focused on reviewing
7	MISO's 2017 resource adequacy survey to have a
8	handle on the relative supply shortage or
9	surplus during the next five years.
10	Q. And when you prepared your
11	testimony, you weren't familiar with narrow
12	constrained areas within MISO South, were you?
13	A. No. I'm familiar with them, but I
14	did not address any aspect of that or do any
15	analysis of that as part of my testimony.
16	Q. And when you prepared your
17	testimony, you didn't know whether New Orleans
18	was located in a narrow constrained area;
19	correct?
20	A. That's correct. I did not know
21	that. But what I did know is that New Orleans
22	is located in MISO'S Load Zone 9, and for the
23	purpose of the capacity market and the resource
24	adequacy construct, that drives the obligations
25	and the dollar flows for capacity. That's the

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	construct that matters most, that it's part of
2	Load Zone 9.
3	Q. Mr. Fagan, have you ever planned or
4	operated transmission in MISO South?
5	A. No, I have not.
6	Q. Isn't it correct that when you
7	prepared your testimony, you didn't do any
8	studies to determine the feasibility of outage
9	scheduling for transmission lines into the ENO
10	service area for the next ten years?
11	A. That's correct. I did not do that.
12	I would not be able to do that. I don't work
13	for Entergy and I don't have the sort of
14	information that one needs to have to do that
15	sort of work.
16	Q. Isn't it correct that you've never
17	worked in restoring an electric system after a
18	hurricane?
19	A. Yes, that's correct. I have worked
20	after a winter storm helping to restore
21	distribution assets up in Massachusetts, but
22	that was a long time ago.
23	Q. Mr. Fagan, do you agree that
24	electric utility customers can benefit from
25	natural gas generation?

2Orleans and most of the ratepayers in the3United States already benefit from that in that4gas makes up a large fraction of the generating5capacity. So if you benefit from electricity6consumption, you're benefiting from the use of7natural gas to make up part of that generation.8Q. Isn't it correct that most of the9anticipated firm resource additions in MISO10over the next ten years will be gas generation?11A. I believe that's correct based on12something that was presented to me at13deposition, but I think what's important and14I also believe that shows up in rebuttal15testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's16important to remember is that there are a lot17of non-firm or non-committed resources that are18queued up in MISO and that will play a role in19the resources that come on to the system over20the next decade even though they're not21currently indicated as firm, committed22resources. And my testimony references some of23the numbers around the amount of additional24generation besides just the gas that's in25MISO's queue.	1	A. Yes, broadly speaking. Entergy New
4gas makes up a large fraction of the generating5capacity. So if you benefit from electricity6consumption, you're benefiting from the use of7natural gas to make up part of that generation.8Q. Isn't it correct that most of the9anticipated firm resource additions in MISO10over the next ten years will be gas generation?11A. I believe that's correct based on12something that was presented to me at13deposition, but I think what's important and14I also believe that shows up in rebuttal15testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's16important to remember is that there are a lot17of non-firm or non-committed resources that are18queued up in MISO and that will play a role in19the next decade even though they're not20the next decade as firm, committed21currently indicated as firm, committed22resources. And my testimony references some of23the numbers around the amount of additional24generation besides just the gas that's in	2	Orleans and most of the ratepayers in the
capacity. So if you benefit from electricity consumption, you're benefiting from the use of natural gas to make up part of that generation. Q. Isn't it correct that most of the anticipated firm resource additions in MISO over the next ten years will be gas generation? A. I believe that's correct based on something that was presented to me at deposition, but I think what's important and I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are gueued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	3	United States already benefit from that in that
consumption, you're benefiting from the use of natural gas to make up part of that generation. Q. Isn't it correct that most of the anticipated firm resource additions in MISO over the next ten years will be gas generation? A. I believe that's correct based on something that was presented to me at deposition, but I think what's important and I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are gueued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	4	gas makes up a large fraction of the generating
natural gas to make up part of that generation. Q. Isn't it correct that most of the anticipated firm resource additions in MISO over the next ten years will be gas generation? A. I believe that's correct based on something that was presented to me at deposition, but I think what's important and I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	5	capacity. So if you benefit from electricity
<ul> <li>Q. Isn't it correct that most of the</li> <li>anticipated firm resource additions in MISO</li> <li>over the next ten years will be gas generation?</li> <li>A. I believe that's correct based on</li> <li>something that was presented to me at</li> <li>deposition, but I think what's important and</li> <li>I also believe that shows up in rebuttal</li> <li>testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's</li> <li>important to remember is that there are a lot</li> <li>of non-firm or non-committed resources that are</li> <li>queued up in MISO and that will play a role in</li> <li>the resources that come on to the system over</li> <li>the next decade even though they're not</li> <li>currently indicated as firm, committed</li> <li>resources. And my testimony references some of</li> <li>the numbers around the amount of additional</li> <li>generation besides just the gas that's in</li> </ul>	б	consumption, you're benefiting from the use of
9 anticipated firm resource additions in MISO over the next ten years will be gas generation? A. I believe that's correct based on something that was presented to me at deposition, but I think what's important and I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	7	natural gas to make up part of that generation.
10over the next ten years will be gas generation?11A. I believe that's correct based on12something that was presented to me at13deposition, but I think what's important and14I also believe that shows up in rebuttal15testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's16important to remember is that there are a lot17of non-firm or non-committed resources that are18queued up in MISO and that will play a role in19the resources that come on to the system over20the next decade even though they're not21currently indicated as firm, committed22resources. And my testimony references some of23the numbers around the amount of additional24generation besides just the gas that's in	8	Q. Isn't it correct that most of the
A. I believe that's correct based on something that was presented to me at deposition, but I think what's important and I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	9	anticipated firm resource additions in MISO
12 something that was presented to me at 13 deposition, but I think what's important and 14 I also believe that shows up in rebuttal 15 testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's 16 important to remember is that there are a lot 17 of non-firm or non-committed resources that are 18 queued up in MISO and that will play a role in 19 the resources that come on to the system over 20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	10	over the next ten years will be gas generation?
13 deposition, but I think what's important and 14 I also believe that shows up in rebuttal 15 testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's 16 important to remember is that there are a lot 17 of non-firm or non-committed resources that are 18 queued up in MISO and that will play a role in 19 the resources that come on to the system over 20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	11	A. I believe that's correct based on
I also believe that shows up in rebuttal testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's important to remember is that there are a lot of non-firm or non-committed resources that are queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	12	something that was presented to me at
15 testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's 16 important to remember is that there are a lot 17 of non-firm or non-committed resources that are 18 queued up in MISO and that will play a role in 19 the resources that come on to the system over 20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	13	deposition, but I think what's important and
16 important to remember is that there are a lot 17 of non-firm or non-committed resources that are 18 queued up in MISO and that will play a role in 19 the resources that come on to the system over 20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	14	I also believe that shows up in rebuttal
of non-firm or non-committed resources that are queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	15	testimony of Entergy witnesses. But what's
queued up in MISO and that will play a role in the resources that come on to the system over the next decade even though they're not currently indicated as firm, committed resources. And my testimony references some of the numbers around the amount of additional generation besides just the gas that's in	16	important to remember is that there are a lot
19 the resources that come on to the system over 20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	17	of non-firm or non-committed resources that are
20 the next decade even though they're not 21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	18	queued up in MISO and that will play a role in
21 currently indicated as firm, committed 22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	19	the resources that come on to the system over
22 resources. And my testimony references some of 23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	20	the next decade even though they're not
23 the numbers around the amount of additional 24 generation besides just the gas that's in	21	currently indicated as firm, committed
24 generation besides just the gas that's in	22	resources. And my testimony references some of
	23	the numbers around the amount of additional
25 MISO's queue.	24	generation besides just the gas that's in
	25	MISO's queue.

Γ

1	MR. ALFORD:
2	Let me check my notes, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Sure.
5	MR. ALFORD:
6	I may be done.
7	Mr. Fagan, thank you very much. I
8	have no further questions.
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Let's go to the advisors.
11	MS. HAND:
12	If I could have just a minute, Your
13	Honor. I think Mr. Alford asked a number
14	of my questions. I just want to double
15	check.
16	JUDGE GULIN:
17	Okay. Sure.
18	EXAMINATION BY MS. HAND:
19	Q. Good morning, Mr. Fagan.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. I'm Emma Hand with the Council's
22	utility advisors, and we've met before, I
23	believe.
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And you have in front of you a copy

All right. Now, isn't it true,

of your -- the deposition transcript from your

Mr. Fagan, that aside from being able to be

relatively certain that it will be an amount

any particular level of savings from energy

guarantee that if you replace appliances and

replace light bulbs and replace refrigeration

drives, beef up your insulation, replace your

air conditioners, I can guarantee that there

type of impact analysis or process analysis

management program, for example, so I can't

place a number on it. It wasn't my charge.

All I can do is look to the evidence that's --

would will be savings, but I have not done the

that one might do when looking at a demand-side

and motors and install variable frequency

greater than zero, you can't actually guarantee

Well, as an engineer, I could

like Navigant's Scenario 1, for example, to

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know.

deposition?

Α.

Ο.

Α.

Yes.

efficiency will occur?

Thank you. Q.

And you have not or had not at the

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	time that you performed provided your
2	testimony had not performed any analysis of
3	the feasibility of deploying 200 megawatts of
4	solar in New Orleans, had you?
5	A. That's correct. I had not done such
б	an analysis.
7	Q. Okay. And you have not or had not
8	at the time that you provided your testimony
9	done any analysis of the amount of potential
10	solar capacity that can be located in either
11	New Orleans East, Orleans Parish, or DSG as a
12	whole, had you?
13	A. No, I had not.
14	Q. Okay. And, similarly, you had not
15	done any analysis on how much solar PV
16	penetration will increase in New Orleans, have
17	you?
18	A. No, I had not.
19	Q. And you also had not done a study of
20	the economic viability of battery storage in
21	MISO, had you?
22	A. No. I've only referenced the broad
23	declining cost trends associated with that
24	technology.
25	Q. Now, you would generally agree with

1	the statement that natural gas-fired capacity
2	will continue to be an important part of the
3	U.S. energy mix for the foreseeable future,
4	don't you?
5	A. I do. Primarily because there's so
6	much of it that's already in place right now
7	and there's more of it that's on the immediate,
8	near-term horizon.
9	Q. Thank you.
10	And you did not you would not
11	guarantee, would you, that renewables and DSM
12	alone would be able to keep the lights on in
13	New Orleans in the event that a storm takes out
14	the transmission grid and leaves New Orleans
15	electrically islanded, would you?
16	A. No, nobody could. That's I don't
17	really think that that's what's at issue here.
18	The system works holistically, not just with
19	renewables and DSM but with all of the other
20	generating resources.
21	Q. All of which are dependent upon the
22	transmission; correct?
23	A. Absolutely
24	Q. Thank you.
25	A as generation resources

# 12/19/2017

to operate until the rest of the system comes back after a hurricane. But, you know, it involves all the moving parts. It's never just about DSM and renewables. It's everything. Ο. Now, in a microgrid type of situation like that, would you expect the microgrid to be powered solely by solar PV or would there be another generator used in combination with solar? 24 Α. Oh, there's so many different ways 25 to do it. You could envision a microgrid (504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

nationwide are dependent on the transmission

2

system.

1

3

4

5

And behind-the-meter solar is not 0. particularly likely to be able to support storm restoration, is it?

Α. Not on its own. Storm restoration 6 7 is a -- involves a lot more components than 8 just the presence of rooftop solar. There certainly could be isolated areas where rooftop 9 solar, if configured properly, could play a 10 11 valuable role, you know, for example, in a 12 microgrid type of an arrangement where critical 13 facilities are designed to allow something like 14 rooftop solar and batteries to allow something 15 16 17 18

19 20 21 22 23

Hearing - Public

1	arrangement where it is just solar or batteries
2	or a microgrid that would also include a diesel
3	or a gas-fired generator, for example, but, you
4	know, to help ensure police and fire and
5	hospitals could get back more quickly. But
6	certainly the emphasis that a utility would
7	need to always make and does make as I
8	understand it is to basically get the wires
9	back. I mean, that's what causes the problem
10	so that's what you do. You get the wires back.
11	I mean, in general, it's not about the
12	generation resources. It's about getting the
13	wires back.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	And you would agree, wouldn't you,
16	that there are risks to both being short on
17	capacity and being long on capacity?
18	A. Those risks can be asymmetrical.
19	Whether or not you're long or short and the
20	financial effect depends upon your sense of
21	what the price is going to be. If the price is
22	looking like it's going to be relatively low,
23	there's a greater risk if you're low on
24	capacity. If the price is looking like it's
25	going to be relatively high, there is a greater

Page 40

1 risk if you're short on capacity. 2 0. Thank you. The situation we're in now is 3 Α. absolutely that we're looking like the price is 4 5 going to be low and Entergy's proposal here is to be long on capacity. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Fagan. 0. Isn't it true that the multi-value 8 projects in MISO are not designed to provide 9 benefits to MISO South or to ENO specifically? 10 11 Α. The multi-value projects were 12 designed to allow a lot more wind to be 13 integrated on to the grid. They were focused in MISO North when that was done. However, 14 what affects MISO North affects MISO South in 15 that it's a single region. And in the last set 16 of capacity auctions, MISO North and MISO South 17 18 have not been separated. The price has been 19 the same. So basically if there is not a 20 binding constraint in that capacity market between MISO North and MISO South, the effect 21 of additional resources in MISO North that help 22 23 maintain a surplus will have an affect on the 24 clearing prices in MISO South. 25 The creation of MISO basically

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	expanded the market and Entergy's customers are
2	completely exposed to Entergy's decisions as
3	they look at the trajectory of what's going on
4	with capacity prices in MISO South. And it is
5	absolutely certain that there's a connection
б	between those two regions. That's the
7	structure of MISO. So it's important to not
8	underestimate the ability of actions in MISO
9	North to affect the broad capacity prices and
10	affect the zones in MISO South.
11	Q. Thank you, Mr. Fagan.
12	And I believe this is my last
13	question. You did not do in the preparation of
14	your testimony any analysis to determine the
15	feasibility of Entergy resolving its
16	reliability issues by reducing its load over
17	time or how long that would take to do, did
18	you?
19	A. What I I did look at the
20	testimonies of Entergy's witnesses. I did not
21	specifically look at how the actions that
22	Entergy takes would lower the load on the
23	system or, you know, additional transmission
24	investment would mitigate against the
25	contingencies that they're concerned about, but

1	it is fairly straightforward directionally.
2	As we've seen with the updated
3	exhibits by Entergy's witnesses that the
4	violations are less extreme. When you
5	incorporate solar PV and when you incorporate
б	more demand-side management, the violations get
7	less severe. So I think there is evidence in
8	the record that follows from fundamentals. If
9	the load is lower, you know, the violations are
10	likely to be lower. It doesn't necessarily
11	mean that you don't have to build all the lines
12	that they have to build, but it gives them a
13	little bit more room to maneuver.
14	MS. HAND:
15	Thank you, Mr. Fagan. That's all I
16	have.
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	Thank you, Ms. Hand.
19	Any redirect?
20	MR. SMITH:
21	Yes, Your Honor. May I have just
22	one second to go over this?
23	JUDGE GULIN:
24	Absolutely. Go ahead.
25	(Whereupon a pause occurred in the

1	proceedings.)
2	MR. SMITH:
3	Okay. Thank you.
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
5	Q. Joshua Smith on behalf of Sierra
6	Club. Thank you, Mr. Fagan.
7	I want to start go backwards,
8	start with some of the last questions that
9	Ms. Hand asked you. I believe at the end of
10	her questioning, she asked you if you did any
11	independent analysis of the feasibility or the
12	impact that demand-side management measures
13	would have on lowering the load on the system.
14	Do you recall that question?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. Did Entergy's load flow analysis
17	provide you with any way to evaluate that, or
18	did their load flow analysis specifically
19	identify the feasibility of DSM to lower load
20	on the system?
21	A. I think their load flow analysis
22	incorporated the lower levels of load from DSM
23	in their subsequent analysis when they made the
24	corrections for DSM. So their The last set
25	of runs that they did would have incorporated a

1	lower level of modeled load in their power flow
2	models.
3	Q. Ms. Hand also asked you, I believe,
4	if you conducted any independent analysis of
5	the economic viability of batteries in MISO.
б	Do you recall
7	A. She did. I think her question
8	was
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Well, wait for the question now.
11	Wait for a question.
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
13	Q. Do you recall if ENO conducted such
14	an analysis?
15	MR. ALFORD:
16	Objection, Your Honor. There's no
17	basis for that, no foundation.
18	JUDGE GULIN:
19	You're probably technically correct,
20	but I'll allow it.
21	Go ahead.
22	MR. FAGAN:
23	No. In the portfolios that they put
24	together, they didn't have specific
25	battery alternatives than what was
-	

1	presented in Mr. Cureington's testimony.
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
3	Q. Ms. Hand asked you about whether you
4	did any specific or independent analysis of
5	solar DG penetration in the New Orleans area.
6	Do you recall that question?
7	A. I do recall that question.
8	Q. Do you recall if ENO conducted a
9	specific analysis of that penetration?
10	A. I don't believe they did. I think
11	there's reference in their testimony with a
12	concern with being able to do that, but I would
13	have to double check the specifics.
14	I mean, I will note that the
15	advisor's question was in the context of my
16	testimony, and I know it's you know, after
17	the fact, I've certainly looked at things after
18	the fact a little bit more closely on the way
19	to the hearing as is often the case in these
20	types of cases.
21	Q. I believe Mr. Alford asked you
22	whether you conducted any analysis of the
23	feasibility of obtaining outages to make
24	transmission upgrades. Do you recall that
25	question?

Page 46

1	A. I do recall that question.
2	Q. Did ENO conduct such a feasibility
3	study that you're aware of?
4	A. No. I believe they referenced
5	concern about obtaining such outages, but there
6	was no analytical evidence that I saw that
7	documented the concerns that they were having
8	and, in particular, whether or not they were
9	having those concerns during the months in
10	which the load would be expected to be much
11	lower than in the summer months, for example,
12	which is when the peak period is and when the
13	stressors are most present.
14	Q. I just have a couple more questions
15	here.
16	Mr. Alford asked you about your
17	experiences at transmission planning, and I
18	believe you stated you have not been a
19	transmission planner; is that correct?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. In your experience, do you need to
22	be a transmission planner to opine or provide
23	analysis on a utility's planning?
24	A. No, you don't. There's certain
25	things that I would not do, that I would not be

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	prepared to do, that I'm not I don't work
2	for a utility company. I don't run load flows
3	on a regular basis, but there's certainly a lot
4	of different resource planning issues that in
5	my years as an engineer and an economic
б	analyst, that I'm well positioned to look at
7	and critique.
8	MR. SMITH:
9	I think that's all I have, Your
10	Honor.
11	JUDGE GULIN:
12	Thank you.
13	Anything, Mr. Alford?
14	MR. ALFORD:
15	No.
16	JUDGE GULIN:
17	Ms. Hand?
18	MS. HAND:
19	No, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE GULIN:
21	Thank you, Mr. Fagan. You're
22	excused.
23	All right. Let's call the next
24	witness. That would be Bliss Higgins.
25	Ms. Higgins.

1	And questioning will be by
2	Ms. Miller.
3	BLISS HIGGINS,
4	after having been duly sworn by the
5	above-mentioned Certified Court Reporter, was
6	examined and testified as follows:
7	MR. CRAGIN:
8	Your Honor, before we start the
9	cross-examination, we had a couple of
10	changes based on the agreement that we
11	had with the intervenors to her
12	Ms. Higgins' testimony.
13	JUDGE GULIN:
14	Okay.
15	MR. CRAGIN:
16	This had to do with the CK technical
17	report references to Mr. Long, very minor
18	changes. On page 12 of the rebuttal
19	testimony of Ms. Higgins, line 12, the
20	sentence that starts, Mr. Jonathan Long
21	and the CK technical report also discuss
22	air quality, is going to be changed to
23	say just, The CK technical report also
24	discusses air quality. (As read.)
25	And then we would delete

1	Footnote 19.
2	JUDGE GULIN:
3	Okay. And you'll provide a fresh,
4	revised copy?
5	MR. CRAGIN:
б	We can, Your Honor, yes.
7	JUDGE GULIN:
8	Okay. Thank you.
9	All right, Ms. Stevens Miller.
10	MS. MILLER:
11	Thank you, sir.
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:
13	Q. Good morning, Ms. Higgins.
14	A. Good morning.
15	Q. My name is Susan Stevens Miller, and
16	I represent the Alliance for Affordable Energy
17	and 350 New Orleans in this proceeding. And I
18	just have a few questions for you today.
19	You work for a consulting firm; is
20	that correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. Did you provide consulting services
23	to Entergy with regard to the filing of either
24	their air permits, the one for the CT or the
25	one for the RICE unit?

1	A. My firm was not engaged to prepare
2	the air permit application. I did review some
3	draft sections and had some consultation with
4	Entergy regarding those draft applications, but
5	was not, by any means, the primary party or
6	firm preparing the applications.
7	Q. Can you tell me what the goal of the
8	prevention of significant deterioration program
9	is?
10	A. Yes. Generally speaking, the goal
11	of the prevention of significant deterioration
12	program is to assure that air quality in areas
13	that are in attainment with National Ambient
14	Air Quality Standards does not deteriorate
15	significantly.
16	Q. Can I ask you to turn to page 24 on
17	your direct testimony, please?
18	A. Sure. I'm there.
19	Q. Okay. Table 3, what does that
20	represent?
21	A. Table 3 provides the PSD significant
22	emission increase levels. So those are
23	emission rates in tons per year of particular
24	pollutants that are considered de minimus for
25	emissions below that level that would not be

1	anticipated to have adverse impacts.
2	Q. And these are set by the EPA?
3	A. Yes, these are set by EPA.
4	Q. Can I ask you to turn to page 19, to
5	Table 2? And I don't know if it's possible for
6	you to have them both next to you. It might be
7	easier to ask you the questions if they're side
8	by side.
9	A. I think I can manage. What was the
10	page number, please?
11	Q. Nineteen, and Table 2 there.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. The second column there is the
14	anticipated NOPS emissions for the RICE units;
15	is that correct?
16	A. The second column is the anticipated
17	permitted emissions, so not actual emissions,
18	but potential to emit for the RICE units, and
19	not just for the RICE units specifically, but
20	for the facility as a whole.
21	And I think I need to make sure that
22	we're clear here. This table represents
23	preliminary emission calculations that were in
24	development at the time that my testimony was
25	prepared. So the emission rates in the

Page 52

1	application as it was filed are somewhat
2	different from this.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	Do you know if these emissions rates
5	are for two-stroke or four-stroke generators
б	that RICE units come in?
7	A. My recollection and I'm certainly
8	not the technical expert on the RICE units. My
9	recollection is that these are four-stroke
10	spark ignition lane burn engines, but I'm, you
11	know, not the right person to ask that
12	question.
13	Q. But whether it's the four-stroke or
14	two-stroke would make a difference in the
15	emission levels that the units would produce;
16	is that correct?
17	A. That could be one factor. There are
18	a number of factors that would affect the
19	emissions.
20	Q. If you compare the RICE unit
21	emissions to the Table 3 EPA levels, the RICE
22	units have significant emission rates for every
23	type of pollutant except for SO2 and CO; is
24	that correct?
25	A. The potential of facility-wide

1	emissions of the RICE project by itself are
2	above the PSD significant emission rate for all
3	pollutants with the exception of SO2, that's
4	correct, taken by themselves.
5	Q. So, for example, with regard to the
6	particulate matter 10, the RICE unit emissions
7	are six times the EPA significant rate; is that
8	correct?
9	A. Well, for PM10, the significant
10	emission rate is 15 tons per year and for the
11	RICE units, the permit application that follows
12	is about 79 tons per year, so maybe closer to
13	five times.
14	Q. And in the Column 1 is the
14 15	Q. And in the Column 1 is the previously existing Michoud power plant
15	previously existing Michoud power plant
15 16	previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct?
15 16 17	previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units'
15 16 17 18	previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels.
15 16 17 18 19	previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels. Q. And so the permitted emission levels
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels. Q. And so the permitted emission levels at Michoud were for 781 megawatts; is that</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels. Q. And so the permitted emission levels at Michoud were for 781 megawatts; is that correct?</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels. Q. And so the permitted emission levels at Michoud were for 781 megawatts; is that correct? A. I would have to go back and check.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>previously existing Michoud power plant emissions; correct? A. Yes, that's the retired units' permitted emission levels. Q. And so the permitted emission levels at Michoud were for 781 megawatts; is that correct? A. I would have to go back and check. I know that sounds about right.</pre>

1	twenty-eight megawatts; is that correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. So on a per megawatt basis, the RICE
4	units, based on the numbers here, actually emit
5	twice as much PM10, PM2.5, and BOCs as the now
6	deactivated units; is that correct?
7	A. I'd have to do the calculation, but
8	I
9	Q. Can you accept, subject to check of
10	my lawyer math, that it's the amount?
11	A. (No response.)
12	Q. You testified that netting of the
13	emissions from the now nonexistent Michoud
14	plants with the proposed permit emissions from
15	either the RICE unit or the CT unit is how the
16	LDEQ will determine which permit is needed for
17	the RICE units; is that correct?
18	A. Yes. I would generally agree with
19	that characterization.
20	Q. You also describe what is meant by
21	"contemporaneous period." Could you explain,
22	that, please?
23	A. Yes. So I'd be glad to explain
24	that. So in the air permitting process,
25	emission changes are looked at within a

Page 55

1	contemporaneous window and that's because
2	emission changes occur over time and impact to
3	air quality is affected by both increases and
4	decreases that occur over a, you know,
5	contemporaneous period of time, not just one
б	instantaneous change.
7	So EPA has defined the
8	contemporaneous period for PSD purposes as
9	being changes that occur five years up to
10	five years before construction on a new project
11	would occur and out to the time when that new
12	project actually commences operation. So it's
13	generally five plus years that's considered
14	contemporaneous with regard to emission
15	increases and decreases.
16	Q. And I believe you state in your
17	testimony that the contemporaneous period for
18	the NOPS project would be January 2013 to
19	October 2019; is that correct?
20	A. That's based on the projected start
21	of construction date and start of operation
22	date, so, yes.
23	Q. But the project will no longer be
24	operational on October 2019 simply because this
25	process won't be completed. So how would that

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	affect the contemporaneous period?
2	A. Well, I can't speak to the project
3	schedule.
4	Q. I guess my question is, the Michoud
5	plants were closed in 2016, so what's the time
б	frame within which Entergy can take advantage
7	of the netting of emissions allowed for a minor
8	permit?
9	A. Well, so if For a project that
10	would commence construction five years after
11	the 2016, those emission reductions would be
12	considered as part of the net emissions
13	increase or decrease.
14	Q. I'm sorry. Did you say begin
15	construction or begin operation?
16	A. Construction.
17	Q. Construction.
18	If the RICE units are considered to
19	be a minor modification under the LDEQ's
20	standards, Entergy New Orleans will not be
21	required to install controls that meet the best
22	available control technology; is that correct?
23	A. The minor modification
24	classification is under the PSD program and
25	minor modifications are not required to do a

Page 57

1	back-to-best-available-control-technology
2	analysis. That doesn't necessarily mean that
3	it would not be installing the same or better
4	levels of control based on other requirements
5	that apply. So I couldn't agree with that
6	statement.
7	Q. You couldn't absolutely say that
8	they wouldn't, but under the PSD, they would
9	not be required to?
10	A. That's right. But there are many
11	other rules that apply.
12	Q. Why is it reasonable from a public
13	health perspective to consider nonexistent
14	emissions when calculating the level of
15	polluting emissions from a new generator?
16	A. I believe that your question
17	Well, could you clarify what you mean by
18	"nonexistent emissions"?
19	Q. Well, the plant's no longer there,
20	so essentially you're deducting nonexistent
21	emissions from the proposed emissions in order
22	to come up with the emissions level?
23	A. So what the analysis is looking at
24	is emission decreases of actual emissions
25	that so the emissions from the Michoud unit

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 58

1	are real, actual emissions which have been
2	emitted since around approximately 1940 or
3	1950, I believe. So those are real emissions
4	that have been in the air shed, in the area,
5	and that decrease in emissions that result from
б	the shutdown of those units is what's
7	considered in the analysis. And that is very
8	appropriate from a regulatory and air quality
9	review perspective to consider both the
10	decreases that occur as well as the increases
11	that are proposed.
12	Q. But it is a regulatory fiction
13	created by the permitting rules that since
14	the essentially the air emissions may have
15	existed since the 1950s, but they have not
16	existed since June 2016. So while it is
17	allowed under the permitting standards, it is a
18	regulatory fiction to determine when the
19	contemporaneous time period will be selected;
20	is that correct?
21	A. No, that's not correct. I couldn't
22	agree with that. There's no regulatory
23	fiction. The regulations are, in fact, the
24	law, and it's not a fiction to consider the
25	emissions that were occurring. It's a real

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	impact on air quality to shut down the units
2	thereby eliminating those emissions and to
3	consider that in the framework of the new
4	project as proposed.
5	Q. The City Council isn't issuing an
б	air permit in this situation, is it?
7	A. No. The City Council doesn't issue
8	air permits.
9	Q. And the City Council is not required
10	to apply the standard that the LDEQ is applying
11	to consider the emissions of the former Michoud
12	plant in determining what the public interest
13	is with regard to the emissions in the new
14	plant; is that right?
15	MR. CRAGIN:
16	Objection; calls for a legal
17	conclusion.
18	JUDGE GULIN:
19	Sustained.
20	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:
21	Q. In considering whether to allow the
22	polluting source to be constructed and
23	considering whether it's in the public
24	interest, can the City Council take into
25	consideration existing physical conditions at

