

MOTION

NO. M-18-351

CITY HALL: August 23, 2018

BY: COUNCILMEMBERS ^{AM} MORENO, WILLIAMS, GIARRUSSO, BANKS, AND BROSSETT

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 3-130 of the Home Rule Charter of the City of New Orleans, the New Orleans City Council exercises powers of supervision, regulation and control over electric and gas utilities providing service in the City; and

WHEREAS, to address the complex legal and technical issues necessary to properly meet its responsibility, the Council has selected consulting firms as its advisors, in accordance with the competitive selection process required by the Home Rule Charter and established by Council Rule 45; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Motion M-17-13 and the terms of the Request for Qualifications issued on July 29, 2016, the City Council authorized the retention of the law firm Dentons US LLP ("Dentons"), to provide electric and/or natural gas regulatory consulting services to the City Council for an initial maximum annual amount as negotiated by the Council, for a total period of up to five years renewable on an annual basis; and

WHEREAS, ENO's New Orleans Power Station ("NOPS") Application in Council Docket UD-16-02, alone, has resulted in an unprecedented commitment by the Advisors to ensure a fully developed evidentiary record upon which the Council relied upon in reaching its decision in this case. As a result of NOPS-related work and the other unanticipated matters that had to be addressed in 2017, Dentons consumed over \$344,000 of its 2018 budget prior to the start of the year; and

WHEREAS, the continuation of NOPS-related works into 2018 and other unanticipated regulatory matters that required the Advisors attention have had the result that as of approximately June 30, 2018, Dentons' had used 65.1 % of its 2018 authorized budget; and

WHEREAS, in a letter to Entergy New Orleans, LLC dated July 20, 2018 (Exhibit "A"), the members of the Utility, Cable, Telecommunication, and Technology Committee identified issues with ENO's filings in the Reliability Docket UD-17-04 and in response to Resolution R-18-976 initiating a show cause proceeding on ENO's 2016 Renewables Request for Proposals. These issues have led to increased work and costs for the Council's advisors that will be borne by ENO and not passed on to the ratepayers; and

WHEREAS, in a letter to the Advisors dated July 20, 2018 (Exhibit "B"), Chair Moreno reaffirmed the Council's commitment to enforcing the billing guidelines adopted in Motion M-17-245 (Exhibit "C").

WHEREAS, there are numerous ongoing and critical matters that must be addressed on behalf of the Council by our Advisors through the end of 2018. At a minimum, the following matters will need to be addressed during the balance of this year:

1. Litigation addressing the appeal of the NOPS decision which will require the filing of briefs, pleadings and the preparation and presentation of oral arguments as required by the courts;
2. Implementation of the Council's Smart Cities initiatives including proceedings addressing electric vehicle charging and ENO's proposed grid modernization plan;
3. Prosecution of ENO's combined rate case that will span the rest of this year and next and affect every aspect of what ratepayers will pay and receive for several years to come. The

rate case will also address such issues as ENO's proposed Decoupling mechanism and Green Power proposal;

4. Prosecution of the prudence investigation addressing ENO's failure to adequately or timely address distribution system reliability;
5. Prosecution of the prudence investigation addressing ENO's failure to timely fulfill its commitment to add 100 MW of renewable resources to its generation portfolio;
6. Oversight of ENO's deployment of AMI throughout the City;
7. Monitoring of ENO's return of benefits stemming from the federal corporate tax reduction;
8. Participation in the development of ENO's next Integrated Resource Plan including assessment of the Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Potential Study;
9. Monitoring of physical and cyber security matters;
10. Participation in the community solar program rulemaking.
11. Participation in the rulemaking to consider changing the Council's rules to allow ENO to release aggregated whole-building energy consumption data to building owners without tenant's consent where the building has a minimum of four meters.
12. Participation in the Energy Smart docket including the treatment of pilot programs and any related budget adjustments, the utility's performance incentive, and the technical resource manual.
13. Oversight of Energy Smart Program Years 7-9 programs and cost recovery considerations;
14. Negotiations with ENO regarding appropriate reporting requirements detailing the benefits of ENO's continued membership in MISO;
15. Representation of the Council in the Entergy Regional State Committee and the Organization of MISO States in order to help shape MISO's policy and operational

decisions consistent with the Council's goals for reliable service at lower rates for the City's customers;