1	the site? Is that correct?
2	A. I can't speak to the City Council's
3	policies or requirements.
4	Q. Next I want to ask you a couple of
5	questions about the AERSCREEN process that C&K
6	used to determine the to make their analysis
7	of the air emissions at the site.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. The AERSCREEN comes from the EPA; is
10	that correct?
11	A. That's correct. It's an EPA model.
12	Q. And according to the EPA itself, for
13	the AERSCREEN to work as intended, users must
14	use the latest AERMOD executable as well as
15	AERMAP terrain free processor. Do you know if
16	C&K used these?
17	A. Well, first, I can't speak
18	affirmatively to the guidance that you're
19	citing specifically for AERSCREEN. I did have
20	discussions with CK as to how they were
21	executing the model, and my understanding is
22	that they used the most recent versions of the
23	model and, you know, any plug-ins that go along
24	with it.
25	Q. Isn't the accuracy of the AERSCREEN

1	model widely known to possibly be off by a
2	factor of two either direction?
3	A. In my experience, the model is
4	conservative and tends to err on the high side.
5	So if you run an AERSCREEN model and you also
6	run a more refined model, in my experience, you
7	typically get lower results for a number of
8	reasons.
9	Q. Finally, I have some questions with
10	regard to your determination that there's
11	nothing within a mile, no residential, schools,
12	things like that within a mile of the Michoud
13	facility.
14	A. Sure.
15	Q. How does the LDEQ define "vicinity"?
16	A. There's not a regulatory term for
17	"vicinity."
18	Q. And does the EPA, to your knowledge,
19	have a regulatory term for "vicinity"?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Are you aware that this analysis for
22	EJ screening, the EPA uses a proximity analysis
23	of three miles from each facility?
24	A. I know that EPA has in some cases
25	presented demographic data within a three-mile

1	radius. My analysis was speaking specifically
2	to Dr. Wright's statements in her testimony in
3	which she contended that there were residences
4	within 4,000 feet or three-quarters of a mile.
5	So I was reviewing that statement specifically.
б	MS. MILLER:
7	Thank you. That's all I have.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	All right. Thank you.
10	Okay. We have now Ms. Harden.
11	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
12	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Higgins.
13	A. Good morning.
14	Q. My name is Monique Harden, and I'm
15	an attorney representing the Deep South Center
16	for Environmental Justice.
17	Do all oil and gas facilities
18	operating in Louisiana, do they all have air
19	permits?
20	A. I can't speak to that.
21	Q. Would you be surprised if there are
22	oil and gas facilities operating in the state
23	without an air permit?
24	A. Not necessarily, no. I wouldn't
25	Q. What would be the reason

Page 63

1	MR. CRAGIN:
2	Your Honor, can the witness please
3	finish her answer?
4	JUDGE GULIN:
5	Please.
6	MS. HARDEN:
7	Oh, I thought she did. I'm sorry.
8	MS. HIGGINS:
9	I wouldn't be surprised that there
10	are oil and gas facilities operating
11	without air permits or exemption levels
12	that you know, emission levels below
13	which air permits are not required, for
14	one thing. And in my experience, many
15	oil and gas, particularly in the
16	production end of the business, emissions
17	fall below those exemption thresholds.
18	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:
19	Q. With regards to oil and gas
20	facilities that are major sources of pollution,
21	do those require air permits under the Clean
22	Air Act?
23	A. Yes. Major sources require air
24	permits, yes.
25	Q. I should have clarified that in my

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 64

1 first question. Thank you. 2 And during your time at the 3 Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, did you approve air permits? 4 5 Α. I did. Were any of your approvals for air 6 Ο. 7 permits issued to industrial facilities 8 operating in cancer alley? MR. CRAGIN: 9 Objection, Your Honor. 10 MS. HARDEN: 11 12 Why is that an objection? 13 MR. CRAGIN: 14 Define "cancer alley." 15 MS. HARDEN: 16 Cancer alley describes the section 17 of the Mississippi River corridor between Baton Rouge and where it flows out to the 18 Gulf of Mexico, the communities around 19 20 that corridor and the industrial 21 facilities that operate there. 22 MS. HIGGINS: 23 So there are many industrial 24 facilities that operate along the 25 Mississippi River between Baton Rouge and

1	New Orleans, and yes, I approved permits
2	for those facilities.
3	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
4	Q. In that region?
5	A. Yes, in that region.
6	Q. Thank you.
7	Is it your belief that adverse
8	health effects would not occur from pollution
9	allowed under the National Ambient Air Quality
10	Standard?
11	A. It's my belief that there would be a
12	very low level of risk associated with air
13	quality below the so ambient concentrations
14	of pollutants below the National Ambient Air
15	Quality Standards. That's what the standards
16	are defined to do.
17	Q. How would you define low level of
18	exposure or low level of effects? Am I
19	understanding that correctly?
20	A. A very low level of risk is what I
21	stated and EPA makes that determination on a
22	case-by-case basis through the standard setting
23	process. So their mandate is to adopt
24	standards that are protective of health with an
25	adequate margin of safety, considering

Page 66

1 sensitive populations, considering multiple 2 potential end points and adverse impacts. So 3 that's -- that assessment is done by EPA. So your statement today that there's 4 0. 5 low risks is based on EPA setting up the regulation for pollution under the National 6 7 Ambient Air Quality, which presumes a low level 8 of risk below that standard? Α. Yes, at least in part. I would have 9 to say it stems from Congress, from the federal 10 law and the Congressional mandate and their 11 12 adoption of that law and then EPA's 13 implementation of it. 14 0. I'd like to turn your attention to your rebuttal. Give me one minute. Yeah, your 15 rebuttal testimony on page 4, looking at 16 17 lines 5 through 11. 18 Hold on just a second. Α. 19 0. Sure. Let me know when you've had a 20 chance to look at it. I have a question about 21 it. 22 Ms. Harden, would you mind giving me Α. 23 the page number again? 24 So we're in your rebuttal testimony, 0. 25 page 4 --

Γ

1	A. Okay.
2	Q and we're looking at the lines 5
3	through 11 on that page.
4	A. (Witness examines document.) Okay.
5	Q. Is it accurate to understand that
6	your response means that there's no evidence of
7	a level below which exposure to particulate
8	matter would be guaranteed to be safe?
9	A. Could you repeat that question,
10	please?
11	Q. I want to know if your statement to
12	Question 7, if it's accurate to understand what
13	your response there to mean that there is no
14	evidence of a level below which exposure to
15	particulate matter would be safe?
16	A. No, I don't think that that would be
17	a proper interpretation.
18	Q. How would you say it?
19	A. Well, as I stated in my testimony,
20	or to restate, a no risk threshold hasn't been
21	conclusively determined from the scientific
22	studies. That doesn't mean that there is no
23	evidence that there would be such a level.
24	Q. So does that mean that there's no
25	evidence of a risk of exposure to particulate

1	matter in terms of effects?
2	A. Could you repeat one more time for
3	me, please?
4	Q. Would that mean that there is no
5	level at which the risk of adverse effects
6	would go away, let's just say?
7	A. A no adverse effects threshold or
8	level has not been established or determined.
9	That doesn't mean that there is no such level.
10	Q. Okay. So you're not saying that it
11	doesn't exist. You're just saying that science
12	hasn't gotten there to figuring it out?
13	A. Exactly.
14	Q. Are you aware of the report Fumes
15	Across the Fence Line by the NAACP and the
16	Clean Air Act task force? It analyzes the
17	national data on the ill health effects of oil
18	and gas pollution permitted under the Clean Air
19	Act that disproportionately burdened over 1
20	million African-Americans?
21	MR. CRAGIN:
22	Objection; assumes facts not in
23	evidence.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Well, why don't you just ask if she

1	is
2	MS. HARDEN:
3	Is aware of the report?
4	JUDGE GULIN:
5	Yes.
6	MS. HIGGINS:
7	I don't know that, if I have seen
8	the report or not. I'm not overly
9	familiar with it certainly.
10	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
11	Q. Have you done any work in analyzing
12	cancer risks in Louisiana parishes?
13	A. I have over the course of my career
14	reviewed toxicological information, including
15	information on cancer risks in a regulatory
16	setting and how that information is used to
17	establish standards. I have not, you know,
18	compiled that evidence or done studies.
19	Q. So you wouldn't know or would you
20	know whether or not there are parishes here in
21	Louisiana where air quality is above EPA's
22	level of concern for cancer risk?
23	A. Yes, I have some knowledge of that.
24	MS. HARDEN:
25	I'd like to enter into evidence the

1	NAACP Clean Air Act report, Fumes Across
2	the Fence Line, Your Honor, at this time.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	When you say "enter into the
5	evidence," you mean you want to show it
6	to the witness for cross-exam purposes?
7	MS. HARDEN:
8	I would like to do both if that's
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Well, you're certainly not ready to
11	enter it into evidence, but
12	MS. HARDEN:
13	Well, for cross-exam purposes and
14	then we'll see where it goes.
15	JUDGE GULIN:
16	Okay.
17	MS. HARDEN:
18	I think it's in the box.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	This will be DSCEJ No
21	MS. HAND:
22	Three, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE GULIN:
24	Ms. Higgins, would you remind me,
25	did you indicate that you were or were

1	not aware of this?
2	MS. HIGGINS:
3	I haven't seen this report, Your
4	Honor.
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	Okay. All right.
7	MS. HARDEN:
8	I neglected to write down a
9	particular page number, so if you can
10	just bear with me one moment.
11	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
12	Q. If I can get Ms. Higgins, hi. If
13	I can get you to turn to page 18 of the report,
14	and let me know when you're there.
15	A. I think I'm on page 18.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	Do you see Table 3 appears on your
18	page 18?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So the title of this table is The
21	top ten states with African-American
22	populations living in counties above EPA's
23	level of concern for cancer risk based on 2015
24	population data. (As read.) And if you can
25	take a moment to look at this table, you see

1	Louisiana is ranked second among these top ten
2	states with high cancer risk levels, and it
3	shows that the number of counties that pushes
4	Louisiana up in the rankings is that there are
5	19 counties, parishes, we know those are
6	equivalent that there are 19 parishes in
7	Louisiana with a level with air quality such
8	that it exceeds EPA's concern for cancer risk.
9	In your experience with looking at
10	cancer risk data, would you agree that 19
11	sounds about right in the State of Louisiana or
12	more or less than this report?
13	MR. CRAGIN:
14	Your Honor, I'm going to object.
15	The witness has said she hasn't seen this
16	report before. She's not laid a
17	foundation.
18	MS. HARDEN:
19	I have, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE GULIN:
21	No, you have not, Ms. Harden.
22	MS. HARDEN:
23	Well, may I, please?
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Go ahead.

Page 73

1 MS. HARDEN: The witness has said that she has 2 3 reviewed cancer risk data in the State of 4 Louisiana over the years as part of her 5 work. JUDGE GULIN: 6 7 The proper way to use this, 8 Ms. Harden, is to have her look over the chart and ask her if that refreshes her 9 recollection about the cancer risk in 10 11 Louisiana or something along those lines. 12 But what you're attempting to do is read 13 into the record this chart and then just 14 ask her to comment on it. That's just 15 not a proper way to do cross-examination, 16 so --17 MS. HARDEN: 18 Well, may I ask Ms. Higgins if this 19 refreshes your memory in terms of the 20 cancer risk information you've seen over 21 the years? 22 JUDGE GULIN: 23 Sure. 24 MS. HIGGINS: 25 No.

1	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
2	Q. It doesn't?
3	A. No, it doesn't.
4	Q. What's different about it for you in
5	terms of the I'm specifically looking at the
6	count of parishes being 19 in the state.
7	A. Yes. I mean, this is a totally new
8	report to me. It looks like a pretty dense and
9	certainly a very lengthy report. I really
10	can't look at Table 3 out of context, you know.
11	I don't even know how these states were ranked,
12	what the basis was. I don't know what in this
13	report EPA's level of concern for cancer risk,
14	how they've defined that in the context of this
15	NAACP report. There's just no way I can you
16	know, I can comment on the table or the report
17	without having had an opportunity to review it.
18	Q. Fair enough. Fair enough,
19	Ms. Higgins.
20	Are you aware of how EPA comes up
21	with cancer risk thresholds or levels?
22	A. I have an understanding of the
23	process that they use, but this you know,
24	out of context in this report, EPA's level for
25	concern for cancer could be could have a

Page 75

1 number of different meanings. 2 Is it safe to say from your earlier 0. testimony that you would agree that there are 3 some parishes in this state that would be above 4 5 what you know to be EPA's level of concern for cancer risk? 6 7 Not necessarily, no. I can tell you Α. 8 that I have looked at, you know, cancer risk data, EPA's National Air Toxics Assessments, 9 which includes an evaluation of cancer risk. I 10 can tell you that their, you know, descriptions 11 12 of that data is heavily, you know, caveated 13 with a -- you know, a lot of explanations. I'm 14 aware of -- you know, of particular instances or cases over the years where, you know, 15 evaluations have been performed. But, you 16 know, no, I can't generally say that I'm aware 17 18 of particular parishes in this state that are 19 over, you know, for a particular oil and gas 20 industry, which this seems to be referencing 21 for parishes in Louisiana. I just can't make 22 any comprehensive statement. This is 23 completely outside the scope of my testimony 24 and the review that I've done for this case. 25 Okay. I don't want to burden you 0.

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	with the report. I just thought this
2	information would be in line with what your
3	work is and might be able to comment it.
4	But let me ask you this. In terms
5	of your testimony with regards to the
б	permitting, does any of your experience look at
7	impacts in terms of pollution loading, effects
8	in terms of enforcement and monitoring and
9	inspection of facilities? Does it go into
10	those areas?
11	A. I think that was sort of a compound
12	question. Could you repeat the first piece of
13	information you asked me for?
14	Q. Actually let me strike that question
15	because I'm getting low on time. Let me move
16	on.
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	Let me just also say real quickly.
19	MS. HARDEN:
20	Yeah.
21	JUDGE GULIN:
22	Just to be sure for the record,
23	DSCEJ No. 3 is admitted for cross
24	purposes.
25	MR. CRAGIN:

Page 77

1 Your Honor, I mean, I'm not even 2 sure it should be admitted for cross. She didn't cross her on it. 3 JUDGE GULIN: 4 5 Well, it's part of the administrative record in the sense that 6 7 if my ruling is disturbed by the Council 8 or by a reviewing court, it will be there for them to review, but obviously it's 9 not in evidence, and, Council, should not 10 11 be relying on it or even reading it in my 12 judgment unless they reverse my ruling. 13 MR. CRAGIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN: 15 Ms. Higgins, is it correct that 16 0. Entergy did not submit an environmental 17 18 assessment statement along with its recent 19 application to the DEQ for a Title 5 air permit 20 renewal? 21 Yes, that's correct. Α. An 22 environmental assessment statement is not 23 required as part of that application. It's my 24 understanding that DEQ has exercised its 25 discretion and requested an environmental

1	assessment statement and that Entergy is
2	preparing one.
3	Q. And in light of that situation with
4	regards to the initial air permit application
5	that Entergy filed for the proposed CT gas
6	plant, are you aware of that earlier permit
7	application?
8	A. I'm aware there was an earlier
9	application.
10	Q. I'm sorry?
11	A. Yes, I'm aware of the earlier
12	application.
13	Q. Were you able to review that earlier
14	application?
15	A. I reviewed it, yes, to some extent.
16	Q. Without Scratch that.
17	Do you have any With regards to
18	the environmental assessment statement that
19	Entergy is you're presenting today, is this
20	in your testimony that they're preparing a new
21	environmental assessment statement?
22	A. No, ma'am.
23	Q. How do you come to know that?
24	
	A. Through discussions with counsel.
25	<ul><li>A. Through discussions with counsel.</li><li>Q. Counsel for?</li></ul>

1	A. For Entergy.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	MS. HARDEN:
4	I have no further questions.
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	Thank you, Ms. Harden.
7	And for the advisors.
8	MR. BEATMANN:
9	No questions, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE GULIN:
11	Okay. Any redirect?
12	MR. CRAGIN:
13	No redirect, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE GULIN:
15	Thank you very much, Ms. Higgins.
16	You are excused.
17	MS. HIGGINS:
18	Thank you.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	Let's go ahead and take a ten-minute
21	break. We'll come back at ten after ten
22	and start with Mr. Losonsky.
23	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Let's go back on the record.

1	Mr. Alford, you distributed an
2	affidavit. You want to describe what
3	that is and
4	MR. ALFORD:
5	Thank you, Your Honor. This is the
6	last component to our homework assignment
7	from Friday, and what we have done here
8	is moved a Q. and A. that had previously
9	been in the testimony, in the
10	supplemental testimony of Jon Long
11	submitted in November of 2016. This
12	affidavit by Dr. Losonsky provides
13	information about the CK technical
14	report.
15	JUDGE GULIN:
16	And, once again, you will be
17	providing an updated, fresh testimony to
18	incorporate all of this; correct?
19	MR. ALFORD:
20	Your Honor, on this one, we thought
21	the most efficient thing to do is just
22	take the Q. and A. and submit it in
23	affidavit form. So the idea is that it
24	won't mess up pagination throughout
25	Dr. Losonsky's testimony.

1	JUDGE GULIN:
2	All right. So do we need to make
3	this an exhibit then?
4	MR. ALFORD:
5	That would That would be our
6	proposal, Your Honor. We would mark it
7	as ENO-1.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Do we already have an ENO-1?
10	MS. HAND:
11	I do not have one.
12	JUDGE GULIN:
13	No? Okay.
14	And this will be a sort of
15	standalone ENO Any objection to this
16	becoming substantive evidence?
17	MS. MILLER:
18	No, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	All right.
21	All right, Mr. Losonsky. If I could
22	ask you to please stand, raise your right
23	hand, face the court reporter.
24	GEORGE LONSONSKY, Ph.D.,
25	after having been duly sworn by the

1	above-mentioned Certified Court Reporter, was
2	examined and testified as follows:
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Okay. Ms. Stevens Miller.
5	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:
б	Q. Good morning, Dr. Losonsky.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. My name is Susan Stevens Miller, and
9	I represent the Alliance for Affordable Energy
10	and 350 New Orleans in this proceeding. I just
11	had a couple of questions for you this morning.
12	Can you explain what is meant by the
13	term "overtopping"?
14	A. Yes. Overtopping is the term that
15	refers to what happens when a storm surge comes
16	in and the water exceeds the elevation
17	exceeds the elevation of a levee and then it
18	pours over the top.
19	Q. And during Hurricane Katrina, the
20	levees near Michoud were not breached; is that
21	correct?
22	A. Yes. To my knowledge, that is
23	correct.
24	Q. They were actually overtopped; is
25	that correct?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Does FEMA still designate the
3	Michoud site area as a critical flood zone?
4	A. I need you to explain to me or refer
5	to me the definition, FEMA's definition of
6	"critical flood zone" because as a member of
7	the Flood Commission, there were many
8	designations that were referring to various
9	aspects of flooding as critical. So your
10	definition, I need to please understand to be
11	able to answer your question.
12	Q. Well, it would actually be FEMA's
13	definition, but that's fine.
14	Are you aware that the Army Corps of
15	Engineers' position that levees along the
16	will never provide adequate protection to the
17	communities in New Orleans?
18	A. I spent five years on the Southeast
19	Louisiana Flood Protection Authority East and
20	we worked very closely with the Army Corps of
21	Engineers, and I was appointed by Governor
22	Kathleen Blanco to be on that commission when
23	the Levee Board was reconstructed, reconfigured
24	to include technical experts. So I was very
25	intimately involved in the discussions with the

Page 84

1 Army Corps of Engineers concerning the components that are necessary for providing 2 flood protection, and those components are much 3 more than levees. 4 5 And so the spirit of the quote that I think that you are quoting -- and you haven't 6 7 shown me exactly where you got that from -- so 8 to definitively address it, I should probably see that quote, but I'm giving you a general 9 answer that refers to the spirit of your quote. 10 11 And the spirit of the quote is it reflects the 12 recognition that the Army Corps of Engineers, 13 the Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection 14 Authority East, and later on the Coastal Protection Restoration Authority, of course, 15 had that the sum total of a protective system 16 17 such as the hurricane and storm damage risk 18 reduction system that we have now includes many 19 more components than levees. And, of course, 20 the most spectacular one is Lake Borgne Search 21 Barrier, but there are many others. 22 Do you know what standard the Army 0. Corps of Engineers was required to build the 23 24 new levee system, what standard they used to

25 determine it was adequate?

Page 85

1	A. The construction of the hurricane
2	and storm damage risk reduction system involved
3	many different standards because it has many
4	different components. There was a lot of
5	research and testing that went into the
6	determination of the correct actions to be
7	taken with respect to all of the improvements,
8	improvements to levees, as well as the new
9	components. And so the Army Corps of
10	Engineers, of course, used the appropriate
11	standards so that the end result would be
12	protection that could be quantified. And
13	that's really the importance of the standards
14	is to get the results, and we have that
15	quantification of those results, then, finally
16	in our master plan.
17	Q. But I was talking specifically with
18	regard to the levees, what standard did the
19	Army Corps of was the Army Corps of
20	Engineers required to use for the levees
21	itself?
22	A. Now, again, if you could be specific
23	about which aspect of standards because there
24	are various standards that are involved. Such
25	as, for example, 100-year, 500-year storm

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 86

1	protection. That's a standard. There are
2	standards that's referred to levee heights.
3	That's a standard. So we really need to be
4	more specific before we talk about standards.
5	Q. What standard did they apply from
б	the Bush administration legislation that passed
7	2007 Water Resources Development Act? What
8	standard did that create for the design of the
9	levees?
10	A. I'm aware that there was a Water
11	Resources Act and that it generated standards.
12	I cannot, as I sit here right now, recall the
13	exact nature, but as I've explained before,
14	there are many standards that actually went
15	into the design and construction, and that's
16	what's important for us having the protection.
17	Q. So since you cannot explain the
18	standard adopted in the 2007 act, I assume you
19	don't have a position or can't take a position
20	on whether that standard was actually is
21	actually lower than the standard the Army Corps
22	of Engineers was instituting prior to Hurricane
23	Katrina?
24	A. Well, if we're going to If I'm
25	going to make any comments about the standard,

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	
1	it would be helpful to look at it, but the
2	hurricane storm damage risk reduction system
3	was developed to provide protection for the
4	city. And I am certainly not aware of a
5	lowering in the sense of providing less
6	protection.
7	Q. Are you familiar with the city
8	ordinance on flood damage protection?
9	A. The I'm not It's not in my
10	purview to be up to date on city ordinances,
11	and I can say this, though, if the city has
12	ordinances regarding flood protection, that
13	kind of action falls under the general
14	integrated program that the CPRA, Coastal
15	Protection Restoration Authority's, master plan
16	has defined. And there are many components to
17	that, the Sewerage & Water Board, the City
18	Council, of course, and all these various
19	actions fall under the general protection
20	provided by the master plan.
21	Q. But since you don't know about the
22	specific city ordinance, you didn't do any
23	analysis as to whether the plans for the site
24	at Michoud actually meet that ordinance; is
25	that correct?

1	A. Well, the Repeat the question,
2	please, to make sure that I specifically answer
3	your question.
4	Q. You stated that you weren't aware of
5	the specific city ordinance regarding flood
6	protection. Does that mean that you didn't do
7	any analysis to determine whether the Michoud
8	site actually meets the requirements of that
9	ordinance?
10	MR. BARTON:
11	Your Honor, I have to object here.
12	We haven't been given a specific
13	ordinance number. There are a lot of
14	city ordinances that relate to flood
15	protections.
16	JUDGE GULIN:
17	Can you be more specific?
18	MS. MILLER:
19	Sure. Ordinance No. 26906 adopted
20	on April 7th, 2016.
21	MR. BARTON:
22	Do we have copies of that ordinance?
23	MS. MILLER:
24	I have one copy of it. I'm not
25	asking for the terms. I'm asking if he

Page 89

1	knows about it.
2	JUDGE GULIN:
3	You can answer that question. Are
4	you familiar with that ordinance off the
5	top of your head?
6	DR. LOSONSKY:
7	Off the top of my head, I don't have
8	the numbers or the qualifications behind
9	that ordinance, but I can say that if the
10	ordinance concerns protection of any
11	particular area from flooding, I have
12	My familiarity with the hurricane and
13	storm damage risk reduction system and
14	with the master plan tells me that we
15	have a way to predict whether or not
16	there will be flooding. And we have that
17	and we can make determinations with
18	confidence based on that.
19	The other thing is that my
20	calculations and my work concerned
21	determining whether or not the use of
22	proposed pumps that will be needed for
23	either of the two proposed units would
24	create any damage or create any or add
25	to subsidence and those are calculations

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	that I did. And I imagine that if there
2	is an ordinance that relates to
3	protection, I've addressed the protection
4	that's needed to ensure that there's no
5	risk in using the proposed wells for the
6	two proposed units.
7	EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:
8	Q. But essentially, neither of your
9	reports addressed the specifics of the
10	ordinance and whether the Michoud site meets
11	the requirements of that city ordinance; is
12	that correct?
13	A. I can't address a specific
14	ordinance.
15	Q. Does your report cite that city
16	ordinance in its discussion?
17	A. No, we do not cite that ordinance in
18	our discussion.
19	Q. My next question is with regard to
20	the C&K report on air emissions. You used the
21	AERSCREEN; is that correct?
22	A. This was not a part of the report
23	that I worked on, so I have no expertise there.
24	MS. MILLER:
25	Thank you, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE GULIN:
2	Thank you.
3	Ms. Harden.
4	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
5	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Losonsky.
6	A. Yes, correct.
7	Q. My name is Monique Harden. I'm an
8	attorney with Deep South Center for
9	Environmental Justice.
10	So in your testimony, you discuss
11	groundwater effects and impacts, and I'd like
12	to walk through that with you starting with
13	have you had a chance to look at the direct
14	testimony of Jonathan Long in this case?
15	A. Yes, I've had a chance to look at
16	that.
17	Q. Would you mind taking a look at
18	page 39 of his testimony?
19	DR. LOSONSKY:
20	Do I have that here?
21	MR. BARTON:
22	No, you don't. One moment.
23	DR. LOSONSKY:
24	Which page, please?
25	MS. HARDEN:

1	Thirty-nine of his Did I say
2	direct testimony?
3	MR. BARTON:
4	You did.
5	MS. HARDEN:
6	Okay. Thank you.
7	DR. LOSONSKY:
8	This concerns Question 59?
9	MS. HARDEN:
10	That is correct.
11	DR. LOSONSKY:
12	All right.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
14	Q. If you could take a look at his
15	answer, refresh your memory on that.
16	(Whereupon a pause occurred in the
17	proceedings.)
18	DR. LOSONSKY:
19	All right.
20	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
21	Q. Okay. So in reference to
22	Mr. Jonathan Long's direct testimony on page
23	39, I'd like you to answer this question. Do
24	you have any reason for disagreeing with his
25	testimony that the maximum possible daily use

Page 93

1 of groundwater at the now deactivated Michoud Power Plant was 10.87 million gallons per day? 2 It's important to under -- to know 3 Α. what maximum possible use means. So as I 4 5 understand it, maximum possible use is based on the flow rates of pumps. That's different from 6 7 actual withdrawal rates from a well. So I'm 8 sure that Mr. Long did not misstate or miscalculate the value that's based on the 9 maximum flow rate of a pump, but, again, I 10 11 stress that that does not equate to an actual 12 flow rate from a well. 13 Ο. Understood. 14 And, in fact, in Mr. Long's testimony, he has a little parenthetical insert 15 there on line 9 that makes it clear he's 16 17 referring to the withdrawal by pumps. 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Ο. So the distinction you were making is served by his testimony? 20 Uh-huh (indicating affirmatively). 21 Α. 22 So you have no reason for Ο. 23 disagreeing with that knowing that he's looking 24 at the withdrawal from pumps? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. All right. Further on in his
2	testimony, I want to know if you have any
3	reason to disagree with his testimony that the
4	proposed CT gas plant is expected to withdraw
5	90 percent less groundwater?
6	A. Could you please restate your
7	question?
8	Q. Yeah. Would you disagree with his
9	testimony that the proposed CT gas plant is
10	expected to withdraw 90 percent less
11	groundwater than the deactivated Michoud
12	facility?
13	A. I can't
14	MR. BARTON:
15	I'm sorry. What line are you on?
16	DR. LOSONSKY:
17	Thirteen, I think.
18	MS. HARDEN:
19	Thirteen and 14 where it says, NOP
20	the New Orleans Power Station,
21	proposed. NOPS shows a reduction of
22	90 percent in comparison to the
23	deactivated Michoud units. (As read.)
24	MR. BARTON:
25	Thank you.

1	DR. LOSONSKY:
2	And I can't agree with I don't
3	agree with your characterization there
4	because this refers, again, to the flow
5	rates of pumps, not to the actual use of
6	the gas plant.
7	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
8	Q. So that with the CT flow rate of
9	pumps, it would be 90 percent less than that of
10	the deactivated Michoud facility. Would you
11	agree with that?
12	A. That's what this is saying.
13	Q. So the answer is yes or no, sir?
14	A. Restate just to make sure that we
15	didn't get because, you know, we've gone
16	back and forth. And I just want to make sure
17	for the record that's correct.
18	Q. Well, I'll just read the sentence
19	and then you can say if you agree or you don't
20	agree.
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. Comparing the rate reported to the
23	USGS to the absolute maximum possible
24	groundwater usage rates for the NOPS shows a
25	reduction of 90 percent in comparison to the

Page 96

1 deactivated Michoud units. (As read.) 2 Do you agree with that statement? 3 I agree with that statement, but Α. 4 with reference, again, to that parenthetical 5 of --Sure. 6 Ο. 7 -- explanation that he has earlier, Α. 8 which applies here as well. 9 Ο. So that would mean that with regards to that flow rate of the pumping for the 10 11 proposed CT gas plant, that it would use 10 percent of the flow rate pumped at the 12 13 deactivated Michoud unit? MR. BARTON: 14 I'm going to object here. That's a 15 mischaracterization of Jonathan Long's 16 testimony. The testimony says that 17 18 maximum possible daily usage of 19 groundwater at this site is defined as 20 the largest amount that could be 21 withdrawn by the pumps, not that will be 22 withdrawn by the pumps. (As read.) 23 DR. LOSONSKY: Thank you. That's what I was about 24 25 to say.

Page 97

1	MS. HARDEN:
2	So the issue is "could be"?
3	MR. BARTON:
4	Correct. He's talking about
5	MS. HARDEN:
6	Okay. So restate with could be.
7	MR. BARTON:
8	pumping capacity and not about
9	capacity being specific unit
10	JUDGE GULIN:
11	She understands.
12	Go ahead and restate the question.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
14	Q. Okay. So would you agree, then,
15	that the proposed CT gas plant would be
16	expected could be expected to withdraw
17	10 percent of what of the flow rate pumped
18	at the deactivated Michoud facility?
19	A. No, because what the plant could use
20	does not depend on what the well is able to
21	provide. What the well is able to provide has
22	to do with aquifer and nature. What the pump
23	could What the unit could use obviously has
24	to do with the design of the unit and how it
25	operates. And so that's an engineering

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 98

1 question. 2 So, Mr. Losonsky, the reduction of 0. 3 90 percent leaves what? Ten percent? How would you characterize what's left in terms of 4 5 the difference in margin? Α. I would agree that 100 percent minus 6 7 90 percent equals 10 percent. 8 0. What would that mean in terms of the statement, though, when he's saying 90 percent 9 reduction? How would you characterize the 10 11 remaining 10 percent? 12 I'm not sure what you mean by how Α. 13 would I characterize the remaining 10 percent? 14 Ο. Would you characterize the remaining 10 percent as the maximum possible daily use? 15 I'm sorry, but you've changed your 16 Α. wording a little, and obviously in this 17 discussion, words do matter. 18 19 Ο. Yes. And so, yeah. 20 Α. 21 If I can. 0. 22 Α. Yeah. 23 With regard to the question I just 0. 24 asked --25 Α. Yes.

Γ

1	Q would you agree that it's going
2	to be 10 percent of the maximum possible daily
3	use?
4	A. It?
5	Q. It. The CT gas plant, sir.
6	A. Well, that's that's, I think,
7	where we're miscommunicating and I'm sorry
8	about that. Because the 10 percent, 90
9	percent, that all refers to the pump, the
10	bilge, the pump, but you just mentioned in your
11	last statement to me the CT gas plant. And,
12	again, the CT gas plant's ability to use water
13	is a very different question from the pump's
14	ability to pump out of the well.
15	Q. For purposes of Mr. Long's
16	testimony, he's looking at the effect of
17	he's measuring the amount of groundwater
18	withdrawal. Do you agree with that?
19	MR. BARTON:
20	Objection. That's a
21	mischaracterization of his testimony.
22	MS. HARDEN:
23	How is that?
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	That's all right. I'll overrule

Γ

1	that. He can answer.
2	DR. LOSONSKY:
3	Well, I'm sorry. Please again
4	repeat your question so that I can
5	accurately answer.
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
7	Q. Do you believe that Mr. Long's
8	testimony here is measuring the amount of
9	groundwater withdrawal?
10	A. Your statement is incomplete because
11	it doesn't say what groundwater withdrawal. If
12	you're referring to the groundwater withdrawal
13	that might be used by the plant, then these
14	calculations are really irrelevant because they
15	simply reflect what a pump is able to pump out
16	of a well. Whereas, what the CT unit can use
17	depends on the engineering factors of the
18	actual plant.
19	Q. It seems to me that you're quibbling
20	with his testimony, and I'm just trying to find
21	out how much that you rely on it in your
22	assessment. And it doesn't seem like you are
23	or you do rely on it?
24	A. All right. In my work, I relied on
25	the actual flow rates that are planned for both

1	of the units, and, as you know, those are
2	different from these maximal possible
3	maximum possible flow rates that we're talking
4	about here. So I am not disagreeing with Jon
5	Long's testimony at all. It's very clear it
б	refers to maximum possible use, which means use
7	of the pump. I just want to make sure that
8	we're not confusing that with maximum use of or
9	any kind of use of the plant itself because
10	that's a different issue, and that's the one
11	that I was concerned with in my work. So my
12	work concerned figuring out if there's any risk
13	that's posed by using these wells to operate
14	the plants.
15	Q. Have you calculated as part of your
16	work how much in terms of gallons per day would
17	be used?
18	A. The determination Let me back up.
19	I'm a hydrogeologist, so my job here
20	was to find out what Mother Nature is going to
21	do when we use when Entergy uses those wells
22	at the flow rates at which they're going to use
23	them. All right? So I was concerned with
24	parameters that reflect Mother Nature,
25	parameters that reflect the aquifer and how it

1	responds. The value that you're referring to,
2	which I think we've been kind of dancing around
3	here, and I'm sorry about that.
4	Q. I haven't been dancing. Tried not
5	to. That wasn't my aim here today.
6	A. Yeah. And I'm sorry about that
7	characterization, but this back and forth,
8	which ultimately leads to I'm sure we're
9	both trying to think of the same thing, which
10	is the value of the flow rate that is actually
11	going to be used by the facility and,
12	therefore, the flow rate that's actually going
13	to come out of the pumps. That is an
14	engineering value, which is one that is not
15	within the purview of my job.
16	My job is to take the value and use
17	it to determine whether or not it's safe. And
18	I did that, and I determined I did that and
19	I want to tell you, I did that because it's
20	very important to me that the hurricane and
21	storm damage risk reduction system is safe
22	MS. HARDEN:
23	Judge, I'm
24	DR. LOSONSKY:
25	and that's why I did that.

1	MS. HARDEN:
2	concerned about time and he's
3	filibustering.
4	JUDGE GULIN:
5	You finished your answer.
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
7	Q. So the answer is you did not
8	calculate the usage because that's not a part
9	of your purview?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Yes, thank you.
12	So moving on then, I'd like to know
13	in your supplemental and amending direct
14	testimony at page 10, line 16 through 17, and
15	that continues on to page 11 at lines 1 through
16	4 you can take a look at that.
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	Say that one more time, please.
19	MS. HARDEN:
20	Sure. We're looking at
21	Mr. Losonsky's supplemental and amending
22	direct testimony page 10, lines 16
23	through 17 and then continuing on page 11
24	at lines 1 through 4.
25	JUDGE GULIN:

1	Thank you.
2	DR. LOSONSKY:
3	All right. So I just turned to the
4	page, so
5	JUDGE GULIN:
6	What page is that?
7	DR. LOSONSKY:
8	Page 10.
9	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
10	Q. Sure. Lines 16 through 17.
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. And continuing on to page 11,
13	lines 1 through 4.
14	A. All right. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. So in this testimony, you
16	comment on a media interview with Kathleen
17	Jones, who is the lead author of the NASA Jet
18	Propulsion Laboratory report on subsidence in
19	New Orleans. But you did more than just read a
20	news report, Mr. Losonsky. Did you also review
21	the report itself on subsidence?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	MS. HARDEN:
24	Your Honor, I'd like to enter for
25	cross the NASA report.

1	JUDGE GULIN:
2	Okay. And we'll call that DSCEJ
3	No. 3.
4	MS. HARDEN:
5	Yes.
б	THE COURT REPORTER:
7	I have four.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Four.
10	(Whereupon a pause occurred in the
11	proceedings.)
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
13	Q. I want to get your opinion on a few
14	of the findings and conclusions in this report
15	that you've previously reviewed.
16	JUDGE GULIN:
17	Can I get a copy of that, please?
18	MS. HARDEN:
19	Oh, I'm sorry.
20	JUDGE GULIN:
21	Thank you.
22	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
23	Q. On page 10 in Section 4.1.2,
24	groundwater withdrawal section of the report.
25	A. Yes.

Page 106

1	Q. I want you to look at the first
2	sentence in that section that reads,
3	Groundwater withdrawal can be a primary driver
4	of subsidence in urban and industrial areas and
5	has been determined to be a causative agent in
6	the Michoud area in New Orleans previously.
7	(As read.)
8	Question: Do you have any basis for
9	disagreeing with that statement?
10	A. Can you please direct me again to
11	exactly what it says and what you are quoting?
12	Q. Are you on page 10 on the NASA
13	report on subsidence? So we're looking at
14	Section 4.1.2.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Titled "Groundwater withdrawal" and
17	I just read the first sentence in that section.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any reason or any basis
20	for disagreeing with that statement?
21	A. All right. The first part of it
22	says that, Groundwater withdrawal can be a
23	driver in urban areas. (As read.) That's a
24	very general statement that I agree with.
25	The second part, has been determined

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 107

1 to be a causative agent in the Michoud area. (As read.) I don't know what the basis for 2 that is or what the --3 So you haven't reviewed the report 4 Ο. 5 because it gives the basis? Well, no. First of all, the --6 Α. 7 MR. BARTON: Your Honor, I'm not sure if the 8 witness was finished with his previous 9 10 answer. 11 DR. LOSONSKY: 12 Yeah. JUDGE GULIN: 13 14 Well, you can finish your answer before you answer this question. 15 DR. LOSONSKY: 16 If you want us to go to the portions 17 18 of the report that provide the data that substantiate this, we could do that, but 19 we need to do that first. 20 EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN: 21 22 0. Mr. Losonsky --23 I can't do that from memory. Α. 24 Okay. Mr. Losonsky, do you have --Q. Because you've testified about this report, do 25

Page 108

1 you have any reason for disagreeing with the data that serves the basis for that statement? 2 3 The data that I reviewed, which is Α. the results of the subsidence rate 4 5 measurements --I'm sorry. Can you clarify? 6 0. That is in this report or something else? 7 It should be back here somewhere. 8 Α. Here it is. Vertical velocity millimeters per 9 year, Figure 7A. 10 11 Ο. What page are you on, sir? 12 I'm on page 14. Α. 13 So -- Well -- Where are we in the 14 O. and A. here? Waiting for your response to the 15 Ο. question. 16 17 Okay. Then please repeat the Α. 18 question. 19 0. Do you have any basis for 20 disagreeing with that statement? 21 Let me go back to the sentence Α. 22 itself, please. So it says -- The second part 23 of it says that groundwater withdrawal -- and 24 I'm going to skip the first part of the sentence -- has been determined to be a 25

1	causative agent in the Michoud area previously.
2	(And read.) And that refers to a reference.
3	So the data that this statement about causative
4	agent in the Michoud area, firstly, that data
5	would be in a different paper, not in this
б	paper.
7	Q. Have you read or reviewed the Dokka
8	report that is part of this report?
9	A. I have seen that report. I have
10	read many other reports as well. And the
11	general conclusion from the studies that have
12	been done is in agreement with Kathleen's
13	statement that there is not a an ability at
14	this point to make a direct connection.
15	Q. Sir, I think the sentence she and
16	the other co-authors from Louisiana State
17	University and other academic institutions make
18	is that it has been determined to be a
19	causative agent in the Michoud area of New
20	Orleans. And I just want to know do you agree
21	or do you disagree?
22	JUDGE GULIN:
23	There is a third option, of course,
24	and that option is that you're not in a
25	position to say you agree or disagree.

1	So it's one of those three.
2	DR. LOSONSKY:
3	Well, I want to be sure I give the
4	best answer here. So just give me a
5	minute, please.
б	(Whereupon a pause occurred in the
7	proceedings.)
8	DR. LOSONSKY:
9	So, again, this refers to one paper,
10	one paper of many. There has been a lot
11	of research on this subject.
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
13	Q. But you're going beyond the bounds
14	of this sentence.
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. I really just want to know do you
17	think that sentence is supported. The
18	reference is to Dokka. Do you think Dokka
19	supports that sentence?
20	A. I would have to go back
21	Q. So you don't know?
22	A to specifically to
23	specifically review Dokka's paper to see if I
24	would agree with this statement as it's stated
25	in here.

Γ

1	Q. Thank you.
2	And then, with regards to the
3	Let's see how much we can get through this.
4	Later on on page 10 we're still
5	in the same section and the same paragraph, in
б	the center of that first paragraph where it
7	says, In Michoud's Figure 4, subsidence is 25
8	to 30 millimeters per year at the power plant,
9	Entergy New Orleans. The higher subsidence
10	around the power plant is consistent with the
11	previously documented influence of groundwater
12	pumping on localized subsidence and increases
13	near the Mississippi River where there are more
14	water withdrawal wells. Figures 4B and 4C.
15	(As read.)
16	Do you have any basis for
17	disagreeing with that statement?
18	A. I'll read it to myself again,
19	please.
20	Now, this refers to the effects of
21	historical pumping, I believe, because they
22	refer to more water wells near the Mississippi.
23	Historically there were a lot more water wells
24	than there are today. The subsidence, 25 to
25	30 millimeters per year, is, in fact, what we

1	see in the figure that I referred to,
2	Figure 6A. Well, actually Yeah.
3	I'm not sure if I agree with that
4	because in the figure, the of course, we're
5	not looking at this figure with the right
6	Here we go, Figure 7A. Sorry. We're not
7	looking at the color version of the figure.
8	This here refers to 25 to 30 millimeters per
9	year. Generally that's what I saw in the way
10	of when I looked at that figure, I saw in
11	that range, 25 to 30 millimeters per year, is
12	what the data provides and I think the data's
13	good. So I agree with that part.
14	Let me make sure, since you're
15	asking me to agree with a statement in this
16	report.
17	Q. I'm not asking you to agree. I'm
18	asking whether or not you do or you don't or
19	you don't have enough information to do either.
20	MR. BROWN:
21	I'd like to object the witness's
22	obvious evasiveness. I'd like that he be
23	directed to answer the question.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Okay. I think the witness said he

1	can't say he completely agrees and then
2	he was going on to explain how he
3	partially agrees. Do you want to leave
4	it at he partially agrees, or do you want
5	more specificity?
6	DR. LOSONSKY:
7	I can clarify this I think. I
8	agreed
9	MS. HARDEN:
10	Not in the time I have remaining, so
11	I've got to move on. Let me just
12	DR. LOSONSKY:
13	Okay.
14	MS. HARDEN:
15	I think we're going to have to leave
16	it the way it is
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	All right. Let's move on.
19	MS. HARDEN:
20	in all fairness.
21	JUDGE GULIN:
22	Okay.
23	MS. HARDEN:
24	Thank you, Mr. Brown.
25	It's very frustrating because I'm

1	reading you know, this is in English,
2	but at any rate
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Well, you're going to have a chance
5	to redirect.
б	MS. HARDEN:
7	Thank you.
8	MR. BARTON:
9	We've got a scientist on the stand
10	being asked scientific questions. If
11	he's not allowed the time to give
12	scientific responses, I just He's not
13	being evasive, and I object to counsel's
14	characterization there, but I don't want
15	to take up more of your time.
16	MS. HARDEN:
17	Thank you, because they're not
18	scientific responses.
19	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
20	Q. I'd like to get your thoughts about
21	your time as a commissioner on the Southeast
22	Louisiana Flood Protection Authority East. In
23	your rebuttal testimony at page 19, lines 7
24	through 15.
25	A. Nineteen, lines what, please?

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Γ

1	Q. Sure. You're on page 19 of your
2	rebuttal testimony and we're looking at lines 7
3	through 15.
4	A. All right. Yes.
5	Q. So here you state that you do not
6	believe that siting and operating the proposed
7	Entergy gas plant would create any risk of
8	damage to
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Wait. Slow down.
11	MS. HARDEN:
12	I'm sorry.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
14	Q. Here you say that you do not believe
15	that siting and operating the proposed Entergy
16	gas plant would create any risk of damage to
17	flood protection infrastructure; is that
18	correct? (As read.)
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Are you aware that your belief is
21	not shared by the current Commissioners on the
22	Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection Authority
23	East?
24	A. I am aware that at least some of the
25	commissioners would agree. I don't know the

1	opinions of each commissioner, and the
2	commissioners all have various backgrounds. I
3	also don't know even the names of all the
4	current commissioners. My term expired in
5	2012, my five years.
6	MS. HARDEN:
7	Your Honor, may I approach the
8	witness with purposes of cross?
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Sure.
11	MS. HARDEN:
12	This is a copy of the minutes from
13	the Southeast Louisiana Flood Protection
14	Authority East board meeting on Thursday,
15	December 15th, 2016, that's being passed
16	to you and counsel.
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	It's being marked as DSCEJ No. 5.
19	And you're asking me like the last
20	one, that it be admitted for cross?
21	MS. HARDEN:
22	Yes, sir.
23	JUDGE GULIN:
24	It is.
25	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:

1	Q. On page 9
2	MR. BARTON:
3	I'm sorry. We haven't gotten the
4	document yet. I know we're only on
5	admission for cross right now, but if
6	this is going to be admitted to evidence,
7	then we would object based on hearsay.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Okay. We're not there yet. It
10	hasn't been offered for that.
11	MR. BARTON:
12	All right.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
14	Q. In the meeting minutes, which are
15	it is a public record
16	MR. GUILLOT:
17	Your Honor.
18	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
19	Q available online
20	MR. GUILLOT:
21	Your Honor, one second, please. Her
22	time has expired.
23	JUDGE GULIN:
24	Her time has expired? I thought we
25	had two hours for this. Was I wrong?

1	MR. GUILLOT:
2	She had 30 minutes.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	I apologize.
5	DR. LOSONSKY:
б	I'm sorry.
7	JUDGE GULIN:
8	I'll give you an extra five minutes.
9	We're running We're well ahead of
10	schedule. You can have an extra five.
11	MS. HARDEN:
12	Thank you, Judge.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
14	Q. I'd like to bring your attention to
15	page 9 of the meeting minutes. You'll also see
16	it's notated as page 27. It was a part of the
17	exhibit introduced as part of public interest
18	intervenors expert witness. Could you I
19	just wanted to get your thoughts on the
20	decision by members of the Commission to write
21	a letter to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
22	This portion of the meeting minutes is titled,
23	Discussions of concerns regarding accelerated
24	subsidence secondary to groundwater withdrawal
25	at the Michoud power plant. (As read.)

1	And in this letter, a
2	Commissioner if you could look at the second
3	paragraph, I think it's pronounced "Luettich,"
4	L-U-E-T-T-I-C-H.
5	MR. BARTON:
6	Your Honor, we haven't established
7	that the witness is even familiar with
8	this document or he's ever seen the
9	document.
10	JUDGE GULIN:
11	Let's lay a foundation first, if
12	you're going to read into the record.
13	MS. HARDEN:
14	Sure.
15	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
16	Q. Have you had a chance to look at the
17	minutes for the Southeast Louisiana Flood
18	Protection Authority East?
19	A. No. I just got this
20	Q. You just got it?
21	A and I was trying to listen to
22	what you were saying and
23	MS. HARDEN:
24	I think I'm going to need He's
25	going to go over the five minutes. I can

Γ

1	feel it. I don't know what we can do
2	with that.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Okay.
5	MR. BARTON:
6	When you present the witness with a
7	document he's never seen before on the
8	stand, he's got to have time to read and
9	digest the document.
10	JUDGE GULIN:
11	Go ahead. And we'll subtract the
12	time it takes him to review the document
13	from the five minutes.
14	Go ahead and review the document,
15	please, Mr. Losonsky.
16	And then you can lay a foundation.
17	Let us know when you've had an
18	opportunity to do that so we can subtract
19	that time.
20	MS. HARDEN:
21	Thank you, Judge.
22	DR. LOSONSKY:
23	All right. I've read it once.
24	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
25	Q. Okay. So, again, what you read was

1	a discussion that was recorded as part of the
2	meeting minutes of the board of the Southeast
3	Louisiana Flood Protection Authority East.
4	With regards to the discussion of
5	the concerns, would you agree that for the
6	members of the Commission, this concern
7	regarded accelerated subsidence secondary to
8	the groundwater withdrawal at the Michoud Power
9	Plant?
10	MR. BARTON:
11	Again, we need specifics on the
12	concerns. What are we talking about
13	here? What line? What paragraph?
14	MS. HARDEN:
15	It's the title at the top
16	underlined.
17	DR. LOSONSKY:
18	The paragraph is about a discussion
19	of concerns regarding accelerated
20	subsidence. I'm reading the title of the
21	paragraph.
22	JUDGE GULIN:
23	Do you recognize this as the minutes
24	of this proceeding?
25	DR. LOSONSKY:

Page 122

1	I have First of all, this is from
2	Thursday, December 2016. So I was not a
3	member of the Flood Commission in 2016.
4	My term ended in 2012. So I do not
5	recognize this. This is not a meeting
6	where I attended. It's not a meeting
7	that I had anything to do with, and I
8	haven't seen this before right now.
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Just keep that in mind.
11	MS. HARDEN:
12	Sure.
13	JUDGE GULIN:
14	Please don't read from the document.
15	You can ask specific questions and then
16	use this, if you like, for impeachment
17	purposes, but that's the purpose of it at
18	this point.
19	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
20	Q. Do you think that the commissioners,
21	it was reasonable for the commissioners to have
22	this concern?
23	A. It is reasonable to be concerned
24	about effects of water wells, and that's the
25	very reason why I agreed to do the study that I

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 123

1 did, the analysis that I did of the use of water wells. 2 3 Do you agree with their assessment Ο. in the -- of Commissioner Luettich where he 4 5 discussed his concern regarding the potential relationship between the pumping of groundwater 6 7 and subsidence and the potential impact to the 8 HSDRRs, which stands for the Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction System 9 infrastructure? 10 MR. BARTON: 11 12 Objection, Your Honor. The witness 13 has already testified he's not familiar with the document. He's therefore not 14 familiar with the assessment of 15 16 Mr. Luettich. 17 JUDGE GULIN: He can read it and tell us whether 18 19 he agrees or disagrees or doesn't have an 20 opinion. 21 DR. LOSONSKY: 22 I see here that he discussed 23 concerns. I've already said that it's 24 good to look at the issue of use of water 25 wells. And I don't know any of the

1	background to the rest of the statement,
2	so I don't know what he has in mind or
3	what he knows about pumping rates,
4	pumping rates that are proposed.
5	I will say that I think that if he
6	knew what the proposed pumping rates
7	were, he probably would not have gone
8	into this statement, but I don't know
9	that and I'm speculating. But I don't
10	have the background here to what he's
11	actually where he's coming from, what
12	this is based on.
13	JUDGE GULIN:
14	One more question, please,
15	Ms. Harden.
16	MS. HARDEN:
17	Okay. Thank you.
18	EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN:
19	Q. In your rebuttal testimony on page
20	18, lines 8 through 9.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. You looked at it?
23	A. Uh-huh (indicating affirmatively).
24	Q. Have you looked at it, sir?
25	A. Yes, I have.

Page 125

1 Ο. In this testimony, you state that 2 The NOPS facility is higher than the 3 recommended FEMA flooding elevation. (As read.) 4 5 What is that recommendation from 6 FEMA? 7 We are obviously in the wrong Α. 8 report. You said rebuttal testimony and the rebuttal testimony has discussion of draw down. 9 MR. BARTON: 10 Could you give us the date of the 11 12 testimony, Ms. Harden? 13 MS. HARDEN: 14 I'm going to pick it up. One Yeah. moment. I apologize for this. 15 16 EXAMINATION BY MS. HARDEN: 17 So if you're in your rebuttal 0. 18 testimony, you're on page 18 and lines 8 19 through 9 where it says --20 Α. Yes. 21 You discuss Jonathan Long's 0. 22 testimony regarding a recommended FEMA flooding 23 elevation and that the elevation in the design 24 is higher than that recommendation from FEMA. 25 I see that. Α.

```
1
           Ο.
                You said, One foot higher than the
 2
     observed Hurricane Katrina flooding and 2.5
 3
     feet higher than the recommended FEMA flooding
     elevation. (As read.)
 4
 5
               Yes.
           Α.
           0.
                Correct?
 6
 7
                What is that recommendation from
 8
     FEMA that you're discussing?
 9
           Α.
                FEMA has recommended flooding
     elevations from all over the New Orleans area.
10
     And so this refers to -- and I don't have it in
11
12
     front of me, but the elevation that would have
13
     been recommended here.
14
           JUDGE GULIN:
15
                 Thank you, Ms. Harden. We're going
            to have to leave it there.
16
17
           MS. HARDEN:
18
                Okay.
19
           JUDGE GULIN:
20
                 Let's take a ten-minute break at
            this point. Let's come back at 21 after.
21
22
                (Whereupon a recess was taken.)
23
           JUDGE GULIN:
24
                Back on the record.
25
                Mr. Beatmann.
```

Page 127

1 MR. BEATMANN: 2 I have one question, Your Honor. EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATMANN: 3 Hi, Mr. Losonsky. My name is Jay 4 0. 5 Beatmann. I'm here on behalf of the Council's advisors. 6 7 Just one clarifying question. Is it 8 your understanding that the generating unit cannot use more groundwater than the pump can 9 withdraw? Is that correct? 10 11 That the generating unit cannot use Α. 12 more groundwater than the pump can withdraw? 13 Yes, I believe that's true. 14 MR. BEATMANN: 15 That's all I have. 16 JUDGE GULIN: 17 Any redirect? MR. BARTON: 18 19 No, Your Honor. JUDGE GULIN: 20 21 All right. Thank you so much, 22 Mr. Losonsky. You're excused. 23 All right. Are we ready -- We've 24 gone quite ahead, but are we ready to hear from Mr. Todd. 25

1	MR. GUILLOT:
2	Yes, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Okay.
5	Good morning, Mr. Todd.
6	MR. TODD:
7	Good morning.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	If you would, please face the court
10	reporter and raise your right
11	hand.
12	ORLANDO TODD,
13	after having been duly sworn by the
14	above-mentioned Certified Court Reporter, was
15	examined and testified as follows:
16	JUDGE GULIN:
17	Mr. Edwards.
18	MR. EDWARDS:
19	Yes, Your Honor. I'm ready to
20	proceed.
21	JUDGE GULIN:
22	Please do.
23	EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS:
24	Q. Mr. Todd, good morning. My name is
25	Lanny Edwards. I represent Air Products in

1	this proceeding.
2	A. Good morning.
3	Q. If you wouldn't mind, would you
4	please open your supplemental testimony to
5	page 5, and on line 12 at page 5, you begin to
6	discuss the cost recovery for whichever type of
7	generation is ultimately approved in this case;
8	is that correct?
9	A. I'm sorry. What line on page 5?
10	Q. It begins on page 12, I believe.
11	A. Oh, page 12.
12	Q. I'm sorry. Page 5, line 12.
13	A. Okay. I'm sorry. What was your
14	question?
15	Q. My question is, is this where you
16	begin talking about how to recover the cost
17	irrespective of whether the CT unit or the RICE
18	units are implemented?
19	A. Yes, that's correct.
20	Q. And if you would briefly look at
21	your testimony on page 5 and 6, I believe you
22	indicate that you expect, regardless of which
23	unit would be approved, that neither would
24	enter commercial operations until after the
25	completion of the 2018 combined rate case; is

1	that correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	On page 6, you indicate that you
5	want to recover the first year non-fuel revenue
б	requirement associated with whichever unit is
7	built through a PPCACR, P-P-C-A-C-R; right? I
8	assume that's the purchase power capacity
9	acquisition cost recovery rider?
10	A. Yes, that's correct.
11	Q. You provide on page 6 that using the
12	PPCACR rider, it would be modified for such
13	purpose on a similar exact cost recovery rider;
14	is that correct? (As read.)
15	A. Yes, that is correct.
16	Q. Is your concern here mainly that you
17	get to collect a revenue when the unit goes
18	into service more so than a particular form of
19	cost recovery mechanism?
20	A. Yes. It's the company position that
21	we would like an exact recovery rider where we
22	would have contemporaneous recovery of those
23	costs. So, I mean, we actually proposed using
24	the PPCACR rider, but if there is another
25	vehicle that would get us the same results, we

Page 131

1 wouldn't be opposed to that. 2 Have you read the testimony of one 0. 3 of the advisors' witnesses, Mr. Victor Prep? Yes, I have. 4 Α. 5 It's my understanding that Mr. Prep Ο. recommends that one of two potential approaches 6 7 be taken for cost recovery. One is to develop 8 a second step rate case during the 2018 combined rate case that would go into effect 9 when the unit achieves commercial operation; is 10 11 that right? 12 Yes, that's my understanding. Α. Μv 13 understanding from Mr. Prep's testimony is that 14 he's proposing a two-step adjustment mechanism and he's using the combined base rate case as 15 you've mentioned to do that through. And it's 16 my understanding that from that base rate case, 17 we would have rates set, what he calls the 18 19 first step, sort of excluding the NOPS revenue 20 requirement. And we're assuming that those 21 rates would go into effect in August of 2019. 22 And then once the commercial -- Once 23 NOPS goes into commercial operation, that's 24 when the second rate adjustment would take 25 effect.