16. Representing the Council's interest in ongoing FERC matters in the following dockets:

- EL00-66 - Interruptible Load Case
- EL01-88 - 2005 Bandwidth proceeding and related DC Circuit appeals
- EL10-65, et al. - Mega-bandwidth proceeding
- ER10-1350 - 2010 Bandwidth proceeding
- EL09-61 - Off-System Sales proceeding
- ER13-1508 - ROE proceeding regarding ENO's Purchased Power Agreements
- EL14-12, et al. - MISO ROE proceeding
- EL17-41 - System Energy Resources, Inc. ROE proceeding
- ER12-432 and D.C. Cir. Case No. 16-1305 - Allocation of Union Pacific Settlement Proceeds
- ER13-948 and D.C. Cir. Case No. 14-1030 - MISO ROE and the division of MISO South into multiple TPZs
- ER17-2219 - SERI filing for revised depreciation rates for the Grand Gulf nuclear facility
- ER17-1730 - Entergy filing to recover the annual reactive power revenue requirement for Union Power Station
- EL17-62 - MISO's Independent Market Monitor's complaint re PJM Regional Transmission Organizations ("PJM") pseudo-tie requirements
- ER18-462 - MISO refiling of its resource adequacy proposal

- EL16-108 - Complaint challenging duplicative congestion charges by MISO and PJM for pseudo-tie arrangements from resources in MISO to load in PJM
- Docket ER10-1791 - MISO filing for export pricing to PJM for Multi-Value Projects; and

WHEREAS, in light of the large number of complex unanticipated matters this year and the number of vitally important issues that must be addressed before the end of 2018 by the Council in its role of regulator of the rates, terms and conditions of service of ENO, a budget amendment to Dentons' 2018 budget in the amount of \$500,000 is required; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, that the President of the Council is hereby authorized to sign an appropriate contract amendment with the law firm Dentons US LLP, to provide for the continuation of electric and/or natural gas legal consulting services to the Council in electric and gas utility regulatory matters through the end of calendar year 2018 for a maximum compensation of \$3,537,500.00 for said year.

BE IT FURTHER MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, that such contract amendment shall be properly routed in accordance with normal process and the City Council Rules.

THE FOREGOING MOTION WAS READ IN FULL, THE ROLL WAS CALLED ON THE ADOPTION THEREOF AND RESULTED AS FOLLOWS:

YEAS: Banks, Brossett, Giarrusso, Gisleson-Palmer, Moreno, Nguyen, Williams - 7

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: 0

AND THE MOTION WAS ADOPTED

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED
TO BE A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
Lera W. Johnson
CLERK OF COUNCIL



HELENA MORENO
COUNCILMEMBER AT-LARGE

7.20.18

Office (504) 658-1060
1300 Perdido Street • Suite 2W40
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

New Orleans City Council

Gary E. Huntley
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs - Entergy New Orleans, LLC.
1600 Perdido Street
New Orleans, LA 70112

Mr. Huntley,

The Council has made a series of requests of ENO over the past two years to enact reforms or advancements on behalf of our ratepayers, but as a result of delay or inaction on ENO's part, the information or actions requested by the Council have not come to pass in a timely manner. This has resulted in an escalating amount of work for our contracted Advisors that would not have been necessary if ENO had responded sufficiently to the Council's orders.