1	Q. And is that an acceptable approach
2	to you?
3	A. Yes, as I understand it, that would
4	be an acceptable approach.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	The second approach that he
7	discusses is a rider in which the non-fuel
8	revenue requirements of NOPS, N-O-P-S, would be
9	spread to customer classes as an equal percent
10	of base rate revenue. Is that your
11	understanding of his testimony?
12	A. Yes. I believe he mentioned and
13	that would actually happen within the confine
14	of the base rate case where the allocation of
15	the revenue requirement for NOPS would be on
16	the cost-of-service basis versus a per kilowatt
17	basis that the current PPCACR rider uses.
18	Q. Would you agree that the kilowatt
19	basis is inappropriate for this type of
20	recovery?
21	A. The recovery of the NOPS investment?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. Yes, it's probably not There's
24	probably a better recovery method than a per
25	kilowatt basis for NOPS.

Page 133

1 0. Thank you. 2 Mr. Prep states on pages 7 and 8 of 3 his testimony that recovering non-fuel costs from customers based on volumetric kWh 4 5 consumption is inappropriate. Would you agree? MR. GUILLOT: 6 7 Give him a minute. 8 MR. TODD: 9 Yeah. What page was that? MR. EDWARDS: 10 11 I'm sorry. It's page 7 and 8 of 12 Mr. Prep's testimony. 13 MR. TODD: 14 Seven and 8? 15 Okay. What was your question again? 16 I've sort of scanned page 7 and 8 of 17 Prep's testimony. EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS: 18 19 0. Do you agree that it would be 20 inappropriate to recover non-fuel costs from customers based on volumetric kWh consumption? 21 22 MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON: 23 Object, Your Honor. And asked and 24 answered. 25 JUDGE GULIN:

1	I'll allow him to answer.
2	MR. TODD:
3	And the non-fuel O&M cost that you
4	referenced, is that in particular to the
5	NOPS unit alternatives?
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS:
7	Q. Well, it would be with respect to
8	either unit that might be approved.
9	A. Either unit.
10	Q. Right.
11	A. Yes. As I stated previously, with
12	NOPS and if we're using the rate case as
13	Victor Prep's recommended, then that allocation
14	of the NOPS O&M I'm sorry non-fuel O&M
15	costs in addition to the investment would not
16	be based on a per kilowatt basis.
17	Q. Thank you.
18	When Entergy New Orleans does
19	cost-of-service studies, are non-fuel costs
20	typically allocated to customer classes based
21	on class demands?
22	A. It's my general understanding that,
23	yes, the cost-of-service study does look at
24	demand, you know, demand and allocator versus a
25	per kilowatt basis.

Γ

1	Q. Demand not kilowatt consumption?
2	A. Right.
3	Q. And so that is because it's the
4	customer demands that cause the fixed cost to
5	be incurred; correct?
6	A. Yes, that's my general
7	understanding.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	Have you read the testimony of Air
10	Products witness Mr. Brubaker?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. And you didn't offer any testimony
13	in response or rebuttal to his testimony, did
14	you?
15	A. No, I don't believe I did.
16	Q. Mr. Brubaker's analysis indicates
17	that when non-fuel revenue requirements are
18	allocated on a kWh basis, Air Products is
19	charged for about 3 $1/2$ percent of the total
20	cost, whereas, if those costs were allocated as
21	a percentage of base rate revenues, Air
22	Products would be allocated approximately 1.2
23	percent of those costs under the rate
24	schedules.
25	Do you generally agree with that

1	statement?
2	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
3	Your Honor, I'm going to object.
4	Mr. Edwards has already indicated that
5	Mr. Todd did not opine on Mr. Brubaker's
б	testimony, so I would say that it was
7	beyond the scope of Mr. Todd's testimony.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Yeah, I think that's true.
10	MR. EDWARDS:
11	Well, Your Honor, may I ask
12	Mr. Todd?
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS:
14	Q. If you had an objection to
15	Mr. Brubaker's testimony, you would have
16	addressed it in your testimony, would you not?
17	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
18	Same objection, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	You indicated that you had read the
21	testimony?
22	MR. TODD:
23	Yes.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Okay.

1	MR. TODD:
2	That was dated October 2017.
3	JUDGE GULIN:
4	Okay. Would you have necessarily
5	responded to it if there were any
6	disagreement with that testimony? In
7	other words, could you have had some
8	disagreement and still not have responded
9	in your testimony?
10	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
11	I'm going to object to that, Your
12	Honor. I apologize. I apologize, Judge.
13	JUDGE GULIN:
14	I'm trying to help you out here.
15	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
16	I understand, and I appreciate the
17	assistance. I guess my concern is that
18	who responds to testimony is done so in
19	consult with attorneys and would be the
20	basis of a privilege. Also, if
21	Mr. Todd's job duties placed him in a
22	position to be familiar with those
23	quantifications, then perhaps he might
24	have an opinion, but that's beyond the
25	scope of his testimony.

1	JUDGE GULIN:
2	Well, he could have said that, I
3	think.
4	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
5	I'm sorry.
6	JUDGE GULIN:
7	Did you want to answer my question?
8	MR. TODD:
9	I'm sorry. What is your question?
10	MR. GUILLOT:
11	Just in terms of whether he responds
12	is really a decision that the attorneys
13	make. It's in the realm of the
14	attorney
15	JUDGE GULIN:
16	All right. I'll sustain the
17	objection. I guess there's some
18	privileged information there embedded in
19	my question, so let's move on.
20	MR. EDWARDS:
21	I didn't quite follow that, Your
22	Honor. I'm looking at 50,000 pages of
23	testimony, at least half of which of
24	every witness is if you have a concern
25	about what another witness has said to

1	counter to your position, you address it.
2	And I'm just asking, you did not address
3	anything contrary to what Strike that.
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. EDWARDS:
5	Q. Mr. Todd, you did not address in
6	your testimony any statement about
7	Mr. Brubaker's testimony for Air Products, did
8	you?
9	A. No, I did not, but I didn't file
10	rebuttal testimony. I filed direct testimony
11	in the original filing and then direct
12	testimony in the supplemental filing. So I did
13	not file rebuttal testimony.
14	Q. Is that because you didn't have
15	anything to rebut for any witness, including
16	Mr. Brubaker?
17	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
18	Your Honor, I'm going to renew my
19	objection.
20	JUDGE GULIN:
21	Sustained. Let's move on.
22	MR. EDWARDS:
23	I have no further questions.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Let's go to the advisors.

Page 140

1 EXAMINATION BY MR. REED: 2 Good morning, Mr. Todd. Presley 0. Reed on behalf of the advisors. 3 4 In your testimony, you are 5 recommending that the company recover the cost of the LTSA through the fuel adjustment clause; 6 7 isn't that correct? 8 Α. That's correct. And can you briefly explain what the 9 Ο. LTSA is? 10 11 Yes. LTSA stands for long-term Α. 12 service agreement, and it's my understanding 13 Mr. Breedlove goes into a lot of detail in his 14 direct testimony. But what I understand that is, that would cover certain major maintenance 15 activity related to NOPS. And those activity 16 17 will depend on, you know, the number of starts and the actual run hours for that unit. So 18 19 those expenses would vary according -- you know, based on those two. And because of that, 20 because of the variability in nature, we 21 22 just -- the company position is that they are 23 appropriate to be recovered through the fuel 24 adjustment clause, the FAC. 25 And the purpose of the FAC is to 0.

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 141

1 recover fuel costs; isn't that correct? 2 Well, yeah. Primarily it's to Α. 3 recover fuel costs, but, I mean, because of the variability in those -- which fuel varies, you 4 5 know, from month to month based on market conditions and other things, that the company 6 7 position was that these LTS costs would also 8 vary, depending on how the NOPS unit is operated, which would be variable in nature. 9 Now, all variable costs are not 10 Ο. 11 recovered through the fuel adjustment clause, 12 are they? 13 Α. No, I don't believe. No. 14 So the mere fact that a cost is 0. variable does not require it to be recovered 15 through the fuel adjustment clause? 16 17 Α. No. 18 All right. And in many instances, Ο. 19 costs that are recovered through the fuel adjustment clause are done so because of the 20 wide variation in the cost in terms of their 21 22 fluctuation; is that correct? 23 Yes, that's my understanding. Α. 24 Now, is it your understanding 0. 25 there's going to be wide variation in the

Page 142

1 amount of costs associated with the LTSA? 2 I mean, I don't know the actual Α. 3 details around, you know, the amount in variability, but just based on Mr. Breedlove 4 5 testimony that I read, those payments to the original manufacturer will vary. Now, to what 6 extent, I don't know. 7 8 0. Right. Thank you. And, for example -- and I think 9 you've already testified that there are many 10 11 costs that are variable costs that are not 12 recovered through the fuel adjustment clause, 13 like certain O&M costs; am I correct? Yes. Yes, that's correct. 14 Α. MR. REED: 15 I don't have any other questions, 16 Your Honor. 17 18 JUDGE GULIN: 19 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Reed. Any redirect of Mr. Todd? 20 21 MR. GUILLOT: 22 Would you give us a few minutes, 23 Your Honor? 24 JUDGE GULIN: 25 Sure.

Page 143

1	(Whereupon a pause occurred in the
2	proceedings.)
3	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
4	Your Honor, I have two quick
5	questions for Mr. Todd on redirect.
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
7	Q. Mr. Todd, I believe Mr. Reed
8	questioned you regarding the FAC and the
9	fuel adjustment clause, and whether it's
10	designed to recover fuel cost. My question to
11	you is are there costs other than fuel costs
12	recovered through the FAC currently?
13	A. Yes. I mean, currently the Council
14	approved ENO recoverability of the LTSA cost
15	that we have related to the Union Power Block 1
16	acquisition and the Nine Mile 6 PPA, purchase
17	power agreement. So we're actually running
18	those LTSA expenses through the fuel adjustment
19	clause.
20	Q. And I believe Mr. Reed Let me
21	start over.
22	Are the long-term service agreement
23	costs that are at issue here fuel related?
24	A. It's My understanding is that
25	they act similar to how fuel would act as far

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

1	as the number of starts at that unit, you know,
2	fuel would fluctuate based on that, and the
3	actual run time. So in that comparison, they
4	would fluctuate based on that plant, you know,
5	running hours, which is similar to fuel. If
б	you don't run the plant, you know, you would
7	think that your fuel cost would be lower and if
8	you do, you're using those fuel. So they sort
9	of act similar in nature that fuel expense
10	would.
11	MS. MAURICE-ANDERSON:
12	Thank you, Mr. Todd. That's all I
13	have.
14	JUDGE GULIN:
15	Thank you.
16	Mr. Edwards, anything?
17	MR. EDWARDS:
18	No, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE GULIN:
20	And Mr. Reed?
21	MR. REED:
22	Just maybe a couple of questions,
23	Your Honor.
24	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. REED:
25	Q. Ms. Maurice just asked you whether

1	or not the LTSA costs are similar to fuel
2	costs. Are there any fuel costs that are
3	directly included in the LTSA costs?
4	A. No. To my understanding, the LTSA
5	expenses are primarily going to be related to
б	major maintenance activities at that plant.
7	Q. And she also asked you whether or
8	not there are certain types of non-fuel costs
9	that are currently being recovered through the
10	FAC like the current PPCA whatever the rider
11	is? I'm blanking right now.
12	MR. GUILLOT:
13	PPCACR.
14	EXAMINATION BY MR. REED:
15	Q. The PPCACR rider; is that correct?
16	A. I'm sorry. Would you repeat that?
17	Q. The PPCACR rider, for example, is a
18	non-fuel cost that is currently being recovered
19	through an FAC type mechanism; is that correct?
20	A. If you're asking about the PPCACR,
21	we're currently recovering the Nine Mile 6
22	revenue requirement through that, in addition
23	to the Union Power Block 1 acquisition. So
24	But any fuel-related costs related to those
25	are, you know, recovered through the FAC.

1	Q. And that would be appropriate
2	because those are fuel-related costs?
3	A. Yeah. The fuel costs running
4	through the FAC, yes.
5	Q. All right. But there's no precedent
6	that will require the Council to approve the
7	same type of recovery for the long-term service
8	agreement cost through the FAC in this case,
9	are there?
10	A. I think that's left up to the
11	Council's decision.
12	MR. REED:
13	Thank you. Those are my questions.
14	JUDGE GULIN:
15	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Todd.
16	MR. TODD:
17	Thank you.
18	JUDGE GULIN:
19	You're excused at this point.
20	I was just going to say let's take
21	stock of where we are now.
22	Ms. Lovorn-Marriage was scheduled for
23	tomorrow. Any chance we can get her in
24	this afternoon?
25	MS. MILLER:

1	Your Honor, we would object to her
2	being considered this afternoon. We were
3	told that one witness would roll over,
4	not more. We have a number of documents
5	that are being copied at the moment that
6	we need to use as exhibits for her
7	tomorrow.
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Okay. All right. I think that's a
10	legitimate request. So we will And
11	you're not ready for any other witness, I
12	guess, of ENO? How about Mr. Rice?
13	MS. MILLER:
14	It's my understanding that a number
15	of people aren't ready for Mr. Rice, if
16	that's correct.
17	JUDGE GULIN:
18	How about Kolker?
19	MS. MILLER:
20	Mr. Kolker is not here today.
21	JUDGE GULIN:
22	Okay. All right, then. I guess is
23	there anything else we can do today?
24	MR. REED:
25	Well, Your Honor

1	You say you're not ready for
2	Mr. Rice?
3	MS. MILLER:
4	No. It was my understanding that
5	there were several people who are not
6	ready for Mr. Rice.
7	MR. REED:
8	We're ready.
9	JUDGE GULIN:
10	Who's not ready?
11	MR. REED:
12	Who's not ready?
13	MR. WIYGUL:
14	This is Robert Wiygul for the Sierra
15	Club. We're counting on having Mr. Rice
16	tomorrow. I know this schedule has gone
17	quickly, but we got here to set up.
18	I think it was Maurice Stendahl who
19	said, "If I had more time, I would have
20	sent you a shorter letter." I think you
21	may find it actually will make things
22	move very quickly if we give him a little
23	bit of time until tomorrow.
24	JUDGE GULIN:
25	Okay. All right. Let's go ahead

1	and adjourn, then, for today. And we'll
2	start tomorrow. Let's start at 9:00 A.M.
3	tomorrow.
4	MR. GUILLOT:
5	Just for clarification purposes,
6	Your Honor, which witnesses will we
7	expect to take tomorrow?
8	JUDGE GULIN:
9	Ms. Lovorn-Marriage
10	MR. GUILLOT:
11	And Charles Rice.
12	JUDGE GULIN:
13	Charles Rice, Kolker, possibly
14	Stanton, possibly Lanzalotta. We're
15	moving ahead.
16	MS. MILLER:
17	Your Honor, Ms. Stanton and
18	Mr. Lanzalotta are actually flying in
19	tomorrow. So I don't think they'll be
20	available tomorrow.
21	JUDGE GULIN:
22	Okay. Well, I'll tell you what.
23	Why don't the parties get together and
24	see what they can work out if there's any
25	modification to the schedule that can be

1	done so we're a little more efficient for
2	the rest of the week.
3	Okay. I mean, I don't want to
4	complain about going too fast, so it's
5	tempered with that.
6	All right. See you-all tomorrow at
7	9:00 A.M.
8	MR. GUILLOT:
9	Thank you, Judge.
10	(Whereupon the proceedings were
11	recessed for the day at 11:44 A.M.)
12	* * * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature
3	and original required seal on this page. I, Kathy Shaw-Gallagher, Certified Court
4	Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this testimony was
5	taken, do hereby certify that this testimony was reported by me in stenotype reporting
6	method, was prepared and transcribed by me or
7	under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the
8	best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with
9	transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, and that I am
10	informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or
	entity making arrangements for deposition
11	services; that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships,
12	as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure
	Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions
13	of the board; that I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual
14	relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in
15	this matter nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this
16	matter nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this
17	matter; I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested
18	in the outcome of this matter.
19	
20	KATHY SHAW-GALLAGHER, CCR, RPR
20	Certified Court Reporter Curren-Landrieu, L.L.C.
21	749 Aurora Avenue
	Suite 4
22	Metairie, Louisiana 70005
23	
24 25	
20	

Page 152

A	activity (2) 140:16	advisors (6) 34:10	29:14	54:10 96:20 99:17
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{A}) 1 1 4 1 4 0 2}$	140:16	34:22 79:7 127:6	agrees (4) 113:1,3,4	100:8 142:1,3
<b>A.M (4)</b> 1:14 149:2	actual (14) 16:17	139:25 140:3	123:19	analyses (2) 18:5
150:7,11	29:24 51:17 57:24	advisors' (1) 131:3	ahead (12) 28:20	22:25
AAE/350 (1) 10:15	58:1 93:7,11 95:5	advisory (1) 151:12	42:24 44:21 72:25	analysis (46) 17:16
AAE/350-2 (1)	100:18,25 140:18	advocates (2) 14:24	79:20 97:12 118:9	17:20,23 18:11
11:14	142:2 144:3	15:1	120:11,14 127:24	19:16 20:7 21:20
<b>ability (5)</b> 41:8	151:13	<b>AERMAP</b> (1) 60:15	148:25 149:15	22:2,9 23:5 26:12
99:12,14 109:13	add (1) 89:24	<b>AERMOD</b> (1)	aim (1) 102:5	26:16 27:8 29:11
151:7	add (1) 37:24 added (1) 27:20	60:14	air (45) 4:5 9:4	30:4,9 31:15
<b>able (12)</b> 20:4 32:12	addition (2) 134:15	AERSCREEN (7)	35:15 48:22,24	35:17,17 36:2,6,9
35:5 37:12 38:4	145:22	60:5,9,13,19,25	49:24 50:2,12,14	36:15 41:14 43:11
45:12 76:3 78:13	additional (6) 21:17	61:5 90:21	54:24 55:3 58:4,8	43:16,18,21,23
83:11 97:20,21	22:21 25:21 33:23	affect (5) 40:23 41:9	58:14 59:1,6,8	44:4,14 45:4,9,22
100:15	40:22 41:23	41:10 52:18 56:1	60:7 62:18,23	46:23 57:2,23
above-entitled (1)	additions (2) 27:18	affidavit (3) 80:2,12	63:11,13,21,22,23	58:7 60:6 61:21
1:13	33:9	80:23	64:4,6 65:9,12,14	61:22 62:1 87:23
above-mentioned	address (7) 21:23	affirmatively (3)	66:7 68:16,18	88:7 123:1 135:16
11:23 48:5 82:1	31:14 84:8 90:13	60:18 93:21	69:21 70:1 72:7	analyst (1) 47:6
128:14	139:1,2,5	124:23	75:9 77:19 78:4	<b>analytical</b> (1) 46:6
<b>absolute</b> (1) 95:23	addressed (3) 90:3,9	<b>Affordable (3)</b> 3:6	90:20 128:25	analyze (3) 23:21,25
<b>absolutely (5)</b> 37:23	136:16	49:16 82:9	135:9,18,21 139:7	25:16
40:4 41:5 42:24 57:7	adequacy (3) 30:22	afield (1) 18:16	Alford (27) 2:3,3 5:6	analyzes (1) 68:16
academic (1) 109:17	31:7,24	African-American	11:17 13:13 14:6	analyzing (1) 69:11
accelerated (4)	adequate (3) 65:25	71:21	18:20 19:3,7,10,13	annual (1) 29:7
27:14 118:23	83:16 84:25	African-American	25:22 26:2 29:2,6	answer (21) 19:20
121:7,19	adequately (1) 10:1	68:20	34:1,5,13 44:15	27:6 63:3 83:11
accept (1) 54:9	adjourn (1) 149:1	<b>afternoon (4)</b> 62:12	45:21 46:16 47:13	84:10 88:2 89:3
accept (1) 54.9 acceptable (2) 132:1	adjustment (10)	91:5 146:24 147:2	47:14 80:1,4,19	92:15,23 95:13
132:4	131:14,24 140:6	agent (5) 106:5	81:4	100:1,5 103:5,7
accommodate (1)	140:24 141:11,16	107:1 109:1,4,19	<b>alley (3)</b> 64:8,14,16	107:10,14,15
8:13	141:20 142:12	ages (2) 26:17 27:9	<b>Alliance (3)</b> 3:6	110:4 112:23
accompanied (1)	143:9,18	ago (1) 32:22	49:16 82:9	134:1 138:7
151:2	administering (1)	agree (41) 20:9,13	allocated (4) 134:20	<b>answered</b> (1) 133:24
accuracy (1) 60:25	6:16	25:7 27:13 28:3	135:18,20,22	anticipated (4) 33:9
accurate (2) 67:5,12	administration (1)	29:7 30:3 32:23	allocation (2)	51:1,14,16
accurately (1) 100:5	86:6	36:25 39:15 54:18	132:14 134:13	<b>AP</b> (1) 8:4
achievability (2)	administrative (1)	57:5 58:22 72:10	<b>allocator</b> (1) 134:24	APLC (1) 4:3
22:3,10	77:6	75:3 95:2,3,11,19	<b>allow (8)</b> 13:18 17:6	<b>apologize</b> (4) 118:4
achievable (2) 22:16	admission (1) 117:5	95:20 96:2,3	38:13,14 40:12	125:15 137:12,12
23:7	admit (3) 9:25 10:2	97:14 98:6 99:1	44:20 59:21 134:1	APPEARANCES
achieves (1) 131:10	10:10	99:18 106:24	allowed (4) 56:7	1:16 2:1 3:1 4:1
acquisition (3)	admitted (6) 10:3	109:20,25 110:24	58:17 65:9 114:11	appears (1) 71:17
130:9 143:16	11:7 76:23 77:2	112:3,13,15,17	alternative (4) 21:4	<b>appliances</b> (1) 35:11
145:23	116:20 117:6	115:25 121:5	21:6,21 30:21	application (11) 1:5
act (10) 63:22 68:16	<b>admitting</b> (1) 10:6	123:3 132:18	alternatives (3)	50:2 52:1 53:11
68:19 70:1 86:7	adopt (1) 65:23	133:5,19 135:25	17:24 44:25 134:5	77:19,23 78:4,7,9
86:11,18 143:25	adopted (2) 86:18	agreed (2) 113:8	Alyssa (1) 1:21	78:12,14
143:25 144:9	88:19	122:25	ambient (5) 50:13	applications (2)
acted (1) 151:11	adoption (1) 66:12	<b>agreement</b> (7) 30:1	65:9,13,14 66:7	50:4,6
action (1) 87:13	advantage (1) 56:6	48:10 109:12	amending (3) 1:5	<b>applies</b> (1) 96:8
actions (4) 41:8,21	adverse (5) 51:1	140:12 143:17,22	103:13,21	<b>apply (4)</b> 57:5,11
85:6 87:19	65:7 66:2 68:5,7	146:8	amount (10) 25:16	59:10 86:5
activities (1) 145:6	advisor's (1) 45:15	agreements (1)	33:23 35:6 36:9	<b>applying</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 59:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

(504) 833-3330

Page 153

<b>appointed</b> (1) 83:21	<b>assessment (9)</b> 66:3	117:19 149:20	47:3 54:3 65:22	Bguill1@entergy
appreciate $(1)$ 83:21 appreciate $(2)$ 14:4	77:18,22 78:1,18	Avenue (5) 1:22 3:4	47:3 54:3 65:22 74:12 106:8,19	2:10
137:16	78:21 100:22	4:4,18 151:21	107:2,5 108:2,19	<b>bidding (1)</b> 16:18
approach (5) 19:4	123:3,15	<b>aware (17)</b> 46:3	111:16 132:16,17	<b>bilateral</b> (1) 29:23
116:7 132:1,4,6	Assessments (1)	61:21 68:14 69:3	132:19,25 134:16	<b>bilaterally</b> (1) 29:13
approaches (1)	75:9	71:1 74:20 75:14	134:25 135:18	<b>bilge</b> (1) 99:10
131:6	assets (4) 25:14 27:2	75:17 78:6,8,11	134.23 135.18	<b>binder (1)</b> 14:1
appropriate (4)	27:2 32:21	83:14 86:10 87:4	basket (2) 20:24	<b>binding</b> (1) 40:20
58:8 85:10 140:23	assignment (1) 80:6	88:4 115:20,24	21:2	<b>bit (5)</b> 9:11 16:2
146:1	assistance (1)	00.4 115.20,24	Baton (3) 4:10	42:13 45:18
<b>APPROVAL (1)</b> 1:7	137:17	B	64:18,25	148:23
approvals (1) 64:6	associated (8) 18:5	<b>B</b> (1) 6:1	batteries (4) 21:17	BLACHE (1) 4:8
approve (2) 64:4	28:4,6,23 36:23	back (17) 27:3	38:14 39:1 44:5	Blanco (1) 83:22
146:6	65:12 130:6 142:1	38:16 39:5,9,10,13	battery (2) 36:20	blanking (1) 145:11
approved (5) 65:1	ASSOCIATES (1)	53:22 79:21,25	44:25	<b>blind</b> (1) 9:1
129:7,23 134:8	2:19	95:16 101:18	Bayou (1) 1:14	<b>Bliss (3)</b> 5:10 47:24
143:14	assume (2) 86:18	102:7 108:8,21	<b>bear (1)</b> 71:10	48:3
approximately (2)	130:8	110:20 126:21,24	<b>Beatmann (8)</b> 2:16	Block (2) 143:15
58:2 135:22	assumes (1) 68:22	back-to-best-avail	5:18 79:8 126:25	145:23
April (1) 88:20	<b>assuming</b> (2) 11:6	57:1	127:1,3,5,14	board (6) 83:23
aquifer (2) 97:22	131:20	background (2)	becoming (1) 81:16	87:17 116:14
101:25	assure (1) 50:12	124:1,10	<b>beef (1)</b> 35:14	121:2 151:9,13
area (12) 31:18	asymmetrical (1)	backgrounds (1)	begins (2) 12:13	<b>BOCs</b> (1) 54:5
32:10 45:5 58:4	39:18	116:2	129:10	Borgne (1) 84:20
83:3 89:11 106:6	attainment (1)	backwards (1) 43:7	behalf (6) 9:14,21	<b>bottom (2)</b> 8:22
107:1 109:1,4,19	50:13	balance (4) 24:11	12:1 43:5 127:5	19:14
126:10	attempting (1)	27:16,20 28:18	140:3	<b>Boulevard</b> (1) 3:19
areas (6) 31:12 38:9	73:12	<b>balances (2)</b> 24:7,7	behind-the-meter	<b>bounds (1)</b> 110:13
50:12 76:10 106:4	attended (1) 122:6	<b>BALHOFF</b> (1) 4:8	38:3	<b>box (1)</b> 70:18
106:23	<b>attention (3)</b> 11:8	Barrier (1) 84:21	<b>Behrman (1)</b> 3:14	breached (1) 82:20
Army (10) 83:14,20	66:14 118:14	Barton (21) 1:20	<b>belief (3)</b> 65:7,11	break (2) 79:21
84:1,12,22 85:9,19	<b>attorney (5)</b> 3:20	88:10,21 91:21	115:20	126:20
85:19 86:21	4:5 62:15 91:8	92:3 94:14,24	<b>believe (32)</b> 9:23	breakers (1) 16:4
118:21	138:14	96:14 97:3,7	10:16 12:3 16:16	Breedlove (2)
arrangement (3)	attorneys (7) 2:9,22			
		99:19 107:7 114:8	20:1 24:5,14	140:13 142:4
38:12 39:1 151:9	3:6,15 4:11	117:2,11 119:5	33:11,14 34:23	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18
arrangements (1)	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20
<b>arrangements (1)</b> 151:10	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 <b>auction (1)</b> 29:13	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9
<b>arrangements (1)</b> 151:10 <b>Article (1)</b> 151:12	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 <b>benefit (3)</b> 32:24	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8 Brown (7) 3:12 9:19
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 <b>benefit (3)</b> 32:24 33:3,5	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8 Brown (7) 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 <b>benefit (3)</b> 32:24 33:3,5 <b>benefiting (1)</b> 33:6	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18 <b>briefly (2)</b> 129:20 140:9 <b>bring (1)</b> 118:14 <b>broad (3)</b> 17:21 36:22 41:9 <b>broadly (2)</b> 26:25 33:1 <b>brought (1)</b> 11:8 <b>Brown (7)</b> 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 <b>benefit (3)</b> 32:24 33:3,5 <b>benefiting (1)</b> 33:6 <b>benefits (1)</b> 40:10	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18 <b>briefly (2)</b> 129:20 140:9 <b>bring (1)</b> 118:14 <b>broad (3)</b> 17:21 36:22 41:9 <b>broadly (2)</b> 26:25 33:1 <b>brought (1)</b> 11:8 <b>Brown (7)</b> 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 <b>Brubaker (2)</b>
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 benefit (3) 32:24 33:3,5 benefiting (1) 33:6 benefits (1) 40:10 best (3) 56:21 110:4	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18 <b>briefly (2)</b> 129:20 140:9 <b>bring (1)</b> 118:14 <b>broad (3)</b> 17:21 36:22 41:9 <b>broadly (2)</b> 26:25 33:1 <b>brought (1)</b> 11:8 <b>Brown (7)</b> 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 <b>Brubaker (2)</b> 135:10 139:16
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19 139:2 145:20	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3 Authority's (1)	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20 141:5 142:4 144:2	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 <b>benefit (3)</b> 32:24 33:3,5 <b>benefiting (1)</b> 33:6 <b>benefits (1)</b> 40:10 <b>best (3)</b> 56:21 110:4 151:7	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18 <b>briefly (2)</b> 129:20 140:9 <b>bring (1)</b> 118:14 <b>broad (3)</b> 17:21 36:22 41:9 <b>broadly (2)</b> 26:25 33:1 <b>brought (1)</b> 11:8 <b>Brown (7)</b> 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 <b>Brubaker (2)</b> 135:10 139:16 <b>Brubaker's (4)</b>
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19 139:2 145:20 aspect (2) 31:14	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3 Authority's (1) 87:15	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20 141:5 142:4 144:2 144:4	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 benefit (3) 32:24 33:3,5 benefiting (1) 33:6 benefits (1) 40:10 best (3) 56:21 110:4 151:7 better (2) 57:3	140:13 142:4 <b>Brian (1)</b> 1:18 <b>briefly (2)</b> 129:20 140:9 <b>bring (1)</b> 118:14 <b>broad (3)</b> 17:21 36:22 41:9 <b>broadly (2)</b> 26:25 33:1 <b>brought (1)</b> 11:8 <b>Brown (7)</b> 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 <b>Brubaker (2)</b> 135:10 139:16 <b>Brubaker's (4)</b> 135:16 136:5,15
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19 139:2 145:20 aspect (2) 31:14 85:23	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3 Authority's (1) 87:15 available (9) 21:5	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20 141:5 142:4 144:2 144:4 <b>basically (4)</b> 24:16	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 benefit (3) 32:24 33:3,5 benefiting (1) 33:6 benefits (1) 40:10 best (3) 56:21 110:4 151:7 better (2) 57:3 132:24	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8 Brown (7) 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 Brubaker (2) 135:10 139:16 Brubaker's (4) 135:16 136:5,15 139:7
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19 139:2 145:20 aspect (2) 31:14 85:23 aspects (1) 83:9	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3 Authority's (1) 87:15 available (9) 21:5 21:11 25:5 28:11	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20 141:5 142:4 144:2 144:4 <b>basically (4)</b> 24:16 39:8 40:19,25	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 benefit (3) 32:24 33:3,5 benefiting (1) 33:6 benefits (1) 40:10 best (3) 56:21 110:4 151:7 better (2) 57:3 132:24 beyond (3) 110:13	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8 Brown (7) 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 Brubaker (2) 135:10 139:16 Brubaker's (4) 135:16 136:5,15 139:7 build (5) 28:10,20
arrangements (1) 151:10 Article (1) 151:12 aside (1) 35:5 asked (14) 19:14 34:13 43:9,10 44:3 45:3,21 46:16 76:13 98:24 114:10 133:23 144:25 145:7 asking (9) 7:18 88:25,25 112:15 112:17,18 116:19 139:2 145:20 aspect (2) 31:14 85:23	3:6,15 4:11 137:19 138:12 auction (1) 29:13 auctions (1) 40:17 August (1) 131:21 Aurora (5) 4:18 17:3,22 20:4 151:21 Austin (1) 2:9 author (1) 104:17 Authority (8) 83:19 84:14,15 114:22 115:22 116:14 119:18 121:3 Authority's (1) 87:15 available (9) 21:5	117:2,11 119:5 120:5 121:10 123:11 125:10 127:18 <b>base (6)</b> 26:25 131:15,17 132:10 132:14 135:21 <b>based (21)</b> 33:11 48:10 54:4 55:20 57:4 66:5 71:23 89:18 93:5,9 117:7 124:12 133:4,21 134:16 134:20 140:20 141:5 142:4 144:2 144:4 <b>basically (4)</b> 24:16	33:11,14 34:23 41:12 43:9 44:3 45:10,21 46:4,18 55:16 57:16 58:3 100:7 111:21 115:6,14 127:13 129:10,21 132:12 135:15 141:13 143:7,20 benefit (3) 32:24 33:3,5 benefiting (1) 33:6 benefits (1) 40:10 best (3) 56:21 110:4 151:7 better (2) 57:3 132:24	140:13 142:4 Brian (1) 1:18 briefly (2) 129:20 140:9 bring (1) 118:14 broad (3) 17:21 36:22 41:9 broadly (2) 26:25 33:1 brought (1) 11:8 Brown (7) 3:12 9:19 9:21 13:16 18:13 112:20 113:24 Brubaker (2) 135:10 139:16 Brubaker's (4) 135:16 136:5,15 139:7

(504) 833-3330

<b>building</b> (1) 28:7	61:24 75:15	<b>check (6)</b> 9:6 34:2	<b>college</b> (1) 15:9	75:23 113:1
<b>built (1)</b> 130:7	causative (5) 106:5	34:15 45:13 53:22	color (1) 112:7	<b>completion</b> (2) 10:8
<b>bulbs (1)</b> 35:12	107:1 109:1,3,19	54:9	column (3) 51:13,16	129:25
burden (1) 75:25	cause (1) 135:4	Chemicals (1) 4:6	53:14	compliance (2)
<b>burdened</b> (1) 68:19	causes (1) 39:9	Chinyere (1) 3:4	combination (2)	151:8,11
<b>burn (1)</b> 52:10	caveated (1) 75:12	<b>circuit</b> (1) 16:3	21:5 38:23	<b>component</b> (1) 80:6
Bush (1) 86:6	CCR (2) 4:16	cite (2) 90:15,17	combinations (1)	components (9) 16:4
business (1) 63:16	151:19	citing (1) 60:19	21:21	20:5 38:7 84:2,3
	<b>CEII</b> (1) 6:11	city (17) 1:2 2:22	combined (3)	84:19 85:4,9
C	<b>center (5)</b> 3:17,20	59:5,7,9,24 60:2	129:25 131:9,15	87:16
<b>C</b> (1) 7:1	62:15 91:8 111:6	87:4,7,10,11,17,22	come (7) 33:19 52:6	<b>compound</b> (1) 76:11
<b>C&amp;K (3)</b> 60:5,16	century (2) 25:11,13	88:5,14 90:11,15	57:22 78:23 79:21	comprehensive (1)
90:20	certain (7) 24:17	<b>Civil</b> (1) 151:12	102:13 126:21	75:22
calculate (1) 103:8	35:6 41:5 46:24	CK (5) 48:16,21,23	comes (4) 38:15	comprehensively (
calculated (1)	140:15 142:13	60:20 80:13	60:9 74:20 82:15	17:1
101:15	145:8	clarification (2)	coming (1) 124:11	concentrations (1)
calculating (1)	certainly (19) 17:23	9:22 149:5	commence (1) 56:10	65:13
57:14	20:2 21:14 22:22	clarified (1) 63:25	commences (1)	concern (14) 45:12
calculation (1) 54:7	23:19 24:2,6,19	clarify (3) 57:17	55:12	46:5 69:22 71:23
calculations (4)	26:24 30:8 38:9	108:6 113:7	commencing (1)	72:8 74:13,25
51:23 89:20,25	39:6 45:17 47:3	clarifying (1) 127:7	1:14	75:5 121:6 122:22
100:14	52:7 69:9 70:10	class (1) 134:21	<b>comment</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 73:14	123:5 130:16
<b>California</b> (1) 3:10	74:9 87:4	classes (2) 132:9	74:16 76:3 104:16	137:17 138:24
call (2) 47:23 105:2	CERTIFICATE (1)	134:20	comments (1) 86:25	concerned (7) 41:25
<b>called (1)</b> 14:16	151:1	classification (1)	commercial (4)	89:20 101:11,12
calls (2) 59:16	certification (1)	56:24	129:24 131:10,22	101:23 103:2
131:18	151:2	clause (8) 140:6,24	129.24 131.10,22	122:23
<b>cancer (19)</b> 64:8,14	<b>certified (8)</b> 4:16	141:11,16,20	commission (5) 83:7	<b>concerning</b> (2) 7:9 84:1
64:16 69:12,15,22	6:14 11:23 48:5	142:12 143:9,19	83:22 118:20	
71:23 72:2,8,10	82:1 128:14 151:3	<b>Clean</b> (4) 63:21	121:6 122:3	<b>concerns (9)</b> 46:7,9
73:3,10,20 74:13	151:20	68:16,18 70:1	commissioner (4)	89:10 92:8 118:23
74:21,25 75:6,8,10	certify (1) 151:5	<b>clear</b> (6) 21:14,19	114:21 116:1	121:5,12,19
capacity (42) 16:15	chance (7) 13:17	28:13 51:22 93:16	119:2 123:4	123:23
17:8,10 23:22	66:20 91:13,15	101:5	commissioners (6)	<b>conclusion</b> (2) 59:17
24:1,7,11,22 25:17	114:4 119:16	clearing (1) 40:24	115:21,25 116:2,4	109:11
26:17 27:9,16,18	146:23	clearly (4) 19:23	122:20,21	conclusions (1)
28:4,5,8,24,25	change (3) 18:1,9	21:3 22:13 28:21	<b>committed</b> (1) 33:21	105:14
29:1,8,12,24 30:5	55:6	clients (4) 14:21,24	communities (2)	conclusively (1)
30:12,17 31:23,25	changed (2) 48:22	15:2,3	64:19 83:17	67:21
33:5 36:10 37:1	98:16	<b>Clint</b> (1) 2:13	<b>companies</b> (1) 20:16	conditioners (1)
39:17,17,24 40:1,6	changes (5) 48:10	<b>closed</b> (1) 56:5	company (6) 28:18	35:15
40:17,20 41:4,9	48:18 54:25 55:2	closely (2) 45:18	47:2 130:20 140:5	<b>conditions</b> (2) 59:25
97:8,9 130:8	55:9	83:20	140:22 141:6	141:6
career (1) 69:13	characterization (4)	<b>closer</b> (1) 53:12	compare (1) 52:20	<b>conduct</b> (1) 46:2
case (17) 16:21	54:19 95:3 102:7	<b>Club (6)</b> 3:8,15 9:21	Comparing (1)	<b>conducted</b> (4) 44:4
20:14 23:1 28:21	114:14	12:2 43:6 148:15	95:22	44:13 45:8,22
30:21 45:19 75:24	characterize (4)	co-authors (1)	comparison (6)	confidence (1) 89:18
91:14 129:7,25	98:4,10,13,14	109:16	22:22,23 23:8	confidential (1) 24:4
131:8,9,15,17	charge (1) 35:20	<b>coal-fired</b> (1) 27:2	94:22 95:25 144:3	configured (1) 38:10
132:14 134:12	charged (2) 18:12	Coastal (2) 84:14	compiled (1) 69:18	confine (1) 132:13
146:8	135:19	87:14	<b>complain</b> (1) 150:4	<b>confusing</b> (1) 101:8
case-by-case (1)	Charles (2) 149:11	Code (1) 151:12	<b>complete</b> (1) 151:9	<b>Congress (2)</b> 2:8
65:22	149:13	Cold (1) 4:11	<b>completed</b> (1) 55:25	66:10
cases (3) 45:20	<b>chart (2)</b> 73:9,13	<b>collect</b> (1) 130:17	<b>completely (3)</b> 41:2	Congressional (1)

				2
66:11	3:1 4:1	13:12 43:24	covers (1) 28:14	<b>damage (10)</b> 84:17
connection (7) 23:20	<b>continues (1)</b> 103:15	correctly (1) 65:19	<b>COVERS (1)</b> 28.14 <b>CPRA (1)</b> 87:14	85:2 87:2,8 89:13
23:24 25:15,19	continuing (2)	correspondence (2)	Cragin (13) 1:19	89:24 102:21
26:3 41:5 109:14	103:23 104:12	7:8,19	48:7,15 49:5	115:8,16 123:9
		·	48.7,15 49.5 59:15 63:1 64:9	
conservative (1)	contractual (2)	<b>corridor</b> (2) 64:17 64:20		dancing (2) 102:2,4
61:4	151:11,13		64:13 68:21 72:13	<b>data</b> (13) 61:25
<b>consider (5)</b> 57:13	contrary (1) 139:3	<b>cost</b> ( <b>26</b> ) 1:9 12:24	76:25 77:13 79:12	68:17 71:24 72:10
58:9,24 59:3,11	<b>control</b> (2) 56:22	12:25 17:3,17,22	create (6) 26:23	73:3 75:9,12
consideration (1)	57:4	19:17 26:8 36:23	86:8 89:24,24	107:18 108:2,3
59:25	<b>controls</b> (1) 56:21	129:6,16 130:9,13	115:7,16	109:3,4 112:12
<b>considered</b> (7) 21:10	convenient (1)	130:19 131:7	created (1) 58:13	data's (1) 112:12
50:24 55:13 56:12	13:21	134:3 135:4,20	creation (1) 40:25	date (5) 19:12 55:21
56:18 58:7 147:2	<b>copied</b> (1) 147:5	140:5 141:14,21	critical (4) 38:12	55:22 87:10
considering (5) 28:7	copies (1) 88:22	143:10,14 144:7	83:3,6,9	125:11
59:21,23 65:25	<b>copy (8)</b> 13:18,23	145:18 146:8	<b>critique</b> (1) 47:7	dated (1) 137:2
66:1	18:25 34:25 49:4	cost-of-service (3)	cross (12) 10:2,6,10	day (5) 1:15 9:10
consistent (1)	88:24 105:17	132:16 134:19,23	12:2 13:19 76:23	93:2 101:16
111:10	116:12	<b>costs (31)</b> 18:4,7	77:2,3 104:25	150:11
constrained (2)	<b>Corps (10)</b> 83:14,20	20:5 26:5 130:23	116:8,20 117:5	<b>DC</b> (1) 3:5
31:12,18	84:1,12,23 85:9,19	133:3,20 134:15	cross-exam (2) 70:6	<b>de</b> (1) 50:24
<b>constraint</b> (1) 40:20	85:19 86:21	134:19 135:20,23	70:13	deactivate (1) 28:1
constraints (1) 17:5	118:21	141:1,3,7,10,19	cross-examination	deactivated (8) 54:6
<b>construct</b> (3) 1:7	correct (101) 14:11	142:1,11,11,13	9:14,17 48:9	93:1 94:11,23
31:24 32:1	14:14,16,19,22	143:11,11,23	73:15	95:10 96:1,13
<b>constructed</b> (2) 21:1	15:5,6,9,10,15,16	145:1,2,2,3,8,24	crossing (1) 11:16	97:18
59:22	15:19 16:11,12,24	146:2,3	<b>CT (13)</b> 49:24 54:15	decade (1) 33:20
construction (9)	17:9,15 19:15,22	Council (11) 1:2	78:5 94:4,9 95:8	<b>December</b> (4) 1:15
25:11 55:10,21	21:1,24,25 22:5	2:22 59:5,7,9,24	96:11 97:15 99:5	9:10 116:15 122:2
56:10,15,16,17	23:5,16 26:13,14	77:7,10 87:18	99:11,12 100:16	decision (3) 118:20
85:1 86:15	26:18 27:11 29:10	143:13 146:6	129:17	138:12 146:11
consult (1) 137:19	30:25 31:5,19,20	Council's (4) 34:21	Cureington's (5) 9:2	decisions (1) 41:2
<b>consultant</b> (1) 16:10	32:6,11,16,19 33:8	60:2 127:5 146:11	18:3 24:3 25:3	declining (1) 36:23
consultation (1)	33:11 36:5 37:22	counsel (4) 78:24,25	45:1	decrease (2) 56:13
50:3	44:19 46:19,20	116:16 151:17	Curren (1) 4:17	58:5
<b>consulting (3)</b> 14:15	49:20,21 51:15	counsel's (1) 114:13	Curren-Landrieu	decreases (4) 55:4
49:19,22	52:16,24 53:4,8,16	count (1) 74:6	151:20	55:15 57:24 58:10
<b>consumer (1)</b> 14:24	53:21 54:1,2,6,17	counter (1) 139:1	current (4) 115:21	<b>deducting</b> (1) 57:20
consumption (4)	55:19 56:22 58:20	counties (3) 71:22	116:4 132:17	<b>Deep (4)</b> 3:17,20
33:6 133:5,21	58:21 60:1,10,11	72:3,5	145:10	62:15 91:8
135:1	77:16,21 80:18	counting (1) 148:15	currently (9) 12:24	define (3) 61:15
contain (2) 30:4,7	82:21,23,25 85:6	couple (5) 46:14	13:2 22:20 33:21	64:14 65:17
contemporaneous	87:25 90:12,21	48:9 60:4 82:11	143:12,13 145:9	defined (6) 55:7
54:21 55:1,5,8,14	91:6 92:10 95:17	144:22	145:18,21	65:16 74:14 87:16
55:17 56:1 58:19	97:4 115:18,19	course (10) 11:9	customer (4) 20:10	96:19 151:12
130:22	126:6 127:10	13:19 27:21 69:13	132:9 134:20	definition (4) 83:5,5
contended (1) 62:3	129:8,19 130:1,2	84:15,19 85:10	135:4	83:10,13
context (5) 19:24	130:10,14,15	87:18 109:23	customers (6) 17:17	definitively (1) 84:8
45:15 74:10,14,24	135:5 140:7,8	112:4	19:17 32:24 41:1	delete (1) 48:25
contingencies (1)	141:1,22 142:13	court (14) 4:16,17	133:4,21	demand (4) 21:15
41:25	142:14 145:15,19	6:15 11:23 48:5		134:24,24 135:1
Continuation (1)	147:16 151:7	77:8 81:23 82:1	D	demand-side (9)
1:12	corrected (1) 9:8	105:6 128:9,14	<b>D</b> (3) 5:1 6:1 7:1	18:4,7 22:24 24:9
continue (1) 37:2	correction (1) 12:22	151:3,14,20	daily (4) 92:25	24:13,17 35:18
continued (3) 2:1	corrections (3) 12:4	cover (1) 140:15	96:18 98:15 99:2	42:6 43:12
			>0.10 >0.10 >>.2	12:0 13:12
	1		1	1

(504) 833-3330

Page 156

135:4	determine (9) 20:20	132:7	<b>DSG (2)</b> 25:18	efficient (2) 80:21
demographic (1)	32:8 41:14 54:16	discussing (1) 126:8	36:11	150:1
61:25		discussion (7) 90:16	<b>DSM (12)</b> 22:4,11	<b>either (8)</b> 36:10
	58:18 60:6 84:25			
demonstrate (1)	88:7 102:17	90:18 98:18 121:1	22:14,16,18 23:8	49:23 54:15 61:2
30:21	determined (7)	121:4,18 125:9	37:11,19 38:18	89:23 112:19
demonstrates (2)	67:21 68:8 102:18	discussions (4)	43:19,22,24	134:8,9
17:17 19:16	106:5,25 108:25	60:20 78:24 83:25	<b>DUGGINS</b> (1) 2:7	<b>EJ</b> (1) 61:22
dense (1) 74:8	109:18	118:23	<b>duly (4)</b> 11:22 48:4	electric (4) 15:7,25
<b>DENTONS (1)</b> 2:12	determining (2)	dispatch (2) 15:25	81:25 128:13	32:17,24
Department (1)	59:12 89:21	26:24	duties (1) 137:21	electrically (1)
64:3	develop (1) 131:7	disproportionatel		37:15
depend (2) 97:20	developed (1) 87:3	68:19	E	electricity (1) 33:5
140:17	development (2)	dispute (1) 21:23	<b>E</b> (6) 5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1	elevation (7) 82:16
dependent (2) 37:21	51:24 86:7	<b>distinction</b> (1) 93:19	7:1	82:17 125:3,23,23
38:1	<b>DG</b> (1) 45:5	distributed (1) 80:1	e-mail (4) 7:19,24	126:4,12
depending (1) 141:8	diesel (1) 39:2	distribution (3)	8:1,15	elevations (1)
depends (2) 39:20	difference (2) 52:14	15:21 16:3 32:21	earlier (6) 75:2 78:6	126:10
100:17	98:5	disturbed (1) 77:7	78:8,11,13 96:7	eliminating (1) 59:2
deployed (1) 23:13	different (18) 8:12	<b>document</b> (10) 67:4	early (1) 7:8	embedded (1)
deploying (1) 36:3	17:18 19:18 21:21	117:4 119:8,9	EARTHJUSTICE	138:18
deployment (2) 22:4	24:22 27:25 38:24	120:7,9,12,14	3:2	emission (16) 50:22
22:11	47:4 52:2 74:4	120:7,9,12,14	easier (1) 51:7	50:23 51:23,25
deposition (9) 18:22	75:1 85:3,4 93:6	documentation (2)	East (8) 36:11 83:19	52:15,22 53:2,10
19:1,11 20:1 27:5	99:13 101:2,10	25:21 26:7	84:14 114:22	53:18,19 54:25
33:13 35:1,2	109:5	documented (2)	115:23 116:14	55:2,14 56:11
151:10		46:7 111:11	119:18 121:3	57:24 63:12
	<b>digest</b> (1) 120:9			
<b>DEQ</b> (2) 77:19,24	<b>direct</b> ( <b>14</b> ) 15:4 19:8	<b>documents</b> (2) 8:9	economic (8) 17:16	emissions (34) 50:25
<b>describe</b> (2) 54:20	50:17 91:13 92:2	147:4	17:20 18:10 19:15	51:14,17,17 52:4
80:2	92:22 103:13,22	doing (1) 22:20	30:23 36:20 44:5	52:19,21 53:1,6,16
<b>describes</b> (1) 64:16	106:10 109:14	<b>Dokka (3)</b> 109:7	47:5	53:25 54:13,14
description (2) 8:11	139:10,11 140:14	110:18,18	economics (2) 14:17	56:7,12 57:14,15
8:12	151:14	<b>Dokka's (1)</b> 110:23	17:25	57:18,21,21,22,24
descriptions (1)	directed (1) 112:23	dollar (1) 31:25	edit (1) 12:11	57:25 58:1,3,5,14
75:11	direction (4) 18:9	<b>double</b> (2) 34:14	edits (1) 12:4	58:25 59:2,11,13
design (4) 86:8,15	30:11 61:2 151:6	45:13	Edwards (25) 4:2,3	60:7 63:16 90:20
97:24 125:23	directionally (2)	Dr (28) 62:2 80:12	5:21 7:12,13,22	emit (2) 51:18 54:4
designate (1) 83:2	17:25 42:1	80:25 82:6 89:6	8:5,11,14,24	emitted (1) 58:2
designations (1)	directly (1) 145:3	91:19,23 92:7,11	128:17,18,23,25	Emma (2) 2:14
83:8	disagree (4) 94:3,8	92:18 94:16 95:1	133:10,18 134:6	34:21
designed (4) 38:13	109:21,25	96:23 100:2	136:4,10,13	Emma.hand@den
40:9,12 143:10	disagreeing (8)	102:24 104:2,7	138:20 139:4,22	2:23
<b>detail (3)</b> 31:2,6	92:24 93:23 101:4	107:11,16 110:2,8	144:16,17	emphasis (1) 39:6
140:13	106:9,20 108:1,20	113:6,12 118:5	effect (7) 18:10	employee (1) 15:15
detailed (1) 20:18	111:17	120:22 121:17,25	39:20 40:21 99:16	employee (1) 15.15 employment (1)
details (1) 142:3	disagreement (2)	120.22 121.17,25	131:9,21,25	151:13
deteriorate (1)	137:6,8	draft (2) 50:3,4	effective (1) 12:25	ended (1) 122:4
50:14	disagrees (1) 123:19	draw (1) 125:9	effectiveness (1)	energy (11) 3:6
deterioration (2)	disagrees (1) 125:19 discretion (1) 77:25		12:24	14:17 16:14 21:14
	discuss (4) 48:21	drew (1) 22:22 driver (2) 106:2 22	effects (10) 65:8,18	
	LUISCHSS (4) 48'71	driver (2) 106:3,23		22:4,11 23:13
50:8,11				
50:8,11 <b>determination (4)</b>	91:10 125:21	drives (2) 31:24	68:1,5,7,17 76:7	35:8 37:3 49:16
50:8,11 <b>determination (4)</b> 61:10 65:21 85:6	91:10 125:21 129:6	35:14	91:11 111:20	82:9
50:8,11 <b>determination (4)</b> 61:10 65:21 85:6 101:18	91:10 125:21 129:6 <b>discussed (2)</b> 123:5	35:14 <b>DSCEJ (7)</b> 6:4,5,6	91:11 111:20 122:24	82:9 enforcement (1)
50:8,11 <b>determination (4)</b> 61:10 65:21 85:6	91:10 125:21 129:6	35:14	91:11 111:20	82:9

ongineer (2) 25.10	74.12 24 75.5 0	110,15 120,24	amonging (1) 29.11	0.10 11.01 10.2 0
engineer (2) 35:10	74:13,24 75:5,9	119:15 120:24	<b>expensive</b> (1) 28:11	9:18 11:21 12:3,9
47:5	equal (1) 132:9	122:19 124:18	<b>experience</b> (6) 46:21	12:10 13:8 14:7
engineering (3)	equals (1) 98:7	125:16 127:3	61:3,6 63:14 72:9	18:21 19:8 20:8
97:25 100:17	equate (1) 93:11	128:23 133:18	76:6	21:8,22 24:21
102:14	<b>equivalent</b> (1) 72:6	134:6 136:13	experiences (1)	26:1,4 27:13 29:7
Engineers (8) 83:21	Ernest (2) 4:2,3	139:4 140:1 143:6	46:17	32:3,23 34:7,19
84:1,12,23 85:10	err (1) 61:4	145:14	expert (2) 52:8	35:5 40:7 41:11
85:20 86:22	error (1) 9:7	examined (4) 11:24	118:18	42:15 43:6 44:22
118:21	<b>Esquire (19)</b> 1:18,19	48:6 82:2 128:15	expertise (1) 90:23	47:21
Engineers' (1) 83:15	1:20,21 2:3,7,13	examines (1) 67:4	experts (1) 83:24	Fair (2) 74:18,18
engines (1) 52:10	2:14,15,16,20 3:3	example (12) 18:2	<b>expired (3)</b> 116:4	<b>fairly (2)</b> 18:6 42:1
English (1) 114:1	3:4,8,12,13,18 4:3	25:14 28:10 35:19	117:22,24	<b>fairness (1)</b> 113:20
ENO (12) 20:20,24	4:9	35:22 38:11 39:3	<b>explain (7)</b> 54:21,23	<b>fall (3)</b> 30:15 63:17
23:12 29:12 32:9	essentially (3) 57:20	46:11 53:5 85:25	82:12 83:4 86:17	87:19
40:10 44:13 45:8	58:14 90:8	142:9 145:17	113:2 140:9	falls (1) 87:13
46:2 81:15 143:14	establish (1) 69:17	exceeds (3) 72:8	<b>explained</b> (1) 86:13	familiar (9) 31:11
147:12	established (2) 68:8	82:16,17	explanation (1) 96:7	31:13 69:9 87:7
<b>ENO's (5)</b> 16:21	119:6	<b>exception</b> (1) 53:3	explanations (1)	89:4 119:7 123:13
17:17 19:17 23:21	evaluate (1) 43:17	excluding (1)	75:13	123:15 137:22
23:25	<b>evaluation</b> (1) 75:10	131:19	exposed (2) 28:22	familiarity (1) 89:12
ENO-1 (2) 81:7,9	evaluations (1)	Excuse (1) 9:20	41:2	far (2) 18:16 143:25
<b>ensure (2)</b> 39:4 90:4	75:16	excused (4) 47:22	<b>exposure (4)</b> 65:18	fast (1) 150:4
enter (5) 69:25 70:4	evasive (1) 114:13	79:16 127:22	67:7,14,25	feasibility (7) 32:8
70:11 104:24	evasiveness (1)	146:19	<b>extensive</b> (1) 31:2	36:3 41:15 43:11
129:24	112:22	<b>executable</b> (1) 60:14	extent (3) 29:16	43:19 45:23 46:2
Entergy (33) 1:6,18	event (1) 37:13	executing (1) 60:21	78:15 142:7	federal (1) 66:10
2:9 17:14 20:9	evidence (17) 10:7	<b>exemption</b> (2) 63:11	extra (3) 13:22	feel (1) 120:1
21:19,24 22:19,25	11:1 35:21 42:7	63:17	118:8,10	feet (2) 62:4 126:3
23:9 24:16,22	46:6 67:6,14,23,25	exercised (1) 77:24	extreme (1) 42:4	FEMA (8) 83:2
28:13,21 32:13	68:23 69:18,25	<b>exhibit (8)</b> 6:4,5,6		125:3,6,22,24
33:1,15 41:15,22	70:5,11 77:10	7:10,20 9:23 81:3	<u> </u>	126:3,8,9
49:23 50:4 56:6	81:16 117:6	118:17	<b>F</b> (2) 1:18 4:9	<b>FEMA's (2)</b> 83:5,12
56:20 77:17 78:1	evidentiary (1) 1:12	exhibits (9) 7:25 9:3	FAC (9) 140:24,25	Fence (2) 68:15 70:2
78:5,19 79:1	exact (3) 86:13	9:4,24 22:16 24:4	143:8,12 145:10	fiction (4) 58:12,18
101:21 111:9	130:13,21	24:4 42:3 147:6	145:19,25 146:4,8	58:23,24
115:7,15 134:18	exactly (4) 25:1	exist (1) 68:11	face (2) 81:23 128:9	figure (9) 108:10
Entergy's (9) 22:15	68:13 84:7 106:11	existed (2) 58:15,16	facilities (10) 38:13	111:7 112:1,2,4,5
24:11 30:20 40:5	EXAMINATION	<b>existence</b> (2) 23:6	62:17,22 63:10,20	112:6,7,10
41:1,2,20 42:3	5:6,7,8,11,12,16	29:17	64:7,21,24 65:2	Figures (1) 111:14
43:16	5:17,18,21,22,24	existing (5) 23:21,25	76:9	figuring (2) 68:12
entity (1) 151:10	14:6 18:20 19:7	25:2 53:15 59:25	facility (8) 51:20	101:12
environmental (11)	19:13 26:2 29:6	expanded (1) 41:1	61:13,23 94:12	file (2) 139:9,13
3:17,21 14:25	34:18 43:4 44:12	<b>expansion</b> (2) 17:9	95:10 97:18	filed (3) 52:1 78:5
62:16 64:3 77:17	45:2 49:12 59:20	17:11	102:11 125:2	139:10
77:22,25 78:18,21	62:11 63:18 65:3	expect (3) 38:20	facility-wide (1)	filibustering (1)
91:9	69:10 71:11 74:1	129:22 149:7	52:25	103:3
envision (1) 38:25	77:15 82:5 90:7	expectations (1)	fact (7) 24:15 45:17	filing (3) 49:23
<b>EPA</b> (15) 51:2,3	91:4 92:13,20	29:18	45:18 58:23 93:14	139:11,12
52:21 53:7 55:7	95:7 97:13 100:6	expected (6) 26:12	111:25 141:14	<b>finally (2)</b> 61:9
60:9,11,12 61:18	103:6 104:9	46:10 94:4,10	<b>factor (2)</b> 52:17 61:2	85:15
61:22,24 65:21	105:12,22 107:21	97:16,16	factors (2) 52:18	<b>financial</b> (2) 39:20
66:3,5 74:20	110:12 114:19	<b>expense</b> (1) 144:9	100:17	151:10
EPA's (8) 66:12	115:13 116:25	expenses (3) 140:19	facts (1) 68:22	<b>find (3)</b> 100:20
69:21 71:22 72:8	117:13,18 118:13	143:18 145:5	Fagan (30) 5:4 9:13	101:20 148:21