Specifically, on both the Renewables (R-18-97) and Reliability (Docket No. UD-17-04) matters now pending before the Council, we have been forced to use "show cause" orders in order to receive that which we had already directed ENO to produce or deliver. To be clear, this additional work would not have been necessary if ENO had promptly and completely responded to Council orders. Therefore, we are formally advising you that the costs associated with this additional work shall not be borne by the ratepayers and should be the sole responsibility of ENO.

We think you will agree that it is incumbent upon both the Council and ENO to work in concert to avoid unnecessary expense to the ratepayers. Similarly, as you already know, the costs associated with the hiring of the independent investigators contracted to produce an unbiased account of the NOPS "paid actor" scandal will also be solely borne by ENO and not by the ratepayers.

In all three of these instances, we are committed to fully protecting ratepayers from Advisor costs associated with issues caused by ENO's own making. This letter therefore formalizes the comments Chair Moreno made to this point in the July 19th UCTTC Committee Meeting on this issue.

Thank you for prompt attention to these matters. We look forward to working together to advance our energy infrastructure and ensure safe, affordable and reliable power to New Orleans' ratepayers.

Sincerely,

Helena Moreno

Chair, Utility, Cable, Telecom & Tech Committee

Joseph I. Giarrusso III

Councilmember, District "A"

Jay H. Banks

Councilmember, District "B"

Jason R. Williams

President, New Orleans City Council

Jared Brossett

Councilmember, District "D"



HELENA MORENO
COUNCILMEMBER AT-LARGE

Office (504) 658-1060
1300 Perdido Street • Suite 2W40
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

New Orleans City Council
July 20, 2018

Dear Advisors,

Thank you for your continued hard work and all you have done to ensure that the Council is armed with the information needed to exercise its regulatory authority.

I wanted to take a moment to establish my expectations for submitting invoices to the Council. Each invoice submitted is to be detailed enough that there is no question as to the need for the work performed. To assist in your recordkeeping and invoicing, I've attached the current billing guidelines. Going forward, invoices should include a detailed description of work as well as why the work was performed and, if applicable, who requested the work.

We continue to appreciate your professionalism and your expertise. As we move into the rate case and as the public's confidence in its utilities is strained, it is vital that the Council is able to justify every expense to the ratepayer. Proper recordkeeping that adheres to the previously codified billing guidelines will ensure transparency and accountability for ratepayers.

Please do not hesitate to contact my chief of staff Andrew Tuozzolo or the CURO chief of staff Erin Spears if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Helena Moreno". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "H" and "M".

Helena Moreno

Chair, Utility, Cable, Telecommunications, and Technology Committee
Vice-President, New Orleans City Council

MOTION

NO. M-17-245

CITY HALL: May 4, 2017

BY: COUNCILMEMBERS  WILLIAMS AND  GUIBRY

WHEREAS, the New Orleans Office of Inspector General (OIG) released a report entitled "New Orleans Utilities Regulation" on June 17, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the OIG's report contained a recommendation, among several others, that "[t]he Council should create and implement a standard set of billing guidelines and require outside consultants to comply with its requirements"; and

WHEREAS, billing guidelines for consultants and attorneys are standard practice and are incorporated into contracts in order to allow for the efficient provision of services on behalf of clients; and

WHEREAS, the ultimate cost of consultant and attorney services is paid by ratepayers, and any savings in such costs will save ratepayers money; and

WHEREAS, the Council Utilities Regulatory Office ("CURO") conferred with regulatory commissioners in other jurisdictions, researched industry standards and best practices in billing guidelines, and reviewed billing guidelines used by other regulators; and

WHEREAS, the CURO distributed a draft set of billing guidelines on January 12, 2017, offered the opportunity to meet with Councilmembers and staff members, and solicited, received, and distributed feedback on those guidelines from the OIG; and

WHEREAS, the CURO met with Councilmembers and staff members and the draft billing guidelines have been collaboratively revised to address concerns of the Councilmembers and the OIG, resulting in the set of billing guidelines attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Council's Utility Advisor contracts expired as of December 31, 2016, and motions to authorize month-to-month contracts for the Council's Utility Advisors were deferred at the Council Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and Technology Committee meeting on January 19, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Council authorized new Utility Advisor contracts during its January 12, 2017, regular meeting, but those contracts have not been signed; and