(504) 833-3330

<b>findings</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 105:14	<b>foot</b> (1) 126:1	101:16	43:7 44:21 53:22	35:11,15 37:11
fine (1) 83:13	Footnote (1) 49:1	GARSIDE (1) 3:12	60:23 68:6 72:25	guaranteed (1) 67:8
finish (2) 63:3	force (1) 68:16	gas (26) 21:7 27:1	76:9 79:20,25	guess (5) 56:4
107:14	forecast (3) 21:24	30:24 32:25 33:4	97:12 107:17	137:17 138:17
finished (2) 103:5	23:17 26:5	33:7,10,24 62:17	108:21 110:20	147:12,22
107:9	foreseeable (1) 37:3	62:22 63:10,15,19	112:6 119:25	guidance (1) 60:18
fire (1) 39:4	form (2) 80:23	68:18 75:19 78:5	120:11,14 131:9	guidelines (1) 151:8
firm (7) 14:15 33:9	130:18	94:4,9 95:6 96:11	131:21 139:25	Guillot (13) 1:18
33:21 49:19 50:1	format (1) 151:8	97:15 99:5,11,12	148:25	11:11 117:16,20
50:6 151:14	former (1) 59:11	115:7,16	goal (2) 50:7,10	118:1 128:1 133:6
first (19) 12:6,11,23	forth (10) 20:24	gas-fired (2) 37:1	goes (5) 27:19 70:14	138:10 142:21
14:12 23:7 60:17	21:9,13 22:1,8	39:3	130:17 131:23	145:12 149:4,10
64:1 76:12 106:1	23:3 27:24 29:10	general (10) 24:10	140:13	150:8
106:17,21 107:6	95:16 102:7	25:9 39:11 84:9	going (37) 9:11,12	Gulf (1) 64:19
107:20 108:24	foundation (4)	87:13,19 106:24	18:14 27:22 39:21	Gulin (132) 1:13 7:2
111:6 119:11	44:17 72:17	109:11 134:22	39:22,25 40:5	7:6,15 8:3,20 9:5
122:1 130:5	119:11 120:16	135:6	41:3 48:22 72:14	10:4,18 11:5,15,19
131:19	four (3) 12:4 105:7	generally (10) 16:12	86:24,25 96:15	12:7 13:6,10,15
firstly (1) 109:4	105:9	25:12 30:22 36:25	99:1 101:20,22	14:3 18:18 19:5
five (13) 30:18 31:9	four-stroke (3) 52:5	50:10 54:18 55:13	102:11,12 108:24	25:24 29:4 34:3,9
53:13 55:9,10,13	52:9,13	75:17 112:9	110:13 113:2,15	34:16 42:17,23
56:10 83:18 116:5	fourth (1) 13:1	135:25	114:4 117:6	44:9,18 47:11,16
118:8,10 119:25	fraction (1) 33:4	generated (1) 86:11	119:12,24,25	47:20 48:13 49:2
120:13	frame (1) 56:6	generating (6) 25:7	125:14 126:15	49:7 59:18 62:8
fixed (1) 135:4	framework (1) 59:3	25:10 33:4 37:20	136:3 137:11	63:4 68:24 69:4
flood (17) 83:3,6,7	free (1) 60:15	127:8,11	139:18 141:25	70:3,9,15,19,23
83:19 84:3,13	frequency (1) 35:13	generation (11)	145:5 146:20	71:5 72:20,24
87:8,12 88:5,14	fresh (2) 49:3 80:17	15:25 16:4,5	150:4	73:6,22 76:17,21
114:22 115:17,22	Friday (1) 80:7	27:15 32:25 33:7	good (20) 7:3,5 14:7	77:4 79:5,10,14,19
116:13 119:17	front (3) 19:1 34:25	33:10,24 37:25	14:8 34:19,20	79:24 80:15 81:1
121:3 122:3	126:12	39:12 129:7	49:13,14 62:12,13	81:8,12,19 82:3
flooding (8) 83:9	frustrating (1)	generator (3) 38:22	82:6,7 91:5	88:16 89:2 91:1
89:11,16 125:3,22	113:25	39:3 57:15	112:13 123:24	97:10 99:24 103:4
126:2,3,9	fuel (23) 140:6,23	generators (1) 52:5	128:5,7,24 129:2	103:17,25 104:5
Floor (1) 1:14	141:1,3,4,11,16,19	Gentilly (1) 3:19	140:2	105:1,8,16,20
flow (18) 17:12	142:12 143:9,10	<b>GEORGE (2)</b> 5:15	gotten (2) 68:12	107:13 109:22
43:16,18,21 44:1	143:11,18,23,25	81:24	117:3	112:24 113:17,21
93:6,10,12 95:4,8	144:2,5,7,8,9	getting (2) 39:12	Governor (1) 83:21	114:3 115:9 116:9
96:10,12 97:17	145:1,2 146:3	76:15	graduated (1) 15:8	116:17,23 117:8
100:25 101:3,22	fuel-related (2)	give (9) 66:15 110:3	grant (1) 10:9	117:23 118:3,7
102:10,12	145:24 146:2	110:4 114:11	greater (4) 18:9	119:10 120:3,10
flows (3) 31:25 47:2	<b>full (1)</b> 21:20	118:8 125:11	35:7 39:23,25	121:22 122:9,13
64:18	<b>Fumes (2)</b> 68:14	133:7 142:22	Gretna (1) 3:14	123:17 124:13
<b>fluctuate (2)</b> 144:2,4	70:1	148:22	grid (2) 37:14 40:13	126:14,19,23
fluctuation (1)	fundamentals (2)	given (1) 88:12	groundwater (21)	127:16,20 128:3,8
141:22	30:14 42:8	gives (2) 42:12	91:11 93:1 94:5	128:16,21 133:25
flying (1) 149:18	further (5) 26:1	107:5	94:11 95:24 96:19	136:8,19,24 137:3
focused (2) 31:6	34:8 79:4 94:1	giving (3) 18:21	99:17 100:9,11,12	137:13 138:1,6,15
40:13	139:23	66:22 84:9	105:24 106:3,16	139:20,24 142:18
follow (1) 138:21	<b>future (2)</b> 30:5 37:3	glad (1) 54:23	106:22 108:23	142:24 144:14,19
<b>following (1)</b> 30:14		glasses (1) 8:25	111:11 118:24	146:14,18 147:8
follows (6) 11:24	G	go (29) 9:17 12:5	121:8 123:6 127:9	147:17,21 148:9
42:8 48:6 53:11	<b>G</b> (1)7:1	18:1 25:25 28:19	127:12	148:24 149:8,12
82:2 128:15	gallons (2) 93:2	34:10 42:22,24	guarantee (4) 35:7	149:21

Page 159

		140 10 144 -	75 10 04 10	141.10
<u> </u>	held (1) 1:13	140:18 144:5	75:10 84:18	141:18
<b>H</b> (1) 6:1	help (3) 39:4 40:22	HSDRRs (1) 123:8	<b>including</b> (2) 69:14	instantaneous (1)
half (2) 12:18	137:14	HSPM (1) 6:8	139:15	55:6
138:23	helpful (1) 87:1	hundred (1) 53:25	<b>incomplete</b> (1) 100:10	instituting (1) 86:22 institutions (1)
hand (24) 2:14 5:7	helping (1) 32:20 hi (2) 71:12 127:4	<b>hurricane (11)</b> 32:18 38:16 82:19		109:17
8:4,7,18,21 10:13	Higgins (19) 5:10	84:17 85:1 86:22	<b>incorporate (3)</b> 42:5 42:5 80:18	<b>insulation (1)</b> 35:14
10:14,23 11:13	47:24,25 48:3,19	87:2 89:12 102:20	incorporated (3)	
34:11,18,21 42:14	49:13 62:12 63:8	123:8 126:2	22:25 43:22,25	<b>integrated (4)</b> 16:22 20:18 40:13 87:14
42:18 43:9 44:3	64:22 69:6 70:24	hydro (1) 25:14	incorporates (1)	<b>intended</b> (1) 60:13
45:3 47:17,18	71:2,12 73:18,24	hydrogeologist (1)	30:8	intent (1) 9:24
70:21 81:10,23	74:19 77:16 79:15	101:19	<b>increase (3)</b> 36:16	interest (3) 59:12,24
128:11	79:17	101.19	50:22 56:13	118:17
handle (1) 31:8	Higgins' (1) 48:12	I	increased (2) 24:10	interested (1)
happen (1) 132:13	high (5) 18:8 22:19	<b>idea</b> (1) 80:23	26:22	151:17
happens (1) 82:15	39:25 61:4 72:2	identify (1) 43:19	increases (4) 55:3	interpretation (1)
happy (1) 8:13	higher (5) 111:9	ignition (1) 52:10	55:15 58:10	67:17
Harden (90) 3:18	125:2,24 126:1,3	<b>III</b> (1) 2:3	111:12	<b>intervenors (4)</b> 9:15
5:12,17 62:10,11	Highway (2) 3:14	<b>ill (1)</b> 68:17	incurred (1) 135:5	20:14 48:11
62:14 63:6 64:11	4:9	imagine (1) 90:1	independent (9)	118:18
64:15 65:3 66:22	<b>historical (1)</b> 111:21	immediate (1) 37:7	23:4 24:23 25:2	interview (1) 104:16
69:2,10,24 70:7,12	Historically (1)	immediately (1)	26:4,11 31:3	intimately (1) 83:25
70:17 71:7,11	111:23	20:2	43:11 44:4 45:4	introduced (1)
72:18,21,22 73:1,8	Hold (2) 7:16 66:18	impact (8) 17:17	indicate (3) 70:25	118:17
73:17 74:1 76:19	holistically (2) 27:17	19:16,17 35:17	129:22 130:4	investment (3)
77:15 79:3,6 91:3	37:18	43:12 55:2 59:1	indicated (7) 8:21	41:24 132:21
91:4,7,25 92:5,9	homework (1) 80:6	123:7	18:8 19:24 20:1	134:15
92:13,20 94:18	Honor (60) 7:5 9:20	impacts (4) 51:1	33:21 136:4,20	involved (3) 83:25
95:7 97:1,5,13	11:18 12:1 18:14	66:2 76:7 91:11	indicates (1) 135:16	85:2,24
99:22 100:6	19:4 25:23 29:3	impeachment (1)	indicating (2) 93:21	involves (2) 38:7,17
102:22 103:1,6,19	34:2,13 42:21	122:16	124:23	irrelevant (1)
104:9,23 105:4,12	44:16 47:10,19	implementation (1)	indirect (1) 151:14	100:14
105:18,22 107:21	48:8 49:6 63:2	66:13	industrial (4) 64:7	irrespective (1)
110:12 113:9,14	64:10 70:2,22	implemented (1)	64:20,23 106:4	129:17
113:19,23 114:6	71:4 72:14,19	129:18	industry (3) 14:22	Island (1) 15:12
114:16,19 115:11 115:13 116:6,11	77:1,14 79:9,13	importance (1)	14:23 75:20	islanded (1) 37:15
116:21,25 117:13	80:5,20 81:6,18	85:13	influence (1) 111:11	isolated (1) 38:9
117:18 118:11,13	88:11 90:25	<b>important (8)</b> 20:5	information (11)	issue (6) 37:17 59:7
119:13,15,23	104:24 107:8	33:13,16 37:2	29:21 32:14 69:14	97:2 101:10
120:20,24 121:14	116:7 117:17,21	41:7 86:16 93:3	69:15,16 73:20	123:24 143:23
120:20,24 121:14 122:11,19 124:15	119:6 123:12	102:20	76:2,13 80:13	issued (1) 64:7
	127:2,19 128:2,19	improvements (2)	112:19 138:18	issues (2) 41:16 47:4
124:16,18 125:12 125:13,16 126:15	133:23 136:3,11	85:7,8	<b>informed</b> (1) 151:9	issuing (1) 59:5
125:13,16 126:15	136:18 137:12	improvise (1) 8:10	infrastructure (2)	
Harry (1) 1:20	138:22 139:18	in-depth (2) 22:2,9	115:17 123:10	J
head (2) 89:5,7	142:17,23 143:4	inappropriate (3)	<b>initial</b> (1) 78:4	<b>J</b> (1) 2:20
health (4) 57:13	144:18,23 147:1	132:19 133:5,20	insert (1) 93:15	<b>January</b> (1) 55:18
65:8,24 68:17	147:25 149:6,17	inclined (1) 10:9	inspection (1) 76:9	Jay (2) 2:16 127:4
hear (1) 127:25	<b>Honorable (1)</b> 1:13	include (2) 39:2	install (2) 35:13	Jefferson (1) 4:9
hearing (4) 1:12	hoping (1) 10:1	83:24	56:21	<b>Jeffrey</b> (1) 1:13
9:11 11:9 45:19	horizon (3) 20:21	included (5) 7:24	installation (1)	<b>Jet</b> (1) 104:17
hearsay (1) 117:7	21:1 37:8	8:8 22:15 23:9	26:12	job (4) 101:19
heavily (1) 75:12	hospitals (1) 39:5	145:3	installing (1) 57:3	102:15,16 137:21
ncavny (1) / 3.12	hours (3) 117:25	includes (3) 18:3	instances (2) 75:14	joint (1) 9:14
heights (1) 86:2	<b>Hours (3)</b> 117.23	<b>Includes (3)</b> 10.5	instances $(2)$ / $3.14$	JUIII (1) 7.14

(504) 833-3330

Page 160

				3
<b>Jon (2)</b> 80:10 101:4	<b>Justice</b> (4) 3:18,21	Kolker (3) 147:18	83:23 84:24 86:2	loads (2) 13:3,4
<b>Jonathan (5)</b> 48:20	62:16 91:9	147:20 149:13	levees (8) 82:20	localized (1) 111:12
91:14 92:22 96:16	02.10 /1./	<b>kWh (3)</b> 133:4,21	83:15 84:4,19	located (4) 25:17
125:21	K	135:18	85:8,18,20 86:9	31:18,22 36:10
Jones (1) 104:17	<b>Kathleen (2)</b> 83:22	155.16	level (27) 22:3,10,18	location (1) 13:1
Josh (1) 12:1	104:16	L	22:24 23:8 35:8	long (15) 20:20
	Kathleen's (1)	$\frac{L}{L(2) 4:2,3}$	44:1 50:25 57:14	
Joshua (2) 3:8 43:5	109:12	L-U-E-T-T-I-C-H		24:17 28:22 29:1
joshua.smith@sie	<b>Kathy (4)</b> 4:16 6:14	119:4	57:22 65:12,17,18	30:13 32:22 39:17
3:16	151:3,19		65:20 66:7 67:7	39:19 40:6 41:17
Jr (2) 4:3,3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L.L.C (2) 2:3 151:20	67:14,23 68:5,8,9	48:17,20 80:10
<b>Judge (136)</b> 7:2,6	<b>Katrina (3)</b> 82:19	Laboratory (1)	69:22 71:23 72:7	91:14 93:8
7:15 8:3,20 9:5	86:23 126:2	104:18	74:13,24 75:5	Long's (7) 92:22
10:4,18 11:5,15,19	keep (2) 37:12	<b>laid</b> (2) 25:3 72:16	levels (11) 43:22	93:14 96:16 99:15
12:7 13:6,10,15	122:10	Lake (2) 4:4 84:20	50:22 52:15,21	100:7 101:5
14:3 18:18 19:5	kilowatt (6) 132:16	lane (1) 52:10	53:18,19 57:4	125:21
25:24 29:4 34:3,9	132:18,25 134:16	Lanny (1) 128:25	63:11,12 72:2	long-term (4) 28:5
34:16 42:17,23	134:25 135:1	Lanzalotta (2)	74:21	140:11 143:22
44:9,18 47:11,16	kind (4) 8:10 87:13	149:14,18	<b>light (2)</b> 35:12 78:3	146:7
47:20 48:13 49:2	101:9 102:2	large (1) 33:4	lights (1) 37:12	longer (2) 55:23
49:7 59:18 62:8	knew (1) 124:6	largest (1) 96:20	<b>line (20)</b> 12:4,12,13	57:19
63:4 68:24 69:4	know (80) 20:6 21:5	latest (1) 60:14	12:17,18,23,23	LONSONSKY,Ph
70:3,9,15,19,23	27:1,8 28:13	law (4) 4:2 58:24	13:2 27:6 48:19	81:24
71:5 72:20,24	31:17,20,21 35:23	66:11,12	68:15 70:2 76:2	look (27) 24:7,12,19
73:6,22 76:17,21	38:11,16 39:4	lawyer (1) 54:10	93:16 94:15	25:20 27:21 35:21
77:4 79:5,10,14,19	41:23 42:9 45:16	lay (2) 119:11	103:14 121:13	41:3,19,21 47:6
79:24 80:15 81:1	45:16 51:5 52:4	120:16	129:5,9,12	66:20 71:25 73:8
81:8,12,19 82:3	52:11 53:23 55:4	lays (1) 26:7	lines (15) 32:9 42:11	74:10 76:6 87:1
88:16 89:2 91:1	60:15,23 61:24	LDEQ (3) 54:16	66:17 67:2 73:11	91:13,15,17 92:14
97:10 99:24	63:12 66:19 67:11	59:10 61:15	103:15,22,24	103:16 106:1
102:23 103:4,17	69:7,17,19,20	LDEQ's (1) 56:19	104:10,13 114:23	119:2,16 123:24
103:25 104:5	71:14 72:5 74:10	lead (1) 104:17	114:25 115:2	129:20 134:23
105:1,8,16,20	74:11,12,16,23	leads (1) 102:8	124:20 125:18	looked (10) 16:25
107:13 109:22	75:5,8,11,12,13,14	leave (3) 113:3,15	list (4) 7:10,20 8:9	24:3 26:24 27:17
112:24 113:17,21	75:15,17,19 78:23	126:16	9:23	45:17 54:25 75:8
114:3 115:9 116:9	84:22 87:21 93:3	leaves (2) 37:14	listed (4) 22:14 24:5	112:10 124:22,24
116:17,23 117:8	94:2 95:15 101:1	98:3	24:20,23	looking (21) 17:23
117:23 118:3,7,12	103:12 107:2	ledwards0526@g	listen (1) 119:21	24:21 26:20 30:9
119:10 120:3,10	109:20 110:16,21	4:6	litigant (3) 151:14	35:18 39:22,24
120:21 121:22	114:1 115:25	left (2) 98:4 146:10	151:15,16	40:4 57:23 66:16
122:9,13 123:17	116:3 117:4 120:1	legal (1) 59:16	little (8) 9:11 16:2	67:2 72:9 74:5
124:13 126:14,19	120:17 123:25	legislation (1) 86:6	42:13 45:18 93:15	93:23 99:16
126:23 127:16,20	124:2,8 134:24	legitimate (1)	98:17 148:22	103:20 106:13
128:3,8,16,21	140:17,20 141:5	147:10	150:1	112:5,7 115:2
133:25 136:8,19	142:2,3,7 144:1,4	lengthy (1) 74:9	living (1) 71:22	138:22
136:24 137:3,12	144:6 145:25	let's (17) 10:10	LLP (2) 2:7,12	looks (1) 74:8
137:13 138:1,6,15	148:16	34:10 47:23 68:6	load (21) 12:14,16	Losonsky (37) 5:15
139:20,24 142:18	knowing (1) 93:23	79:20,25 111:3	20:11 21:24 23:17	79:22 80:12 81:21
142:24 144:14,19	knowledge (4) 61:18	113:18 119:11	25:18 27:22 31:22	82:6 89:6 91:5,19
146:14,18 147:8	69:23 82:22	126:20,21 138:19	32:2 41:16,22	91:23 92:7,11,18
147:17,21 148:9	151:13	139:21,25 146:20	42:9 43:13,16,18	94:16 95:1 96:23
148:24 149:8,12	known (1) 61:1	148:25 149:2	43:19,21,22 44:1	98:2 100:2 102:24
149:21 150:9	knows (2) 89:1	letter (3) 118:21	46:10 47:2	104:2,7,20 107:11
judgment (1) 77:12	124:3	119:1 148:20	loading (3) 12:19,20	107:16,22,24
<b>June (1)</b> 58:16	<b>KOCH</b> (1) 4:8	levee (4) 82:17	76:7	110:2,8 113:6,12
	1	1	1	1

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 161

	1	I	1	I
118:5 120:15,22	<b>major</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 63:20,23	McCOLLISTER (	21:23	93:9
121:17,25 123:21	140:15 145:6	4:8	metrics (2) 19:25	mischaracterizati
127:4,22	makeup (1) 26:21	mean (25) 20:6 25:1	20:3	96:16 99:21
Losonsky's (2)	making (2) 93:19	39:9,11 42:11	Mexico (1) 64:19	miscommunicatin
80:25 103:21	151:10	45:14 57:2,17	Michael (2) 3:12	99:7
lot (11) 28:10 33:16	manage (1) 51:9	67:13,22,24 68:4,9	9:20	MISO (38) 15:4
38:7 40:12 47:3	management (9)	70:5 74:7 77:1	michael@wwglaw	16:18 26:17,21,25
75:13 85:4 88:13	18:4,7 22:24 24:9	88:6 96:9 98:8,12	3:15	27:9,15,25 28:23
110:10 111:23	24:13,18 35:19	130:23 141:3	Michoud (26) 53:15	28:25 29:24 30:6
140:13	42:6 43:12	142:2 143:13	53:20 54:13 56:4	30:10,12,17 31:3
Louisiana (33) 1:14	mandate (2) 65:23	150:3	57:25 59:11 61:12	31:12 32:4 33:9
1:23 2:5,17,21	66:11	meanings (1) 75:1	82:20 83:3 87:24	33:18 36:21 40:9
3:14,20 4:5,10,19	<b>maneuver (1)</b> 42:13	means (4) 50:5 67:6	88:7 90:10 93:1	40:10,14,15,15,17
6:15 62:18 64:3	MANN (1) 2:7	93:4 101:6	94:11,23 95:10	40:17,21,21,22,24
69:12,21 72:1,4,7	manufacturer (1)	meant (2) 54:20	96:1,13 97:18	40:25 41:4,7,8,10
72:11 73:4,11	142:6	82:12	106:6 107:1 109:1	44:5
75:21 83:19 84:13	margin (2) 65:25	measurements (1)	109:4,19 118:25	<b>MISO's (5)</b> 28:4
109:16 114:22	98:5	108:5	121:8	29:17 31:7,22
115:22 116:13	<b>Marina</b> (1) 4:4	measures (3) 23:12	Michoud's (1) 111:7	33:25
119:17 121:3	mark (1) 81:6	23:15 43:12	microgrid (5) 38:12	Mississippi (4)
151:4,12,22	marked (1) 116:18	measuring (2) 99:17	38:19,21,25 39:2	64:17,25 111:13
Lovorn-Marriage	market (18) 15:4	100:8	<b>mile</b> (5) 61:11,12	111:22
146:22 149:9	16:18 28:5,7,12,19	mechanism (3)	62:4 143:16	<b>misstate</b> (1) 93:8
low (10) 39:22,23	28:24,25 29:22,24	130:19 131:14	145:21	<b>mitigate</b> (1) 41:24
40:5 65:12,17,18	30:12,14 31:3,4,23	145:19	miles (1) 61:23	mix (1) 37:3
65:20 66:5,7	40:20 41:1 141:5	<b>media (1)</b> 104:16	Miller (24) 3:3 5:11	model (8) 17:4
76:15	Massachusetts (4)	meet (3) 28:8 56:21	5:16 48:2 49:9,10	60:11,21,23 61:1,3
lower (10) 41:22	3:4 14:16,19	87:24	49:12,15 59:20	61:5,6
42:9,10 43:19,22	32:21	meeting (7) 116:14	62:6 63:18 81:17	<b>modeled</b> (1) 44:1
44:1 46:11 61:7	<b>master</b> (4) 85:16	117:14 118:15,22	82:4,5,8 88:18,23	modeling (3) 17:9
86:21 144:7	87:15,20 89:14	121:2 122:5,6	90:7,24 146:25	17:12,22
lowering (4) 13:3,4	<b>material</b> (3) 6:8,11	meets (2) 88:8 90:10	147:13,19 148:3	models (2) 17:11
43:13 87:5	24:3	megawatt (1) 54:3	149:16	44:2
lowers (2) 12:14,15	<b>materials</b> (1) 16:23	megawatts (3) 36:3	millimeters (5)	modification (3)
Loyola (1) 1:22	math (1) 54:10	53:20 54:1	108:9 111:8,25	56:19,23 149:25
lpiontek@roedelp	matter (12) 1:13	<b>member</b> (2) 83:6	112:8,11	modifications (1)
4:12	9:17 18:22 53:6	122:3	<b>million (2)</b> 68:20	56:25
LTS (1) 141:7	67:8,15 68:1	members (2) 118:20	93:2	<b>modified</b> (1) 130:12
LTSA (9) 140:6,10	98:18 151:15,16	121:6	mind (5) 66:22	moment (5) 71:10
140:11 142:1	151:17,18	memory (3) 73:19	91:17 122:10	71:25 91:22
143:14,18 145:1,3	matters (1) 32:1	92:15 107:23	124:2 129:3	125:15 147:5
145:4	<b>Maurice (2)</b> 144:25	mention (2) 17:19	minimus (1) 50:24	<b>Monique (3)</b> 3:18
Luettich (3) 119:3	148:18	19:18	minor (6) 12:4	62:14 91:7
123:4,16	Maurice-Anderso	<b>mentioned</b> (4) 23:2	48:17 56:7,19,23	MoniqueCovHar
Luke (1) 4:9	1:21 5:24 133:22	99:10 131:16	56:25	3:21
	136:2,17 137:10	132:12	minus (1) 98:6	<b>monitor</b> (1) 31:4
$\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{M}(4) + 20.5 + 4}$	137:15 138:4	mere (1) 141:14	<b>minute</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 34:12	<b>monitoring</b> (1) 76:8
<b>M</b> (4) 1:20 5:1,4	139:17 143:3,6	mess (1) 80:24	66:15 110:5 133:7	month (2) 141:5,5
11:21	144:11	met (1) 34:22	minutes (12) 116:12	months (2) 46:9,11
ma'am (1) 78:22	maximal (1) 101:2	<b>Metairie</b> (2) 4:19	117:14 118:2,8,15	morning (20) 7:3,5
<b>maintain</b> (1) 40:23	maximum (11)	151:22	118:22 119:17,25	7:9,19 9:12 14:7,8
maintenance (3)	92:25 93:4,5,10	<b>method</b> (2) 132:24	120:13 121:2,23	34:19,20 49:13,14
15:21 140:15	95:23 96:18 98:15	151:6	142:22	62:13 82:6,7,11
145:6	99:2 101:3,6,8	methodology (1)	miscalculate (1)	128:5,7,24 129:2
	l		l	

				rage rod
140:2	46:21 51:21 81:2	<b>NOP (1)</b> 94:19	46:5	38:15 64:21,24
Mother (2) 101:20	83:4,10 86:3	<b>NOP</b> (1) 94:19 <b>NOPS</b> (17) 21:1	<b>obvious (1)</b> 112:22	101:13
101:24	107:20 119:24	51:14 55:18 94:21	obvious (1) 112.22 obviously (5) 9:7	operated (2) 32:4
motors (1) 35:13	121:11 147:6	95:24 125:2	77:9 97:23 98:17	141:9
move (8) 18:14	needed (3) 54:16	131:19,23 132:8	125:7	operates (1) 97:25
25:23 76:15	89:22 90:4	132:15,21,25	occur (7) 35:9 55:2	operating (6) 62:18
113:11,18 138:19	needs (2) 28:8 32:14	134:5,12,14	55:4,9,11 58:10	62:22 63:10 64:8
139:21 148:22	neglected (1) 71:8	140:16 141:8	65:8	115:6,15
moved (2) 10:16	negotiated (1) 29:25	North (6) 40:14,15	occurred (5) 42:25	operation (5) 55:12
80:8	negotiations (1)	40:17,21,22 41:9	92:16 105:10	55:21 56:15
moving (4) 10:25	16:14	notated (1) 118:16	110:6 143:1	131:10,23
38:17 103:12	neither (2) 90:8	note (4) 10:24 12:3	occurring (1) 58:25	operational (1)
149:15	129:23	22:13 45:14	October (3) 55:19	55:24
<b>multi-value (2)</b> 40:8	net (1) 56:12	notes (1) 34:2	55:24 137:2	operations (3) 15:21
40:11	netting (2) 54:12	November (4) 18:22	offer (1) 135:12	15:24 129:24
<b>multiple</b> (1) 66:1	56:7	19:12 27:4 80:11	offered (1) 117:10	opine (2) 46:22
	never (6) 10:17	nuclear (1) 27:2	office (1) 14:18	136:5
Ν	23:16 32:16 38:17	number (17) 15:1	officer (1) 151:4	opinion (4) 27:25
<b>N</b> (5) 5:1,1,1 6:1 7:1	83:16 120:7	21:3 24:16 34:13	<b>OFFICES</b> (1) 4:2	105:13 123:20
N-O-P-S (1) 132:8	new (54) 1:2,6,7,14	35:20 51:10 52:18	officiated (1) 6:16	137:24
NAACP (3) 68:15	1:23 2:5,9,17,21	61:7 66:23 71:9	<b>Oh</b> (4) 38:24 63:7	opinions (2) 116:1
70:1 74:15	2:22 3:20 4:5,11	72:3 75:1 88:13	105:19 129:11	151:12
name (6) 49:15	14:12 20:9 22:5	140:17 144:1	oil (7) 62:17,22	opportunity (2)
62:14 82:8 91:7	22:12 25:17 26:13	147:4,14	63:10,15,19 68:17	74:17 120:18
127:4 128:24	26:23 27:18,20	numbers (3) 33:23	75:19	opposed (1) 131:1
names (1) 116:3	28:10,20 31:17,21	54:4 89:8	<b>Okay (54)</b> 10:5 11:6	option (2) 109:23,24
narrow (2) 31:11,18	33:1 36:4,11,16	<b>NW</b> (1) 3:4	11:20 13:11 14:5	order (3) 9:12 28:8
NASA (3) 104:17,25	37:13,14 45:5		19:8 34:17 36:7	57:21
106:12	49:17 55:10,11	0	36:14 43:3 48:14	ordinance (17) 87:8
nation (1) 26:8	56:20 57:15 59:3	<b>O</b> (2) 5:1 7:1	49:3,8 50:19	87:22,24 88:5,9,13
national (6) 50:13	59:13 65:1 74:7	<b>O&amp;M (4)</b> 134:3,14	51:12 60:8 62:10	88:19,22 89:4,9,10
65:9,14 66:6	78:20 82:10 83:17	134:14 142:13	67:1,4 68:10	90:2,10,11,14,16
68:17 75:9	84:24 85:8 94:20	<b>Oakland</b> (1) 3:10	70:16 71:6 75:25	90:17
nationwide (1) 38:1	104:19 106:6	oath (1) 6:16	79:11 81:13 82:4	ordinances (3)
natural (3) 32:25	109:19 111:9	object (10) 72:14	92:6,21 97:6,14	87:10,12 88:14
33:7 37:1	126:10 134:18	88:11 96:15	104:15 105:2	original (4) 139:11
nature (7) 86:13	news (1) 104:20	112:21 114:13	107:24 108:17	142:6 151:2,3
97:22 101:20,24	nice (1) 14:9	117:7 133:23	112:25 113:13,22	ORLANDO (2)
140:21 141:9	night (2) 7:7 9:1	136:3 137:11	117:9 120:4,25	5:20 128:12
144:9	Nine (2) 143:16	147:1	124:17 126:18	<b>Orleans (42)</b> 1:2,6,8
Navigant (1) 23:2	145:21	<b>objection (20)</b> 10:5	128:4 129:13	1:14,23 2:5,9,17
Navigant's (4)	Nineteen (2) 51:11	10:25 11:4,7	133:15 136:25	2:21,22 3:20 4:5
22:14,22 23:7	114:25	13:11,14 29:3,5	137:4 142:19	4:11 14:13 20:10
35:22	non-committed (1)	44:16 59:16 64:10	146:15 147:9,22	22:5,12 25:17
near (3) 82:20	33:17	64:12 68:22 81:15	148:25 149:22	26:13,23 31:17,21
111:13,22	<b>non-firm</b> (1) 33:17	99:20 123:12	150:3	33:2 36:4,11,11,16
near-term (1) 37:8	<b>non-fuel (10)</b> 130:5	136:14,18 138:17	<b>old</b> (1) 25:14	37:13,14 45:5
necessarily (5)	132:7 133:3,20	139:19	<b>older</b> (1) 27:14	49:17 56:20 65:1
42:10 57:2 62:24	134:3,14,19	<b>obligation</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 20:10	<b>Olsen</b> (1) 2:7	82:10 83:17 94:20
75:7 137:4	135:17 145:8,18	20:15 28:16	once (4) 80:16	104:19 106:6
<b>necessary (2)</b> 20:19	nonexistent (4)	obligations (2)	120:23 131:22,22	109:20 111:9
84:2	54:13 57:13,18,20	28:14 31:24	online (1) 117:19	126:10 134:18
need (15) 24:25 25:6	nonresponsive (1)	<b>observed</b> (1) 126:2	open (1) 129:4	<b>Osuala</b> (1) 3:4
25:25 30:19 39:7	18:16	<b>obtaining (2)</b> 45:23	operate (5) 25:8	outage (1) 32:8

Page 163

				10.90 100
auto and (2) 45.22		nonconto do (1)	111.0 10 115.7 16	96.10 10 100.25
<b>outages (2)</b> 45:23 46:5	PARSONS (1) 4:8	<b>percentage (1)</b> 135:21	111:8,10 115:7,16	86:19,19 109:25
	part (26) 18:2,11		118:25 121:9 144:4,6 145:6	130:20 137:22
outcome (1) 151:18 outside (3) 16:11	24:20 27:19 29:21 31:15 32:1 33:7	<b>perform (2)</b> 20:18 26:11	plant's (2) 57:19	139:1 140:22 141:7
29:12 75:23	37:2 56:12 66:9	performed (3) 36:1	99:12	<b>positioned</b> (1) 47:6
overall (3) 17:25	73:4 77:5,23	36:2 75:16	<b>plants (4)</b> 30:24	possibilities (2)
18:10 29:21	90:22 101:15	period (10) 25:5	54:14 56:5 101:14	17:18 19:18
overly (1) 69:8	103:8 106:21,25	28:15 29:20 46:12	<b>play (2)</b> 33:18 38:10	possible (12) 22:23
overrule (2) 29:5	103.8 100.21,23	54:21 55:5,8,17	PLC (1) 2:19	51:5 92:25 93:4,5
99:25	112:13 118:16,17	56:1 58:19	please (31) 12:9	95:23 96:18 98:15
overtopped (1)	121:1	permit (10) 50:2	19:6 50:17 51:10	99:2 101:2,3,6
82:24	partially (2) 113:3,4	53:11 54:14,16	54:22 63:2,5	possibly (4) 21:17
overtopping (2)	participants (1)	56:8 59:6 62:23	67:10 68:3 72:23	61:1 149:13,14
82:13,14	15:4	77:19 78:4,6	81:22 83:10 88:2	potential (8) 27:18
02.10,11	participated (2)	permits (10) 49:24	91:24 94:6 100:3	36:9 51:18 52:25
Р	16:13,17	59:8 62:19 63:11	103:18 105:17	66:2 123:5,7
<b>P</b> (1) 7:1	particular (14) 18:1	63:13,21,24 64:4,7	106:10 108:17,22	131:6
<b>P-P-C-A-C-R</b> (1)	22:3,10 25:6 35:8	65:1	110:5 111:19	pours (1) 82:18
130:7	46:8 50:23 71:9	permitted (4) 51:17	114:25 117:21	power (16) 1:8
packages (1) 17:9	75:14,18,19 89:11	53:18,19 68:18	120:15 122:14	17:12 29:14,25
page (60) 5:2 6:3	130:18 134:4	permitting (4) 54:24	124:14 128:9,22	44:1 53:15 93:2
8:23 12:11,17,22	particularly (2)	58:13,17 76:6	129:4	94:20 111:8,10
13:2 19:9,14,21,24	38:4 63:15	perpetuity (1) 25:8	plug-ins (1) 60:23	118:25 121:8
27:5 48:18 50:16	particulate (4) 53:6	person (2) 52:11	plus (1) 55:13	130:8 143:15,17
51:4,10 66:16,23	67:7,15,25	151:10	<b>PM10 (2)</b> 53:9 54:5	145:23
66:25 67:3 71:9	parties (3) 11:3	personal (1) 151:6	<b>PM2.5</b> (1) 54:5	powered (1) 38:21
71:13,15,18 91:18	149:23 151:17	perspective (2)	pocket (1) 25:18	<b>Poydras (4)</b> 1:13 2:4
91:24 92:22	parts (1) 38:17	57:13 58:9	point (8) 9:22 11:7	2:16,20
103:14,15,22,23	party (4) 50:5	physical (1) 59:25	22:23 23:8 109:14	<b>PPA (1)</b> 143:16
104:4,6,8,12	151:14,15,16	pick (1) 125:14	122:18 126:21	<b>PPCA (1)</b> 145:10
105:23 106:12	passed (2) 86:6	piece (1) 76:12	146:19	<b>PPCACR (8)</b> 130:7
108:11,12 111:4	116:15	<b>Piontek</b> (1) 4:9	points (1) 66:2	130:12,24 132:17
114:23 115:1	patterns (1) 28:23	place (3) 21:7 35:20	<b>police</b> (1) 39:4	145:13,15,17,20
117:1 118:15,16	pause (5) 42:25	37:6	<b>policies</b> (1) 60:3	<b>precedent</b> (1) 146:5
124:19 125:18	92:16 105:10	placed (1) 137:21	<b>pollutant</b> (1) 52:23	predict (1) 89:15
129:5,5,9,10,11,12	110:6 143:1	places (1) 21:3	<b>pollutants (3)</b> 50:24	predominantly (1)
129:21 130:4,11	paying (1) 28:9	<b>plan (5)</b> 16:22 85:16	53:3 65:14	27:1
133:9,11,16 151:3	payments (2) 28:25	87:15,20 89:14	<b>polluting (2)</b> 57:15	prefer (1) 8:12
pages (2) 133:2	142:5	planned (4) 22:4,11	59:22	preliminary (2) 9:16
138:22	peak (7) 12:14,16	32:3 100:25	<b>pollution (5)</b> 63:20	51:23
pagination (1) 80:24	12:19,20 13:3,4	planner (2) 46:19	65:8 66:6 68:18	<b>Prep (3)</b> 131:3,5
paper (5) 109:5,6	46:12	46:22	76:7	133:2
110:9,10,23	pending (1) 10:16	planning (14) 15:18	population (1)	Prep's (4) 131:13
paragraph (6)	penetration (4)	15:22 16:6,10	71:24	133:12,17 134:13
111:5,6 119:3	26:22 36:16 45:5	20:19,21,25 23:18	<b>populations (2)</b> 66:1	preparation (1)
121:13,18,21	45:9	25:5 28:15 29:13	71:22	41:13
parameters (2)	people (2) 147:15	46:17,23 47:4	portfolio (4) 21:6,18	prepare (2) 23:3
101:24,25	148:5	plans (1) 87:23	24:6,20	50:1
parenthetical (2)	<b>percent (22)</b> 94:5,10 94:22 95:9,25	plant (27) 21:7	<b>portfolios</b> (4) 23:1	prepared (15) 16:20
	9/11/2010/201	28:10 53:15 59:12	23:10 24:12 44:23	17:2,7 20:17
93:15 96:4 <b>Parish</b> (1) 26:11	,	50.14 78.6 02.2	montion (1) 110.00	02.11 07.10 15
Parish (1) 36:11	96:12 97:17 98:3	59:14 78:6 93:2	<b>portion</b> (1) 118:22	23:11 26:10,15
<b>Parish (1)</b> 36:11 <b>parishes (8)</b> 69:12	96:12 97:17 98:3 98:3,6,7,7,9,11,13	94:4,9 95:6 96:11	portions (1) 107:17	31:3,10,16 32:7
Parish (1) 36:11	96:12 97:17 98:3			

(504) 833-3330

preparing (4) 30:25	54:24 55:25 60:5	121:3	0	quoting (3) 27:7
50:6 78:2,20	65:23 74:23	protections (1)	qualifications (1)	84:6 106:11
presence (1) 38:8	processor (1) 60:15	88:15	89:8	
present (2) 46:13	produce (1) 52:15	protective (2) 65:24	quality (14) 48:22	R
120:6	produced (1) 23:17	84:16	48:24 50:12,14	<b>R</b> (1) 7:1
presented (3) 33:12	<b>production (3)</b> 17:3	provide (11) 40:9	55:3 58:8 59:1	radius (1) 62:1
45:1 61:25	17:22 63:16	43:17 46:22 49:3	64:3 65:9,13,15	raise (2) 81:22
presenting (2) 9:13	Products (7) 4:5 9:4	49:22 83:16 87:3	66:7 69:21 72:7	128:10
78:19	128:25 135:10,18	97:21,21 107:18	quantification (1)	Raley (1) 2:3
Presley (2) 2:15	135:22 139:7	130:11	85:15	range (1) 112:11
140:2	program (6) 23:13	provided (3) 36:1,8	quantifications (1)	ranked (2) 72:1
pressure (1) 27:15	35:19 50:8,12	87:20	137:23	74:11
presume (1) 28:17	56:24 87:14	provides (3) 50:21	quantified (1) 85:12	rankings (1) 72:4
presumes (1) 66:7	prohibited (1)	80:12 112:12	quantities (3) 21:10	rate (26) 26:12 53:2
pretty (1) 74:8	151:13	providing (3) 80:17	21:13 25:4	53:7,10 93:10,12
<b>prevention (2)</b> 50:8	prohibition (1)	84:2 87:5	question (50) 18:17	95:8,22 96:10,12
50:11	151:11	<b>proximity</b> (1) 61:22	19:21 22:7 41:13	97:17 102:10,12
previous (1) 107:9	project (8) 53:1	<b>PSD (5)</b> 50:21 53:2	43:14 44:7,10,11	108:4 114:2
previously (7) 53:15	55:10,12,18,23	55:8 56:24 57:8	45:6,7,15,25 46:1	129:25 131:8,9,15
80:8 105:15 106:6	56:2,9 59:4	<b>public (6)</b> 1:11	52:12 56:4 57:16	131:17,24 132:10
109:1 111:11	projected (1) 55:20	57:12 59:12,23	64:1 66:20 67:9	132:14 134:12
134:11	<b>projection</b> (2) 30:5	117:15 118:17	67:12 76:12,14	135:21,23
price (6) 28:23	30:8	<b>pump (10)</b> 93:10	83:11 88:1,3 89:3	ratepayers (1) 33:2
39:21,21,24 40:4	projections (3)	97:22 99:9,10,14	90:19 92:8,23	rates (16) 50:23
40:18	30:17,20,21	100:15,15 101:7	94:7 97:12 98:1	51:25 52:4,22
prices (7) 29:8 30:5	projects (2) 40:9,11	127:9,12	98:23 99:13 100:4	93:6,7 95:5,24
30:15,17 40:24	pronounced (1)	pump's (1) 99:13	106:8 107:15	100:25 101:3,22
41:4,9	119:3	<b>pumped</b> (2) 96:12	108:16,18 112:23	124:3,4,6 131:18
<b>primarily (3)</b> 37:5	proper (3) 67:17	97:17	124:14 127:2,7	131:21
141:2 145:5	73:7,15	<b>pumping (8)</b> 96:10	129:14,15 133:15	RE-EXAMINATI
primary (2) 50:5	properly (1) 38:10	97:8 111:12,21	138:7,9,19 143:10	5:23 144:24
106:3	proposal (2) 40:5	123:6 124:3,4,6	questioned (1)	read (36) 8:22 19:19
prior (2) 20:2 86:22	81:6	<b>pumps (9)</b> 89:22	143:8	19:22 27:10 48:24
private (1) 15:1	proposed (19) 54:14	93:6,17,24 95:5,9	questioning (2)	71:24 73:12 94:23
<b>privilege (1)</b> 137:20	57:21 58:11 59:4	96:21,22 102:13	43:10 48:1	95:18 96:1,22
privileged (1)	78:5 89:22,23	purchase (6) 29:14	questions (18) 34:8	104:19 106:7,17
138:18	90:5,6 94:4,9,21	29:23,23 30:1	34:14 43:8 46:14	106:23 107:2
probably (5) 44:19	96:11 97:15 115:6	130:8 143:16	49:18 51:7 60:5	109:2,7,10 111:15
84:8 124:7 132:23	115:15 124:4,6	<b>purpose (5)</b> 23:17	61:9 79:4,9 82:11	111:18 115:18
132:24	130:23	31:23 122:17	114:10 122:15	118:25 119:12
<b>problem</b> (1) 39:9	proposing (1)	130:13 140:25	139:23 142:16	120:8,23,25
problems (1) 26:23	131:14	<b>purposes (11)</b> 10:2,6	143:5 144:22	122:14 123:18
Procedure (1)	<b>Propulsion</b> (1)	10:11 55:8 70:6	146:13	125:4 126:4
151:12	104:18	70:13 76:24 99:15	queue (1) 33:25	130:14 131:2
proceed (2) 12:8	prospective (1)	116:8 122:17	queued (1) 33:18	135:9 136:20
128:20	30:23	149:5	quibbling (1)	142:5
proceeding (5)	protection (24)	<b>purview (3)</b> 87:10	100:19	reading (4) 27:6
16:24 49:17 82:10	83:16,19 84:3,13	102:15 103:9	quick (1) 143:4	77:11 114:1
121:24 129:1	84:15 85:12 86:1	pushes (1) 72:3	quickly (4) 39:5	121:20
proceedings (6) 43:1	86:16 87:3,6,8,12	put (3) 27:15 30:23	76:18 148:17,22	reads (1) 106:2
92:17 105:11	87:15,19 88:6	44:23	quite (3) 21:18	ready (12) 14:5
	89:10 90:3,3	<b>PV (7)</b> 12:14,15	127:24 138:21	70:10 127:23,24
110:7 143:2			12/12/10/0121	
110:7 143:2 150:10 process (6) 35:17	114:22 115:17,22 116:13 119:18	21:16 26:5 36:15 38:21 42:5	quote (5) 27:10 84:5	128:19 147:11,15 148:1,6,8,10,12

(504) 833-3330

Г

Page 165

real (5) 27:8 58:1,3	140:5 141:1,3	101:6 109:2 110:9	39:22,25	62:15
58:25 76:18	143:10	111:20 112:8	reliability (1) 41:16	represents (1) 51:22
really (7) 37:17 74:9	recoverability (1)	126:11	relied (4) 23:6 26:6	request (3) 1:8 10:9
85:13 86:3 100:14	143:14	refined (1) 61:6	30:20 100:24	147:10
110:16 138:12	recovered (9)	reflect (3) 100:15	<b>RELIEF (1)</b> 1:9	requested (1) 77:25
realm (1) 138:13	140:23 141:11,15	101:24,25	rely (4) 20:25 28:19	require (4) 63:21,23
reason (7) 62:25	141:19 142:12	reflects (1) 84:11	100:21,23	141:15 146:6
92:24 93:22 94:3	143:12 145:9,18	refresh (2) 10:21	relying (4) 28:4,7,24	required (10) 56:21
106:19 108:1	145:25	92:15	77:11	56:25 57:9 59:9
122:25	recovering (2) 133:3	refreshes (2) 73:9	remaining (4) 98:11	63:13 77:23 84:23
reasonable (4) 21:6	145:21	73:19	98:13,14 113:10	85:20 151:3,8
57:12 122:21,23	recovery (12) 1:9	refrigeration (1)	remember (1) 33:16	requirement (4)
reasons (1) 61:8	129:6 130:9,13,19	35:12	remind (1) 70:24	130:6 131:20
rebut (1) 139:15	130:21,22 131:7	regard (8) 49:23	render (1) 10:19	132:15 145:22
rebuttal (14) 33:14	132:20,21,24	53:5 55:14 59:13	renew (1) 139:18	requirements (6)
48:18 66:15,16,24	146:7	61:10 85:18 90:19	renewables (3)	57:4 60:3 88:8
114:23 115:2	redirect (7) 42:19	98:23	37:11,19 38:18	90:11 132:8
124:19 125:8,9,17	79:11,13 114:5	regarded (1) 121:7	renewal (1) 77:20	135:17
135:13 139:10,13	127:17 142:20	regarding (9) 7:20	repeat (8) 22:6 67:9	rerun (2) 17:21 20:4
recall (10) 18:21	143:5	50:4 87:12 88:5	68:2 76:12 88:1	research (2) 85:5
43:14 44:6,13	reduce (2) 12:19,20	118:23 121:19	100:4 108:17	110:11
45:6,7,8,24 46:1	reducing (1) 41:16	123:5 125:22	145:16	residences (1) 62:3
86:12	reduction (10)	143:8	<b>replace (4)</b> 35:11,12	residential (1) 61:11
recess (2) 79:23	84:18 85:2 87:2	regardless (1)	35:12,14	residual (1) 28:18
126:22	89:13 94:21 95:25	129:22	report (44) 22:15,17	resolved (2) 7:11,21
recessed (1) 150:11	98:2,10 102:21	regards (7) 63:19	22:18,21 31:3,6	resolving (1) 41:15
recognition (1)	123:9	76:5 78:4,17 96:9	48:17,21,23 68:14	resource (23) 16:6
84:12	reductions (1) 56:11	111:2 121:4	69:3,8 70:1 71:3	16:10,22 17:5,18
recognize (2) 121:23	Reed (16) 2:15 5:22	region (3) 40:16	71:13 72:12,16	20:19 23:18 24:18
122:5	140:1,3 142:15,19	65:4,5	74:8,9,13,15,16,24	26:17,25 27:9,16
recollection (4)	143:7,20 144:20	regions (1) 41:6	76:1 80:14 90:15	28:8,20 29:13,17
10:21 52:7,9	144:21,24 145:14	regular (1) 47:3	90:20,22 104:18	29:19 30:10,22
73:10	146:12 147:24	regulation (1) 66:6	104:20,21,25	31:7,23 33:9 47:4
recommendation (	148:7,11	regulations (1)	105:14,24 106:13	resources (24) 20:24
125:5,24 126:7	refer (2) 83:4	58:23	107:4,18,25 108:7	21:4,10,15 23:22
recommended (6)	111:22	<b>regulators (1)</b> 14:25	109:8,8,9 112:16	24:1,5,19,22,24
125:3,22 126:3,9	reference (12) 21:3	regulatory (8) 14:25	125:8	25:3,4 26:21
126:13 134:13	23:1,9 24:15	58:8,12,18,22	reported (3) 4:14	28:11,15 33:17,19
recommending (1)	26:20 29:16 30:9	61:16,19 69:15	95:22 151:5	33:22 37:20,25
140:5	45:11 92:21 96:4	reinforcement (1)	reporter (11) 4:16	39:12 40:22 86:7
recommends (1)	109:2 110:18	21:16	6:15 11:23 48:5	86:11
131:6	referenced (4) 26:6	<b>relate (1)</b> 88:14	81:23 82:1 105:6	respect (2) 85:7
reconfigured (1)	36:22 46:4 134:4	related (6) 140:16	128:10,14 151:4	134:7
83:23	<b>references (2)</b> 33:22	143:15,23 145:5	151:20	responded (2) 137:5
reconstructed (1)	48:17	145:24 151:17	<b>REPORTER'S</b> (1)	137:8
83:23	referencing (2)	relates (1) 90:2	151:1	responds (3) 102:1
record (12) 9:9 10:8	29:20 75:20	relationship (4)	<b>Reporters (1)</b> 4:17	137:18 138:11
19:11 42:8 73:13	referred (3) 30:10	123:6 151:14,15	reporting (2) 151:5	response (8) 7:23
76:22 77:6 79:25	86:2 112:1	151:16	151:14	18:15 21:15 54:11
95:17 117:15	referring (5) 25:13	relationships (1)	reports (2) 90:9	67:6,13 108:15
119:12 126:24	83:8 93:17 100:12	151:11	109:10	135:13
recorded (1) 121:1	102:1	relative (1) 31:8	represent (4) 49:16	responses (2)
recover (7) 129:16	refers (10) 82:15	relatively (6) 28:17	50:20 82:9 128:25	114:12,18
130:5 133:20	84:10 95:4 99:9	30:13,13 35:6	representing (1)	<b>responsive</b> (1) 18:19

rest (3) 38:15 124:1	52:11 53:23 57:10	<b>run (10)</b> 17:3,8,10	second (15) 7:16	Sewerage (1) 87:17
150:2	59:14 62:9 71:6	17:12 47:2 61:5,6	12:17,18 42:22	shared (1) 115:21
restate (5) 67:20	72:11 81:2,20,21	140:18 144:3,6	51:13,16 66:18	Shaw-Gallagher (4)
94:6 95:14 97:6	81:22 86:12 92:12	<b>running</b> (5) 7:9	72:1 106:25	4:16 6:14 151:3
97:12	92:19 94:1 99:25	118:9 143:17	108:22 117:21	151:19
restoration (4) 38:5	100:24 101:23	144:5 146:3	119:2 131:8,24	shed (1) 58:4
38:6 84:15 87:15	104:3,14 106:21	<b>runs</b> (1) 43:25	132:6	short (6) 20:20
restore (1) 32:20	112:5 113:18	<u> </u>	secondary (2)	24:17 30:13 39:16
restoring (1) 32:17	115:4 117:5,12	$\frac{3}{\mathbf{S}(2) 1:13 7:1}$	118:24 121:7	39:19 40:1
result (2) 58:5 85:11 resulted (1) 16:23	120:23 122:8 127:21,23 128:10	s (2) 1.13 7.1 safe (5) 67:8,15 75:2	<b>section (7)</b> 64:16 105:23,24 106:2	<b>shortage (2)</b> 29:19 31:8
results (7) 17:14	130:7 131:11	102:17,21	105.23,24 100.2	<b>shorter (1)</b> 148:20
29:18 61:7 85:14	134:10 135:2	safety (1) 65:25	sections (1) 50:3	show (2) 19:25 70:5
85:15 108:4	134.10 135.2	sale (1) 16:14	see (14) 7:7 14:9	show (2) 19.23 70.3 showing (1) 10:3
130:25	142:8 145:11	savings (4) 18:4,8	70:14 71:17,25	showing (1) 10.5 shown (1) 84:7
retire (1) 27:19	146:5 147:9,22	35:8,16	84:9 110:23 111:3	shows (4) 33:14 72:3
retired (1) 53:17	148:25 150:6	saw (4) 7:18 46:6	112:1 118:15	94:21 95:24
retirement (1) 27:14	risk (32) 28:9 39:23	112:9,10	123:22 125:25	shut (1) 59:1
<b>REUTER</b> (1) 2:2	40:1 65:12,20	saying (5) 68:10,11	149:24 150:6	shut (1) 59:1 shutdown (1) 58:6
revenue (8) 130:5	66:8 67:20,25	95:12 98:9 119:22	seen (9) 42:2 69:7	side (5) 16:3 27:23
130:17 131:19	68:5 69:22 71:23	says (10) 12:19 13:2	71:3 72:15 73:20	51:7,8 61:4
132:8,10,15	72:2,8,10 73:3,10	94:19 96:17	109:9 119:8 120:7	<b>Sierra (6)</b> 3:8,15
135:17 145:22	73:20 74:13,21	106:11,22 108:22	122:8	9:21 12:2 43:5
revenues (1) 135:21	75:6,8,10 84:17	108:23 111:7	selected (1) 58:19	148:14
reverse (1) 77:12	85:2 87:2 89:13	125:19	sense (8) 20:7 24:10	<b>signature</b> (1) 151:2
review (16) 16:21	90:5 101:12	SC-7 (1) 9:24	26:21 29:21 30:11	<b>significant (8)</b> 18:11
24:23 25:2,6 31:1	102:21 115:7,16	scale (2) 26:8,9	39:20 77:6 87:5	50:8,11,21 52:22
31:5 50:2 58:9	123:9	scanned (1) 133:16	sensitive (1) 66:1	53:2,7,9
74:17 75:24 77:9	risks (7) 28:3,6	scenario (5) 22:16	sensitivity (1) 24:11	significantly (1)
78:13 104:20	39:16,18 66:5	22:17,23 23:7	sent (1) 148:20	50:15
110:23 120:12,14	69:12,15	35:22	sentence (11) 12:13	<b>similar (5)</b> 130:13
reviewed (8) 17:13	<b>River (3)</b> 64:17,25	scenarios (5) 22:14	48:20 95:18 106:2	143:25 144:5,9
69:14 73:3 78:15	111:13	22:21 24:8,8,18	106:17 108:21,25	145:1
105:15 107:4	Robert (5) 3:13 5:4	schedule (4) 56:3	109:15 110:14,17	<b>similarly</b> (1) 36:14
108:3 109:7	9:13 11:21 148:14	118:10 148:16	110:19	simply (2) 55:24
<b>reviewing</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 31:6	<b>ROEDEL</b> (1) 4:8	149:25	<b>separated</b> (1) 40:18	100:15
62:5 77:8	role (4) 15:24 16:5	scheduled (1)	serve (1) 20:10	<b>single</b> (1) 40:16
revised (1) 49:4	33:18 38:11	146:22	served (1) 93:20	sir (8) 7:14 49:11
revisit (1) 11:10	roll (1) 147:3	schedules (1) 135:24		95:13 99:5 108:11
<b>Rhode (1)</b> 15:11	<b>ROMERO</b> (1) 2:7	scheduling (1) 32:9	service (5) 32:10	109:15 116:22
<b>Rice (24)</b> 49:25	<b>rooftop (3)</b> 38:8,9 38:14	schools (1) 61:11 science (1) 68:11	130:18 140:12 143:22 146:7	124:24
51:14,18,19 52:6,8 52:20,21 53:1,6,11	room (1) 42:13	scientific (4) 67:21		sit (1) 86:12 site (7) 60:1 7 83:3
53:24 54:3,15,17	<b>Rooms (1)</b> 1:14	114:10,12,18	<b>services (3)</b> 1:18 49:22 151:11	<b>site</b> (7) 60:1,7 83:3 87:23 88:8 90:10
56:18 129:17	<b>ROSS</b> (1) 2:2	scientist (1) 114:9	set (14) 20:23 21:9	96:19
147:12,15 148:2,6	<b>Rouge (3)</b> 4:10	scope (3) 75:23	21:13 22:1,8 23:3	siting (2) 115:6,15
148:15 149:11,13	64:18,25	136:7 137:25	27:24 29:10 40:16	situation (4) 38:20
rider (10) 130:9,12	roughly (1) 22:19	Scott (1) 2:7	43:24 51:2,3	40:3 59:6 78:3
130:13,21,24	<b>RPR (2)</b> 4:16	Scratch (1) 78:16	131:18 148:17	six (1) 53:7
132:7,17 145:10	151:19	screening (1) 61:22	sets (1) 29:18	skip (1) 108:24
145:15,17	rules (4) 57:11	seal (1) 151:3	setting (3) 65:22	Slow (1) 115:10
<b>right (52)</b> 9:6 11:16	58:13 151:9,12	<b>SEALED</b> (2) 6:8,11	66:5 69:16	small (3) 15:1 26:9
13:16 27:13 35:4	<b>ruling (4)</b> 10:17,19	Search (1) 84:20	Seven (1) 133:14	28:18
37:6 47:23 49:9	77:7,12	SEC-8 (1) 11:12	severe (1) 42:7	Smart (1) 23:13
	1	1	1	1