WHEREAS, there is "uniformity in a desire for billing guidelines among the committee," and a "goal of curbing costs through a set of mutually agreed upon billing guidelines," according to the Chair of the Council Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and Technology Committee; and

WHEREAS, the Council, led by the Chair of the Council Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and Technology Committee, has developed a comprehensive set of CURO protocols responsive to the OIG's observations, which will govern CURO's role in the regulatory process, including the management of the Council's Utility Advisor contracts; and

WHEREAS, billing guidelines should be mutually agreed upon, incorporated, and enforced as provisions of the Council's Utility Advisor contracts, and CURO should enforce the provisions in those contracts when necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council is ready to move forward with the attached set of billing guidelines, in order to incorporate them into the Council's Utility Advisor contracts currently being negotiated; NOW THEREFORE

BE IT MOVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, That the set of billing guidelines attached hereto as Exhibit A is hereby approved, and that the billing guidelines shall be incorporated into and enforced prospectively as provisions of all contracts

between the Council and its Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and Technology Committee (CUTTC) Advisors, as mutually agreed upon in contract negotiations.

THE FOREGOING MOTION WAS READ IN FULL, THE ROLL WAS CALLED ON THE ADOPTION THEREOF AND RESULTED AS FOLLOWS:

YEAS: Brossett, Gray, Guidry, Head, Williams - 5

NAYS: 0

ABSENT: Cantrell, Ramsey - 2

AND THE MOTION WAS ADOPTED.

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED
TO BE A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY

Lara W. Johnson
CLERK OF COUNCIL

**EXHIBIT A
TO MOTION M-17-245**

**City Council Utility Regulatory Office Work and Billing
Practices Policy for Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and
Technology Committee Advisors**

May 4, 2017

**City Council Utility Regulatory Office (CURO) Work and Billing
Practices Policy for Utility, Cable, Telecommunications and Technology
Committee (UCTTC) Advisors
May 4, 2016**

Note: The following applies to a UCTTC Advisor, or multiple Advisors, or Advisor firms, hereafter referred to as "Advisor" or "Advisors." In this policy, the terms "Invoice" and "Bill" or "Billing" are used interchangeably. "CURO" refers to the CURO Chief and the Deputy Chief/Director, or if one is unavailable then the other, together with any person serving in an interim role in one of those positions.

Purpose:

- 1) To ensure that services are reasonably billed and are in accordance with contractual terms.
- 2) To facilitate efficient administration of the contracts and prompt review and payment of invoices.
- 3) To facilitate analysis of contractual service costs for planning and budgeting purposes.
- 4) To prevent inadvertent disclosure of privileged information and/or strategies.

Permitted Work:

All professional services are subject to the provisions of the Advisor contracts. The Council views every bill from an Advisor as a certification by the Advisor and his or her firm that the services and disbursements reflected on the bill are reasonable for the matter involved and necessary for the proper provision of professional services to the Council. Staffing shall be efficient. Time and disbursements that are not necessary for the cost-effective handling of a matter should not be billed. Compliance with this procedure will avoid delays in processing invoices.

Subject to additional direction given by the Council, the UCTTC, or its Chair, with a copy to CURO, the following work may be performed, provided it is in compliance with the remaining Work and Billing Practices hereafter:

- 1) Reasonable monitoring and information gathering with respect to issues that are of interest to the UCTTC.