(504) 833-3330

smiller@earthjust	specific (20) 17:21	stated (7) 24:14	submit (2) 77:17	survey (3) 29:17
3:7	19:25 20:3,24	46:18 65:21 67:19	80:22	30:11 31:7
Smith (13) 3:8 5:8	21:2,9,13 30:5	88:4 110:24	<b>submitted</b> (1) 80:11	Susan (3) 3:3 49:15
11:25 12:1 13:16	44:24 45:4,9	134:11	subsequent (2)	82:8
13:24 42:20 43:2	85:22 86:4 87:22	statement (33)	25:20 43:23	sustain (1) 138:16
43:4,5 44:12 45:2	88:5,12,17 90:13	25:12 27:11,12	subsidence (14)	<b>Sustained</b> (2) 59:19
47:8	97:9 122:15	37:1 57:6 62:5	89:25 104:18,21	139:21
<b>SO2</b> (2) 52:23 53:3	specifically (12)	66:4 67:11 75:22	106:4,13 108:4	sworn (4) 11:22
solar (24) 12:14,15	40:10 41:21 43:18	77:18,22 78:1,18	111:7,9,12,24	48:4 81:25 128:13
14:22,23 21:16	51:19 60:19 62:1	78:21 96:2,3 98:9	118:24 121:7,20	Synapse (4) 14:16
24:13,18 25:16	62:5 74:5 85:17	99:11 100:10	123:7 substantiate (1)	14:17,18,21
26:5,9,13,22 36:4	88:2 110:22,23	106:9,20,24 108:2	107:19	<b>Synapse's (1)</b> 15:3
36:10,15 38:3,8,10 38:14,21,23 39:1	<b>specificity (1)</b> 113:5 <b>specifics (3)</b> 45:13	108:20 109:3,13 110:24 111:17	substantive (3) 10:7	<b>system (19)</b> 12:16 12:21 13:5 32:17
42:5 45:5	90:9 121:11	110.24 111.17 112:15 124:1,8	11:1 81:16	33:19 37:18 38:2
solely (1) 38:21	spectacular (1)	136:1 139:6	subtract (2) 120:11	38:15 41:23 43:13
Solsen@dwmrlaw	84:20	statements (1) 62:2	120:18	43:20 84:16,18,24
2:11	speculating (1)	states (5) 33:3 71:21	suggests (1) 22:18	85:2 87:2 89:13
somewhat (1) 52:1	124:9	72:2 74:11 133:2	Suite (11) 1:22 2:4,8	102:21 123:9
sorry (26) 7:16 22:6	spent (1) 83:18	Station (2) 1:8	2:17,21 3:5,9,19	102.21 125.9
23:23 56:14 63:7	spirit (3) 84:5,10,11	94:20	4:10,18 151:21	T
78:10 94:15 98:16	spot (1) 29:24	status (1) 10:13	sum (1) 84:16	<b>T</b> (2) 5:1 6:1
99:7 100:3 102:3	spread (1) 132:9	statute (1) 151:9	summer (5) 12:14	table (12) 25:3
102:6 105:19	staff (1) 14:25	stems (1) 66:10	12:15 13:3,4	50:19,21 51:5,11
108:6 112:6	stand (3) 81:22	<b>Stendahl (1)</b> 148:18	46:11	51:22 52:21 71:17
115:12 117:3	114:9 120:8	stenotype (1) 151:5	supervision (1)	71:20,25 74:10,16
118:6 129:9,12,13	standalone (1)	step (2) 131:8,19	151:6	take (15) 41:17 56:6
133:11 134:14	81:15	<b>Stevens (5)</b> 3:3 49:9	supplemental (6)	59:24 71:25 79:20
138:5,9 145:16	standard (15) 59:10	49:15 82:4,8	1:5 80:10 103:13	80:22 86:19 92:14
sort (8) 27:8 32:13	65:10,22 66:8	stock (1) 146:21	103:21 129:4	102:16 103:16
32:15 76:11 81:14	84:22,24 85:18	storage (2) 4:11	139:12	114:15 126:20
131:19 133:16	86:1,3,5,8,18,20	36:20	supply (2) 24:20	131:24 146:20
144:8	86:21,25	storm (12) 32:20	31:8	149:7
sounds (2) 53:23	standards (16)	37:13 38:4,6	support (1) 38:4	taken (6) 53:4 79:23
72:11	50:14 56:20 58:17	82:15 84:17 85:2	supported (1)	85:7 126:22 131:7
source (2) 28:5	65:15,15,24 69:17	85:25 87:2 89:13	110:17	151:5
59:22	85:3,11,13,23,24	102:21 123:9	supports (1) 110:19	takes (3) 37:13
sources (2) 63:20,23	86:2,4,11,14	straightforward (2)	sure (32) 22:8 23:24	41:22 120:12
	stands (2) 123:8	18:6 42:1	25:1 34:4,17	talk (1) 86:4
26:17,25 27:9,15	140:11	Street (5) 1:13 2:4	50:18 51:21 61:14	talking (6) 28:17
27:25 31:12 32:4	<b>STANLEY</b> (1) 2:2	2:16,20 3:9	66:19 73:23 76:22	85:17 97:4 101:3
40:10,15,17,21,24	<b>Stanton (2)</b> 149:14	stress (1) 93:11	77:2 88:2,19 93:8	121:12 129:16
41:4,10 62:15	149:17	stressors (1) 46:13	95:14,16 96:6	task (1) 68:16
91:8	start (9) 43:7,8 48:8	strike (4) 18:15	98:12 101:7 102:8	<b>technical (6)</b> 48:16
southeast (8) 16:11	55:20,21 79:22	25:23 76:14 139:3	103:20 104:10	48:21,23 52:8
83:18 84:13	143:21 149:2,2	structure (1) 41:7	107:8 110:3 112:3	80:13 83:24
114:21 115:22	starting (1) 91:12	studies (5) 32:8	112:14 115:1	technically (1)
116:13 119:17	starts (3) 48:20	67:22 69:18	116:10 119:14	44:19
121:2	140:17 144:1	109:11 134:19	122:12 142:25	technology (2)
spark (1) 52:10	state (13) 6:15 31:2	study (7) 23:12,15	surge (1) 82:15	36:24 56:22 <b>tell (6)</b> 50:7 75:7,11
<b>speak (5)</b> 26:24 56:2 60:2,17 62:20	55:16 62:22 72:11 73:3 74:6 75:4,18	26:4 36:19 46:3 122:25 134:23	<b>surplus (3)</b> 29:19 31:9 40:23	102:19 123:18
speaking (3) 33:1	109:16 115:5	subject (3) 11:1	surprised (2) 62:21	149:22
50:10 62:1	125:1 151:4	54:9 110:11	63:9	tells (1) 89:14
50.10 02.1	123.1 131.4	J4.7 110.11	03.7	1013 (1) 07.14

(504) 833-3330

Page 168

				idge ioo
tommored (1) 150.5	120.6 7 10 10 12	2.2	tong (3) 50.22 52.10	29.12.10.52.22
tempered (1) 150:5	139:6,7,10,10,12	2:2	tons (3) 50:23 53:10	38:12,19 52:23
ten (8) 30:18 32:10	139:13 140:4,14	thought (4) 63:7	53:12	129:6 132:19
33:10 71:21 72:1	142:5 151:4,5	76:1 80:20 117:24	top (7) 19:20 71:21	145:19 146:7
79:21,21 98:3	testing (1) 85:5	thoughts (2) 114:20	72:1 82:18 89:5,7	<b>types (4)</b> 26:17 27:9
ten-minute (2)	<b>Texas</b> (1) 2:9	118:19	121:15	45:20 145:8
79:20 126:20	thank (60) 14:4 20:8	three (5) 9:3 15:8	total (2) 84:16	<b>typically (2)</b> 61:7
<b>tends</b> (1) 61:4	34:7 35:24 37:9	61:23 70:22 110:1	135:19	134:20
term (8) 17:20,21	37:24 39:14 40:2	three-mile (1) 61:25	totally (1) 74:7	
61:16,19 82:13,14	40:7 41:11 42:15	three-quarters (1)	toxicological (1)	
116:4 122:4	42:18 43:3,6	62:4	69:14	<b>U.S (2)</b> 37:3 118:21
terms (13) 24:21	47:12,21 49:8,11	threshold (2) 67:20	<b>Toxics</b> (1) 75:9	UD-16-02 (1) 1:5
68:1 73:19 74:5	52:3 62:7,9 64:1	68:7	trajectory (1) 41:3	<b>Uh-huh (2)</b> 93:21
76:4,7,8 88:25	65:671:1677:14	<b>thresholds (2)</b> 63:17	transcribed (1)	124:23
98:4,8 101:16	79:2,6,15,18 80:5	74:21	151:6	<b>ultimately (2)</b> 102:8
138:11 141:21	90:25 91:2 92:6	Thursday (2)	transcript (7) 19:9	129:7
terrain (1) 60:15	94:25 96:24	116:14 122:2	27:4 35:1 151:2,7	unclear (1) 10:13
testified (8) 11:24	103:11 104:1	<b>Tim</b> (1) 1:19	151:8,8	underestimate (1)
48:6 54:12 82:2	105:21 111:1	time (30) 14:12 17:5	transcripts (1)	41:8
107:25 123:13	113:24 114:7,17	32:22 36:1,8	16:23	underlined (1)
128:15 142:10	118:12 120:21	41:17 51:24 55:2	transmission (17)	121:16
testimonies (3)	124:17 126:15	55:5,11 56:5	12:16,21 13:5	<b>understand (9)</b> 18:6
13:20 14:1 41:20	127:21 130:3	58:19 64:2 68:2	15:17,20 16:3	39:8 67:5,12
testimony (128) 9:3	132:5 133:1	70:2 76:15 103:2	21:16 32:4,9	83:10 93:5 132:3
12:5,12 16:20	134:17 135:8	103:18 113:10	37:14,22 38:1	137:16 140:14
17:2,8,16 18:3,12	142:8,19 144:12	114:11,15,21	41:23 45:24 46:17	understanding (21)
20:17,23 21:4,9,18	144:15 146:13,15	117:22,24 120:8	46:19,22	17:24 60:21 65:19
21:19,22 22:2,9	146:17 150:9	120:12,19 144:3	trends (2) 26:8	74:22 77:24 127:8
23:3,4,11,21,25	thing (4) 63:14	148:19,23	36:23	131:5,12,13,17
24:15 25:15,19,20	80:21 89:19 102:9	<b>TIMELY (1)</b> 1:9	Tried (1) 102:4	132:11 134:22
26:3,7,11,16,20	things (6) 27:22	times (2) 53:7,13	trip (1) 14:11	135:7 140:12
27:24 29:11,16	45:17 46:25 61:12	title (5) 8:9 71:20	true (12) 19:23 21:8	141:23,24 143:24
30:3 31:1,11,15,17	141:6 148:21	77:19 121:15,20	21:12 23:19 24:2	145:4 147:14
32:7 33:15,22	think (44) 8:21 10:7	titled (2) 106:16	25:12 26:19 35:4	148:4 151:7
36:2,8 41:14 45:1	12:8 18:19 26:19	118:22	40:8 127:13 136:9	understands (1)
45:11,16 48:12,19	33:13 34:13 37:17	today (10) 9:10	151:7	97:11
50:17 51:24 55:17	42:7 43:21 44:7	14:12 49:18 66:4	trying (4) 100:20	Understood (1)
62:2 66:16,24	45:10 47:9 51:9	78:19 102:5	102:9 119:21	93:13
67:19 75:3,23	51:21 67:16 70:18	111:24 147:20,23	137:14	<b>undertake</b> (1) 18:12
76:5 78:20 80:9	71:15 76:11 84:6	149:1	<b>Tuesday</b> (1) 1:15	Union (2) 143:15
80:10,17,25 91:10	94:17 99:6 102:2	Todd (22) 5:20	turn (7) 25:11,12	145:23
91:14,18 92:2,22	102:9 109:15	127:25 128:5,6,12	27:3 50:16 51:4	unit (26) 4:4 49:25
92:25 93:15,20	110:17,18 112:12	128:24 133:8,13	66:14 71:13	52:20 53:6,24
94:2,3,9 96:17,17	112:25 113:7,15	134:2 136:5,12,22	turned (1) 104:3	54:15,15 57:25
99:16,21 100:8,20	119:3,24 122:20	137:1 138:8 139:5	twenty-eight (1)	96:13 97:9,23,24
101:5 103:14,22	124:5 136:9 138:3	140:2 142:20	54:1	100:16 127:8,11
104:15 114:23	142:9 144:7	143:5,7 144:12	twice (2) 22:19 54:5	129:17,23 130:6
115:2 124:19	146:10 147:9	146:15,16	two (9) 8:25 41:6	130:17 131:10
125:1,8,9,12,18,22	148:18,20 149:19	Todd's (2) 136:7	61:2 89:23 90:6	134:5,8,9 140:18
	third (3) 9:10 12:22	137:21	117:25 131:6	141:8 144:1
129:4,21 131:2,13			140:20 143:4	<b>United</b> (1) 33:3
129:4,21 131:2,13 132:11 133:3,12	109:23	loid (1) 147.5		
132:11 133:3,12	109:23 <b>Thirteen (2)</b> 94:17	told (1) 147:3 tomorrow (10)		
132:11 133:3,12 133:17 135:9,12	<b>Thirteen (2)</b> 94:17	tomorrow (10)	<b>two-step (1)</b> 131:14	units (25) 25:7,10
132:11 133:3,12 133:17 135:9,12 135:13 136:6,7,15	<b>Thirteen (2)</b> 94:17 94:19	<b>tomorrow (10)</b> 146:23 147:7	<b>two-step (1)</b> 131:14 <b>two-stroke (2)</b> 52:5	<b>units (25)</b> 25:7,10 27:19,20,25 51:14
132:11 133:3,12 133:17 135:9,12	<b>Thirteen (2)</b> 94:17	tomorrow (10)	<b>two-step (1)</b> 131:14	units (25) 25:7,10

(504) 833-3330

Page 169

58:6 59:1 89:23 90:6 94:23 96:1 101:1 129:18	verification (2) 11:2 11:3 version (1) 112:7 versions (1) 60:22	<b>ways (1)</b> 38:24 <b>we'll (6)</b> 11:9 70:14 79:21 105:2	5:19 6:17 47:24 63:2 67:4 70:6 72:15 73:2 107:9	107:12 110:15 112:2 125:14 133:9 136:9 141:2
58:6 59:1 89:23 90:6 94:23 96:1 101:1 129:18 <b>units' (1)</b> 53:17	11:3 version (1) 112:7	we'll (6) 11:9 70:14	63:2 67:4 70:6	112:2 125:14
90:6 94:23 96:1 101:1 129:18 <b>units' (1)</b> 53:17	version (1) 112:7	· · /		
101:1 129:18 <b>units' (1)</b> 53:17		19.21 103.2	12.13 13.2 101.9	
<b>units' (1)</b> 53:17	versions (1) ou 7.7.	120:11 149:1	112:25 116:8	146:3
	versus (2) 132:16	we're (33) 9:11,12	112.23 110.8	year (10) 18:23
University (1)	134:24	28:17 40:3,4	120:6 123:12	50:23 53:10,12
109:17	<b>Vertical (1)</b> 108:9	51:22 66:24 67:2	135:10 138:24,25	
	viability (2) 36:20	86:24 99:7 101:3	139:15 147:3,11	108:10 111:8,25 112:9,11 130:5
80:17	44:5	101:8 102:8	witness's (2) 18:15	years (17) 15:8
	vicinity (3) 61:15,17	101.8 102.8	112:21	20:25 24:16 30:18
urban (2) 106:4,23	61:19	111:4 112:4,6	witnesses (5) 33:15	31:9 32:10 33:10
	Victor (2) 131:3	113:15 115:2	41:20 42:3 131:3	47:5 55:9,10,13
96:18 103:8	134:13	117:4,9 118:9,9	149:6	56:10 73:4,21
	Vince (1) 2:13	126:15 131:20	Wiygul (4) 3:12,13	75:15 83:18 116:5
	violations (3) 42:4,6	134:12 143:17	148:13,14	yesterday (1) 7:25
85:20 89:21 92:25	42:9	145:21 148:8,15	word (1) 12:23	you-all (1) 150:6
	<b>volatile</b> (1) 29:8	149:14 150:1	wording (1) 98:17	<b>you-all (1)</b> 150.0
	volumetric (2) 133:4	we've (6) 34:22 42:2	words (2) 98:18	Z
99:3,12 100:16	133:21	95:15 102:2 114:9	137:7	<b>zero</b> (1) 35:7
101:6,6,8,9,21,22	133.21	127:23	work (19) 14:15,19	zone (4) 31:22 32:2
101:0,0,0,9,21,22	W	Webster (1) 3:9	15:17 16:9 20:7	83:3,6
	W (1) 2:3	week (1) 150:2	32:12,15 47:1	zones (1) 41:10
	wait (3) 44:10,11	wells (9) 90:5	49:19 60:13 69:11	<b>Zones</b> (1) +1.10
users (1) 60:13	115:10	101:13,21 111:14	73:5 76:3 89:20	0
	waiting (4) 10:20,22	111:22,23 122:24	100:24 101:11,12	<b>049519</b> (1) 4:17
101:21 132:17	11:2 108:15	123:2,25	101:16 149:24	
	walk (1) 91:12	went (4) 9:1 18:16	worked (8) 15:7,15	1
	Walter (1) 2:20	85:5 86:14	15:20 16:6 32:17	<b>1 (13)</b> 1:14 8:4 13:2
•	WALTZER (1) 3:12	weren't (2) 31:11	32:19 83:20 90:23	22:17,23 35:22
	want (24) 12:3	88:4	works (1) 37:18	53:14 68:19
32:24 34:22 39:6	34:14 43:7 60:4	whichever (2) 129:6	worry (1) 13:21	103:15,24 104:13
47:2	67:11 70:5 75:25	130:6	wouldn't (7) 39:15	143:15 145:23
utility's (1) 46:23	80:2 94:2 95:16	wide (2) 141:21,25	57:8 62:24 63:9	<b>1.2 (1)</b> 135:22
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101:7 102:19	widely (1) 61:1	69:19 129:3 131:1	<b>1/2 (1)</b> 135:19
V	105:13 106:1	Wilkerson (2) 2:19	Wra@stanleyreut	10 (17) 9:3 27:6
valid (1) 151:2	107:17 109:20	2:20	2:10	53:6 96:12 97:17
valuable (1) 38:11	110:3,16 113:3,4	wind (1) 40:12	WREN (1) 2:7	98:7,11,13,15 99:2
value (5) 93:9 102:1	114:14 130:5	window (1) 55:1	Wright's (1) 62:2	99:8 103:14,22
102:10,14,16	138:7 150:3	wine (1) 8:25	write (2) 71:8	104:8 105:23
variability (3)	wanted (1) 118:19	winter (1) 32:20	118:20	106:12 111:4
140:21 141:4	Warehouse (1) 4:11	wires (3) 39:8,10,13	wrong (2) 117:25	<b>10.87</b> (1) 93:2
142:4	Washington (1) 3:5	withdraw (5) 94:4	125:7	<b>100</b> (1) 98:6
variable (5) 35:13	wasn't (4) 20:6 25:6	94:10 97:16	wwilkerson@wilk	100-year (1) 85:25
141:9,10,15	35:20 102:5	127:10,12	2:23	<b>1000 (1)</b> 3:14
142:11	water (11) 82:16	withdrawal (15)		<b>105</b> (1) 6:5
variation (2) 141:21	86:7,10 87:17	93:7,17,24 99:18	X	<b>11 (6)</b> 9:3 66:17
141:25	99:12 111:14,22	100:9,11,12	<b>X</b> (4) 5:1,1 6:1,1	67:3 103:15,23
varies (1) 141:4	111:23 122:24	105:24 106:3,16		104:12
various (4) 83:8	123:2,24	106:22 108:23	Y	<b>11:44</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 150:11
	way (11) 9:9 12:8	111:14 118:24	yeah (21) 8:19 23:19	<b>116</b> (1) 6:6
vary (3) 140:19	18:16 43:17 45:18	121:8	25:25 29:15 66:15	11th (1) 1:14
	73:7,15 74:15	withdrawn (2)	76:20 93:18 94:8	<b>12 (6)</b> 48:18,19
141:8 142:6				
141:8 142:6 vehicle (1) 130:25 velocity (1) 108:9	89:15 112:9 113:16	96:21,22 witness (24) 5:3,9,14	95:21 98:20,22 102:6 104:11	129:5,10,11,12 <b>127 (1)</b> 5:18

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com

Page 170

<b></b>				Idge If
<b>128</b> (1) 5:21	<b>2019</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 55:19,24	6	99:8	
<b>13 (2)</b> 12:12,17	131:21	<b>6 (5)</b> 129:21 130:4	<b>909</b> (1) 2:4	
<b>1300</b> (1) 3:9	<b>2022</b> (1) 29:20	130:11 143:16	<b>91</b> (1) 5:17	
<b>14 (3)</b> 5:6 94:19	<b>20th</b> (1) 25:13	145:21	<b>94612</b> (1) 3:10	
108:12	<b>21</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 126:21	<b>600</b> (1) 2:8		
140 (1) 5:22	<b>2101</b> (1) 3:9	<b>601</b> (1) 1:13		
<b>143</b> (1) 5:24	<b>24</b> (1) 50:16	<b>62 (1)</b> 5:12		
<b>1434 (1)</b> 151:12	<b>25 (4)</b> 111:7,24	<b>639</b> (1) 1:22		
144 (1) 5:23	112:8,11	<b>650 (2)</b> 2:16,20		
145 (1) 3:19	<b>2500</b> (1) 2:4	<b>66 (3)</b> 19:9,14,24		
<b>15 (4)</b> 12:22 53:10	<b>2600</b> (1) 1:22	<b>67 (1)</b> 19:21		
114:24 115:3	<b>26906</b> (1) 88:19	<b>6A</b> (1) 112:2		
<b>15th (3)</b> 19:12 27:4	<b>27</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 118:16			
116:15	<b>2850 (1)</b> 2:17	7		
<b>16 (4)</b> 12:23 103:14		7 (7) 12:11 67:12		
103:22 104:10	3	114:23 115:2		
<b>1625</b> (1) 3:4	<b>3 (11)</b> 6:4 8:4 12:17	133:2,11,16		
<b>17 (3)</b> 103:14,23	50:19,21 52:21	<b>70</b> (1) 6:4		
104:10	71:17 74:10 76:23	<b>70005 (2)</b> 4:19		
<b>18 (5)</b> 71:13,15,18	105:3 135:19	151:22		
124:20 125:18	30 (5) 111:8,25	70056 (1) 3:14		
<b>19 (8)</b> 49:1 51:4	112:8,11 118:2	<b>70112</b> (1) 2:5		
72:5,6,10 74:6	<b>300</b> (1) 4:4	<b>70112</b> (1) 2:3 <b>70113</b> (1) 1:23		
114:23 115:1	<b>301 (1)</b> 4:10	<b>70112</b> (1) 1:25 <b>70122</b> (1) 3:20		
<b>1900</b> (1) 2:8	<b>3157</b> (1) 3:19	<b>70122</b> (1) 3:20 <b>70124</b> (1) 4:5		
<b>1913 (1)</b> 2:21	34 (1) 5:7	<b>70124 (1)</b> 4.5 <b>70130 (2)</b> 1:14 2:21		
<b>1940 (1)</b> 58:2	<b>350 (2)</b> 49:17 82:10	<b>70130-6132</b> (1) 2:17		
<b>1950</b> (1) 58:3	<b>350.org</b> (1) 3:6	<b>70130-0132</b> (1) 2.17 <b>702</b> (1) 3:5		
<b>1950s</b> (1) 58:15	<b>39 (3)</b> 13:2 91:18	<b>70809 (1)</b> 4:10		
<b>1981</b> (1) 15:9	92:23	<b>749 (2)</b> 4:18 151:21		
<b>19th (2)</b> 1:15 9:10		<b>781</b> (1) 53:20		
	4	<b>78701</b> (1) 2:9		
2	<b>4 (9)</b> 4:18 6:5 66:16	<b>79</b> (1) 53:12		
<b>2 (5)</b> 1:14 8:4 10:15	66:25 103:16,24	<b>7A</b> (2) 108:10 112:6		
51:5,11	104:13 111:7			
<b>2.5 (1)</b> 126:2	151:21	<b>7th</b> (1) 88:20		
<b>20-year (1)</b> 20:21	<b>4,000</b> (1) 62:4	8		
200 (1) 36:3	<b>4.1.2 (2)</b> 105:23	<b>8 (6)</b> 124:20 125:18		
20036 (1) 3:5	106:14	133:2,11,14,16		
2007 (2) 86:7,18	43 (1) 5:8	<b>8:30 (1)</b> 1:14		
<b>2012</b> (2) 116:5	<b>487-3376</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 4:19	<b>8:50 (1)</b> 1.14 <b>800 (1)</b> 4:19		
122:4	<b>49</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 5:11	<b>800</b> (1) 4.19 <b>82</b> (1) 5:16		
2013 (1) 55:18	<b>4B</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 111:14	<b>82 (1)</b> 5.16 <b>833-3330 (1)</b> 4:19		
<b>2015</b> (2) 16:21	<b>4C</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 111:14	<b>8440</b> (1) 4:19		
71:23	- ( )			
<b>2016 (9)</b> 31:2 56:5	5	<b>85 (1)</b> 27:5		
56:11 58:16 80:11	<b>5 (10)</b> 6:6 66:17	9		
88:20 116:15	67:2 77:19 116:18	<b>9 (7)</b> 31:22 32:2		
122:2,3	129:5,5,9,12,21	93:16 117:1		
<b>2017</b> (7) 1:15 19:12	<b>50,000 (1)</b> 138:22			
27:4 29:17 30:10	<b>500-year</b> (1) 85:25	118:15 124:20		
31:7 137:2	<b>504</b> (1) 4:19	125:19 <b>0:00</b> (2) 140:2 150:7		
<b>2018 (3)</b> 29:19	<b>59</b> (1) 92:8	<b>9:00 (2)</b> 149:2 150:7		
129:25 131:8	<b>5BE</b> (1) 4:4	<b>90 (9)</b> 94:5,10,22		
127.23 131.0		95:9,25 98:3,7,9		
		Ι	I	

(504) 833-3330 Curren Court Reporters, LLC FAX (504) 833-3355 www.currenland.com