- 2) Strategic analysis, reports and discussions with other consultants, members of the Council, and Council employees.
- 3) Contacts with persons interested in issues that are, or could be, before the UCTTC.
- 4) Consultation, coordination and advocacy with others to ensure that the interests of the UCTTC are served; and in connection therewith, personal appearances and the preparation and filing of documents.
- 5) Intervention and participation in Administrative or Judicial proceedings; and in connection therewith, personal appearances and the preparation and filing of documents, pleadings, etc.
- 6) Lobbying or monitoring activities with respect to legislation of material interest to the UCTTC; and in connection therewith, personal appearances and the preparation and filing of documents.
- 7) Preparation of draft legislation, resolutions, recommendations and decisions.
- 8) Attending meetings and coordinating activities with other city agencies and other bodies.
- 9) Telephone conferences and attending meetings with, and preparing materials for, the Council, its members, the UCTTC, and CURO on utility regulatory and such other matters as the Council, UCTTC or individual members thereof may request.

Process for Billing and Payment:

Invoices shall be submitted electronically to CURO on a monthly basis by the end of the month following the month in which charges are made. If requested, Advisors shall concurrently provide copies to the Chairperson of the UCTTC and the Council Chief of Staff or Interim Council Chief of Staff. Unless authorized by CURO, invoices should not include time from outside the statement's monthly billing period. Within 30 days of receipt of the invoice, CURO shall complete its review and provide the Chairperson of the UCTTC with a memo containing any recommendations and a request for approval for CURO to process the invoice for payment.

Upon receipt of the recommendations and request for approval to process for payment, the Chairperson of the UCTTC shall complete the invoice review and by memo to CURO:

- 1) authorize the payment of the original invoice amount, or
- 2) substitute a different amount

that is authorized for payment. If a different-than-original invoice amount is authorized for payment by CURO, the Council Chief of Staff or Interim Council Chief of Staff, and submitting Advisor should be immediately notified, with opportunity given for discussion of the substituted amount. Upon the conclusion of this discussion, the Chairperson of the UCTTC shall make a final determination of the amount authorized for payment and authorize CURO to immediately process for payment of that amount.

Billings:

At the commencement of the contract period, Advisors shall identify, and the Chair of the UCTTC shall approve, with a copy to CURO, all work categories in which Permitted Work as described herein is expected to be necessary. Legal and technical Advisors for Utilities and legal and technical Advisors for Cable, Telecommunications and Technology shall identify categories of work in a clear and concise manner and shall include the use of FERC and Council docket numbers, rulemaking proceedings, resolutions and motion numbers as well as clear and concise descriptions of the work performed. The Advisors shall coordinate these identified work categories with their counterpart Advisors within each of these two areas of work covered by the UCTTC, so that categories of work appearing on bills are as uniform as reasonably achievable for every Advisor billing, within each of the two areas of work.

If an Advisor performs work on account of or at the direction of the Council Chief of Staff, Council Fiscal, CURO or an individual Councilmember, other than the current Chair of the UCTTC, the associated billings should identify the party by use of the following codes.

| Council Entity | Client code |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Council Chief of Staff | CC2010 |
| At-Large Division 1 | CC2011 |
| At-Large Division 2 | CC2012 |
| District "A" | CC2013 |
| District "B" | CC2014 |
| District "C" | CC2015 |
| District "D" | CC2016 |
| District "E" | CC2017 |
| Council Fiscal | CC2040 - |
| Council Utilities | CC2050 |

If, during a contract period, Advisors determine a new category of work is needed, the Chair of the UCTTC shall be promptly notified, with a copy to CURO, following which the

Chair of the UCTTC shall approve the new category before it is used in a bill. Existing categories should not be used for work for which a new category should be created.

A "Miscellaneous or General Matters" category may be used for entries which do not fit into existing categories and do not total greater than 10% of the total bill for the month. Entries in this category should include a sufficient description so that it can be clear to the reviewer what work was performed.

Final work product for which time and expense entries appear on an invoice will be provided to the Chair of the UCTTC, any Council Member or CURO upon request, to the extent public disclosure will not jeopardize or injure the interests of the Council. If an Advisor determines that it should not be promptly produced in order to protect the interests of the Council, the reason why it is not being provided shall be timely communicated to CURO

Efforts should be made to identify other clients of Advisors not in conflict with the Council, who could be expected to benefit from research or other Permitted Work that Advisors perform for the Council. If work benefits other clients of Advisor, only the appropriate proportionate share of the cost should be billed to the Council.

Time records, by date, for each professional rendering service within each category shall be entered in increments of 1/10th of hours (e.g.: ".7," or "1.6") and include a brief description of the work performed.

"Block billings" (billings combining a number of activities under a single time entry with little or no description of individual tasks performed or the time taken for each) should not occur. An occasional exception may be made when brief work activities within a category cannot be accurately or efficiently billed by making individual time entries, in which case a description of the tasks performed may be provided under a single time entry for a short period of total time. This exception should be limited to a circumstance where a number of short tasks within a category are performed on the same day and billing for each would significantly increase the total time billed for the tasks.

Billings should account for time without disclosing sensitive areas of strategic focus. When the subject of the work is sensitive—for example if the work involves strategy pertaining to a current or potential administrative or court proceeding—the specific nature of the discussions, analysis, or meeting, as well as the other persons involved, may need to be left out of the detailed time summaries. However, this information should be retained by Advisors, available to be immediately provided to the UCTTC or CURO if requested.

Advisors should review each billing prior to its submission to determine that each billing entry clearly and succinctly describes the task performed and the reason for the task, if

the reason is not apparent from the task description itself. Individual and total charges for time and expenses should be checked to make certain they are accurate.

When describing work performed, task descriptions should be written in plain English. Advisors should not use overly general descriptions such as:

- o Attention to or request attention to
- o Review
- o Continued (followed by a task)
- o Organize file
- o Follow up

In all cases, the Advisors should use appropriate descriptors that indicate the work that was performed and not use overly general descriptions. These would include, but not be limited to, the following:

- o Read _____
- o Review of (specify) _____
- o Write _____
- o Prepare for _____
- o Edit (or Revise) _____
- o Attend _____
- o Conduct _____
- o Phone conference with regarding _____
- o Email to (or from) regarding _____
- o Draft (in relation to reports, pleadings, motions and briefs) _____
- o Correspondence with _____ regarding _____
- o Research regarding _____
- o Write memorandum to _____ regarding _____
- o Meeting with _____ regarding _____
- o Run analysis of _____
- o Create engineering models
- o Run assumptions for _____

Utility Advisors: work related to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) shall be billed under the specific FERC docket or rulemaking or simultaneous multiple dockets, for which the work is performed with an adequate description of the work activity performed provided in individual time entries.

Advisors should assign work internally within their firms to use the least expensive person to do work consistent with the best representation of the Council's interest. For example, a legal assistant, paralegal, or law clerk should be used to do routine work that does not require a more experienced lawyer's services. If an attorney chooses to perform research that could be as effectively performed by a law clerk, or a technical advisor chooses to perform research that could

be as effectively handled by a research assistant, the professional should not bill at an hourly rate greater than the rate charged for a law clerk or research assistant unless sufficient explanation is provided for the necessity of using the higher-billing person.

Non-billable work (for which Advisors will not be paid):

- 1) Research or review of industry literature or trade publications.
- 2) Attendance at professional conferences, educational seminars, or continuing legal education activities.
- 3) Research and review of basic substantive law at issue in the matter for which the firm was retained.
- 4) Advisors should be judicious in limiting the number of persons in attendance at meetings (whether telephonic, web based or in person), depositions, hearings or other proceedings always considering how best to protect the Council's interests. The Council specifically recognizes that some matters require differing kinds of expertise among the professionals in the Advisor firms which would require more than one person of an Advisor firm in attendance at such meetings, depositions, hearings, negotiations, strategy sessions and the like in furtherance of the Council's interests. When more than one person within the Advisor's firm attends the same meeting, deposition, hearing or other proceeding, CURO may request or the Chair of the UCTTC may require an explanation of why it was in the best interest of the Council. In all cases where more than one person within an Advisor's firm bills for attendance at a meeting, deposition, hearing or other proceeding, the Chair of the UCTTC may decline the charge after discussion with the Advisor. Advisors should invite CURO to meetings when practical.
- 5) Administrative tasks, such as support or clerical services (work customarily performed by secretaries, word processors, proofreaders, managing clerks, information system technicians, librarians, computer operators, etc., including but not limited to photocopying, file maintenance, filing or delivering materials, arranging travel or scheduling depositions or meetings) shall not be billed, either regularly or as overtime. Attorneys, paralegals, and law clerks shall not bill for performing such tasks.
- 6) Time spent preparing, discussing, or supporting Advisor's invoices, including time or expense associated with delivering or collecting Advisor's invoices.
- 7) Downtime or learning time that may result from staffing changes.
- 8) Time spent on staffing issues.

- 9) Time spent by Advisors traveling to or from New Orleans. If Permitted Work is performed during such travel, it may be billed as described herein.
- 10) Time spent traveling to attend MISO, OMS, or ERSC-related meetings or events. If Permitted Work is performed during such travel, it may be billed as described herein.

Expenses:

To qualify for reimbursement, expenses should be reasonable, documented and itemized, and occur in conjunction with services described in the time entries. Expenses should identify the bill category to which they pertain. The number of persons present in connection with an expense item should be indicated where such information is relevant to ensure that the expense is reasonable.

Fees charged by electronic or other research services, including library fees, Westlaw, Lexis and other online services are considered general overhead and are not reimbursable.

Costs of court reporters and transcripts shall be billed at actual cost. Advisors should obtain the lowest possible charge reasonably available for court reporting fees, including any possible volume discounts. The least-expensive sufficient option for transcripts shall be selected. Any billing for more than a single transcript of the same testimony or event for all Advisors must be adequately explained; otherwise, the billing attorney shall receive the transcript and provide for the distribution of copies to other Advisors as an administrative expense to the extent permitted by law.

Electronic transfer of documents (e.g., e-mail) shall be used if possible. Billings for express mail or courier charges will not be paid unless an acceptable explanation is provided of why such measures were necessary. If such charges are necessary, actual reasonable charges will be reimbursed. If an Advisor has a volume discount arrangement with a vendor, charges shall be made on that basis. Charges for time spent preparing express mail packages are not reimbursable.

Items or services that will not be reimbursed: customary office supplies; routine postage; facsimile charges; fees incurred by a timekeeper for printing or scanning; and long-distance charges or other telephone charges for phone calls made at an Advisor's office or place of business.

Photocopying charges not exceeding \$0.10 per page will be reimbursed. If the use of an outside copying service would be more economical and confidentiality is not an issue, the service should be used.

Approval must be obtained in writing from CURO prior to using any third-party services for which reimbursement will be requested, other than legal-process servers and court reporters. If approved, actual reasonable charges will be reimbursed.

All necessary and ordinary travel expenses are reimbursable only if prior authorization for the travel is provided by CURO or the Chair of the UCTTC. "Ordinary" as used here means the lowest-cost airfare that is reasonably available, reasonable-cost ground transportation and parking, and meals that do not exceed in cost the amounts allowed employees of the City of New Orleans as described in City Policy Memo 9 (R).

Bills containing requests for reimbursement should include the dates, the destination of travel, and the name of the traveler. Receipts should be provided. In rare cases, exceptions to this required detail may be approved by CURO for reasons of confidentiality or where it is clear that requirements are unduly burdensome or otherwise not feasible. Otherwise, the following expenses require receipts: telephone bills, reproductions/copies, ground transportation, airfare, auto rental, taxi, hotel/lodging, third party, research, business meals, publications, courier services, overnight delivery services, special mail handling, postage, and individual miscellaneous expenses. In cases where no receipt is available, such as internal office photocopying, the bill should contain office records verifying the charge.